

ON THE OSCILLATIONS OF FOURTH ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

SAID R. GRACE¹, RAVI P. AGARWAL², AND SANDRA PINELAS³

¹Department of Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University, Orman, Giza 12221, Egypt
E-mail: srgrace@eng.cu.eg

²Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology,
Melbourne, FL 32901, U. S. A.
E-mail: agarwal@fit.edu

³Department of Mathematics, Azores University, R. Mãe de Deus
9500-321 Ponta Delgada, Portugal
E-mail: sandra.pinelas@clix.pt

ABSTRACT. We establish some sufficient conditions for the oscillations of all solutions of fourth order functional differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(a(t) \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3} x(t) \right)^\alpha \right) + q(t) f(x[g(t)]) = 0$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(a(t) \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3} x(t) \right)^\alpha \right) = q(t) f(x[g(t)]) + p(t) h(x[\sigma(t)])$$

when $\int^\infty a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds < \infty$. The case when $\int^\infty a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds = \infty$ is also included.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the oscillatory behavior of solutions of fourth order functional differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(a(t) \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3} x(t) \right)^\alpha \right) + q(t) f(x[g(t)]) = 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(a(t) \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3} x(t) \right)^\alpha \right) = q(t) f(x[g(t)]) + p(t) h(x[\sigma(t)]) \quad (2)$$

where the following conditions are assumed to hold:

- (i) α is the ratio of two positive odd integers;
- (ii) $a(t)$, $p(t)$ and $q(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$;
- (iii) $g(t)$ and $\sigma(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $g(t) < t$, $\sigma(t) > t$, $g'(t) \geq 0$ and $\sigma'(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$;

(iv) $f, h \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $xf(x) > 0$, $xh(x) > 0$, $f'(x) \geq 0$ and $h'(x) \geq 0$ for $x \neq 0$ and f and g satisfy

$$-f(-xy) \geq f(xy) \geq f(x)f(y), \quad \text{for } xy > 0 \quad (3)$$

and

$$-h(-xy) \geq h(xy) \geq h(x)h(y), \quad \text{for } xy > 0. \quad (4)$$

By a solution of Equation (1) (respectively (2)) is meant a function $x : [T_x, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $T_x \geq t_0$ such that $x(t)$, $x'(t)$, $x''(t)$ and $a(t) \left(\frac{d^3}{dt^3}x(t)\right)^\alpha$ are continuously differentiable and satisfy equation (1) (respectively (2)) on $[T_x, \infty)$. Our attention will be restricted to those solutions of equations (1) and (2) which satisfy $\sup\{x(t) : t \geq T\} > 0$ for any $T \geq T_x$. Such a solution is said to be oscillatory if it has a sequence of zeros tending to infinity and nonoscillatory otherwise.

In the last three decades there has been an increasing interest in studying the oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of solutions of functional differential equations. Most of the work on the subject, however, has been restricted to first and second order differential equations of the form

$$(a(t)(x'(t))^\alpha)' + q(t)f(x[g(t)]) = 0$$

when

$$\int^\infty a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds = \infty, \quad (5)$$

as well as higher order equations with $n > 2$. For recent contributions we refer to [1]–[9] and the references cited therein.

It seems that little is known concerning the oscillations of equations (1) and (2) particularly when

$$\int^\infty a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, our aim is to present some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of equations (1) and (2) via comparison with first order delay and/or advanced equations whose oscillatory characters are known.

In Section 2 we establish results for the oscillation of equation (1) when (6) holds, and from these deduce the results when (5) holds. Similar results for equation (2) are presented in Section 3.

2. Oscillations for equation (1.1)

In this section we shall establish sufficient conditions in which all solutions of equation (1) are oscillatory.

For $t \geq t_0$, we let

$$A[t, t_0] = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s ua^{-1/\alpha}(u) dud s$$

and

$$m(t) = \int_t^\infty a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds.$$

Theorem 1. *Let conditions (i)–(iv) and (6) hold and assume that there exists a function $\xi(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $\xi'(t) \geq 0$ and $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ for $t \geq t_0$. If both the first order delay equations*

$$y'(t) + cq(t) f(A[g(t), t_1]) f(y^{1/\alpha}[g(t)]) = 0 \quad (7)$$

for every $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ and any constant c , $0 < c < 1$ and

$$z'(t) + \bar{c}q(t) f(g(t)) f\left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} (s - g(t)) a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds\right) f(z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) = 0 \quad (8)$$

for any constant $\bar{c} > 0$, are oscillatory,

$$\int^\infty \left(\frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s q(u) f(g^2(u)) f(m[g(u)]) du\right)^{1/\alpha} ds = \infty \quad (9)$$

and

$$\int^\infty \left(\frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s q(u) f\left(\frac{[\xi(u) - g(u)]^2}{2!}\right) f(m[\xi(u)]) du\right)^{1/\alpha} ds = \infty \quad (10)$$

then the equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1), say $x(t) > 0$ and $x[g(t)] > 0$ for $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$. Now, $(a(t)(x^{(3)}(t))^\alpha)'$ ≤ 0 for $t \geq t_0$. There exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x^{(i)}(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are of one sign for all $t \geq t_1$. There are eight possibilities to consider. The following four cases hold:

- (I) $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ for $t \geq t_1$;
 - (II) $(-1)^{i+1} x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ for $t \geq t_1$;
 - (III) $x^{(3)}(t) < 0$, $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ for $t \geq t_1$
- and
- (IV) $(-1)^i x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ for $t \geq t_1$;

while the other four cases, namely

$$x^{(i)}(t) > 0, i = 2, 3 \text{ and } x'(t) < 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_1;$$

$$x^{(3)}(t) > 0, x^{(i)}(t) < 0, i = 1, 2 \text{ for } t \geq t_1;$$

$$x^{(i)}(t) < 0, i = 2, 3 \text{ and } x'(t) > 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_1;$$

and

$$x^{(i)}(t) < 0, i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ for } t \geq t_1;$$

are obviously disregarded. Next, we consider:

Case (I). There exist a constant k , $0 < k < 1$ and a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) &\geq kt x^{(3)}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \\ &=: k \frac{t}{a^{1/\alpha}(t)} y^{1/\alpha}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $y(t) = a(t) (x^{(3)}(t))^\alpha$, $t \geq t_2$.

Integrating (11) twice from t_2 to t we have

$$x(t) \geq kA [t, t_2] y^{1/\alpha}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (12)$$

There exists a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that

$$x[g(t)] \geq kA [g(t), t_2] y^{1/\alpha}[g(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3. \quad (13)$$

Using (13) and (3) in equation (1) we have

$$y'(t) + \bar{c}q(t) f(A[g(t), t_2]) f(y^{1/\alpha}[g(t)]) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3 \quad (14)$$

where $\bar{c} = f(k)$, $0 < \bar{c} < 1$.

Integrating (14) from $t \geq t_3$ to $u > t$ and letting $u \rightarrow \infty$ we find

$$y(t) \geq \bar{c} \int_t^\infty q(s) f(A[g(s), t_2]) f(y^{1/\alpha}[g(s)]) ds.$$

The function $y(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $[t_3, \infty)$. Hence by Theorem 1 in [9], we conclude that there exists a positive solution $y(t)$ of equation (7) with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Case (II). There exist a $t_2 \geq t_1$ and a constant k , $0 < k < 1$, such that

$$x(t) \geq kt x'(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

There exist a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that

$$x[g(t)] \geq kg(t) x'[g(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3. \quad (15)$$

Using (15) and (3) in equation (1), we have

$$(a(t) (y''(t))^\alpha)' + \bar{c}q(t) f(g(t)) f(y[g(t)]) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3 \quad (16)$$

where $y(t) = x'(t)$ for $t \geq t_3$ and $\bar{c} = f(k)$. Clearly

$$y''(t) > 0, \quad y'(t) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3.$$

By Taylor's series, for $t \geq s \geq t_3$ we find

$$y(s) \geq \int_s^t (u-s) y''(u) du.$$

Replacing s and t by $g(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ respectively we get

$$\begin{aligned} y[g(t)] &\geq \int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} (u - g(t)) a^{-1/\alpha}(u) (a(u) (y''(u))^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} du \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4 \geq t_3 \\ &=: \left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} (u - g(t)) a^{-1/\alpha}(u) du \right) z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $z(t) = a(t) (y''(t))^\alpha$ for $t \geq t_4$.

Using (17) and (3) in (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) + \bar{c}q(t) f(g(t)) f\left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} (u - g(t)) a^{-1/\alpha}(u) du\right) f(z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) \leq 0 \\ \text{for } t \geq t_4. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the Case (I) and hence omitted.

Case (III). There exist a constant k , $0 < k < 1$, and a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$x'(t) \geq ktx''(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Integrating this inequality from t_2 to t , there exist a constant \bar{k} , $0 < \bar{k} < 1$ and a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that

$$x[g(t)] \geq \bar{k}g^2(t) x''[g(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3. \quad (19)$$

Using (19) and (3) in equation (1), one can easily find

$$(a(t) (w'(t))^\alpha)' + bq(t) f(g^2(t)) f(w[g(t)]) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3, \quad (20)$$

where $b = f(\bar{k})$ and $w(t) = x''(t)$, $t \geq t_3$. Clearly, $w(t) > 0$ and $w'(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_3$.

For $s \geq t \geq t_3$, we have

$$a(s) (-w'(s))^\alpha \geq a(t) (-w'(t))^\alpha,$$

or

$$-w'(s) \geq \frac{1}{a^{1/\alpha}(s)} (-a^{1/\alpha}(t) w'(t)). \quad (21)$$

Integrating (21) from $t \geq t_3$ to $u > t$ and letting $u \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$w(t) \geq \left(\int_t^\infty a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds \right) (-a^{-1/\alpha}(t) w'(t)) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3. \quad (22)$$

Combining (22) with the inequality

$$-a^{1/\alpha}(t) w'(t) \geq -a^{1/\alpha}(t_3) w'(t_3) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3,$$

there exist a $t_4 \geq t_3$ and a constant $\ell > 0$ such that

$$w[g(t)] \geq \ell m[g(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4. \quad (23)$$

Using (23) and (3) in (1), we get

$$-(a(t) (w'(t))^\alpha)' \geq bf(\ell)q(t) f(g^2(t)) f(m[g(t)]) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4. \quad (24)$$

Integrating (24) from t_3 to t , we obtain

$$a(t_3)(w'(t_3))^\alpha - a(t)(w'(t))^\alpha \geq bf(\ell) \int_{t_3}^t q(s) f(g^2(s)) f(m[g(s)]) ds$$

or

$$-a(t)(w'(t))^\alpha \geq bf(\ell) \int_{t_3}^t q(s) f(g^2(s)) f(m[g(s)]) ds$$

or

$$-w'(t) \geq (bf(\ell))^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a(t)} \int_{t_3}^t q(s) f(g^2(s)) f(m[g(s)]) ds \right)^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3. \quad (25)$$

Integrating (25) from t_3 to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> w(t_3) \geq w(t_3) - w(t) \\ &\geq (bf(\ell))^{1/\alpha} \int_{t_3}^t \left(\frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_3}^s q(u) f(g^2(u)) f(m[g(u)]) du \right)^{1/\alpha} ds \\ &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case (IV). By Taylor's expansion, for $t \geq s \geq t_1$ we find

$$x(s) \geq \frac{(t-s)^2}{2!} x''(t).$$

Replacing s and t by $g(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x[g(t)] &\geq \frac{[\xi(t) - g(t)]^2}{2!} x''[\xi(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \geq t_1 \\ &=: \frac{[\xi(t) - g(t)]^2}{2!} v[\xi(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $v(t) = x''(t)$ for $t \geq t_2$.

Using (26) and (3) in equation (1), we have

$$(a(t)(v'(t))^\alpha)' + q(t) f\left(\frac{[\xi(t) - g(t)]^2}{2!}\right) f(v[\xi(t)]) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the Case (III) above and hence omitted. \square

We note that when condition (5) holds, Cases (III) and (IV) in the proof of Theorem 1 are disregarded. In fact, we have the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let conditions (i)–(iv) and (5) hold and assume that there exists a function $\xi(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $\xi'(t) \geq 0$ and $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ for $t \geq t_0$. If both the first order delay equations (7) and (8) are oscillatory, then the equation (1) is oscillatory.*

By using known results for the oscillation of first order delay equations (see [8]), the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 3. *Let conditions (i)–(iv), (6), (9) and (10) hold and assume that there exists a nondecreasing function $\xi(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then equation (1) is oscillatory if one of the following conditions holds*

(I₁)

$$\frac{f(u^{1/\alpha})}{u} \geq k > 0 \quad \text{for } u \neq 0 \quad \text{where } k \text{ is a constant}$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\xi(t)}^t Q(s) ds > \frac{1}{ek},$$

where

$$Q(t) = \min \left\{ cq(t) f(A[g(t), t_1]), \bar{c}q(t) f(g(t)) f \left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} (s - g(t)) a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds \right) \right\},$$

$\bar{c} > 0$ is any constant and $0 < c < 1$.

(I₂)

$$\int_{\pm 0} f^{-1}(u^{1/\alpha}) du < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\pm 0}^{\infty} Q(s) ds = \infty.$$

Remark 4. The technique of the proof of Theorem 1 may allow to obtain criteria for equations similar to (1) on time scale, for example the dynamic equation

$$(a(x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta})^\alpha)^\Delta + q(t)x^\beta(g(t)) = 0,$$

where β is the ratio of two positive odd integers. Also, it will be of interest to consider the forced equation

$$(a(x^{(3)})^\alpha)' + q(t)f(x[g(t)]) = e(t),$$

where $e(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$.

For bounded solutions of equation (1) one can easily prove the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let conditions (i)–(iv), (6) and (10) hold and assume that there exists a nondecreasing function $\xi(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ for $t \geq t_0$. If the delay first order equation (8) is oscillatory, then all bounded solutions of equation (1) are oscillatory.*

3. Oscillations for equation (1.2)

In this section we are interested in obtaining criteria for the oscillation of all solutions of equation (2).

Theorem 6. *Let conditions (i)–(iv) and (6) hold and assume that there exist nondecreasing functions $\xi(t)$ and $\zeta(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ and $\sigma(t) > \zeta(t) > t$ for $t \geq t_0$. If the advanced first order equation*

$$y'(t) - p(t)h \left(\int_{\zeta(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{[\sigma(t) - s]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds \right) h(y^{1/\alpha}[\zeta(t)]) = 0 \quad (27)$$

both delay first order equations

$$z'(t) + cq(t) f(g^2(t)) f\left(\frac{\xi(t) - g(t)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]}\right) f(z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) = 0 \quad (28)$$

for every constant c , $0 < c < 1$ and

$$w'(t) + q(t) f\left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} \frac{[s - g(t)]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds\right) f(w^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) = 0 \quad (29)$$

are oscillatory, and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s q(u) f(g(u)) f(\xi(u) - g(u)) f(m[\xi(u)]) du\right)^{1/\alpha} ds = \infty \quad (30)$$

then equation (2) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (2), say $x(t) > 0$, $x[g(t)] > 0$ and $x[\sigma(t)] > 0$ for $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$. Now, $(a(t)(x^{(3)}(t))^\alpha)' \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. There exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x^{(i)}(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are of one sign for all $t \geq t_1$. There are eight possibilities to consider. The following four cases hold:

- (I) $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ for $t \geq t_1$;
 - (II) $x^{(3)}(t) < 0$, $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ for $t \geq t_1$;
 - (III) $(-1)^i x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ for $t \geq t_1$
- and
- (IV) $(-1)^{i+1} x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ for $t \geq t_1$.

It is easy to see that the following four cases are obviously disregarded:

- $x^{(i)}(t) > 0$, $i = 2, 3$ and $x'(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_1$;
- $x^{(3)}(t) > 0$, and $x^{(i)}(t) < 0$, $i = 1, 2$ for $t \geq t_1$;
- $x^{(i)}(t) < 0$, $i = 2, 3$ and $x'(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$;

and

$$x^{(i)}(t) < 0, i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ for } t \geq t_1.$$

Now, we consider:

Case (I). By the Taylor's expansion, for $t \geq s \geq t_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\geq \int_s^t \frac{(t-u)^2}{2!} x^{(3)}(u) du \\ &=: \int_s^t \frac{(t-u)^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(u) \left(a(u)(x^{(3)}(u))^\alpha\right)^{1/\alpha} du \\ &\geq \left(\int_s^t \frac{(t-u)^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(u) du\right) y^{1/\alpha}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $y(t) = a(t) (x^{(3)}(t))^\alpha$ for $t \geq t_1$. Replacing t and s in (31) by $\sigma(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ respectively, we have

$$x[\sigma(t)] \geq \left(\int_{\zeta(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{[\sigma(t) - u]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(u) du \right) y^{1/\alpha}(\zeta(t)) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \geq t_1. \quad (32)$$

Using (32) and (4) in equation (2) we obtain

$$y'(t) \geq p(t) h \left(\int_{\zeta(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{[\sigma(t) - u]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(u) du \right) h(y^{1/\alpha}[\zeta(t)]) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

By known results, see [2], [3] and [9], we arrive at the desired contradiction.

Case (II). There exist a constant k , $0 < k < 1$ and a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$x'(t) \geq ktx''(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Integrating the above inequality from t_2 to t we have

$$x(t) \geq \frac{k}{2} (t^2 - t_2^2) x''(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Now, there is a constant \bar{c} , $0 < \bar{c} < 1$ and a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that

$$x[g(t)] \geq \bar{c}g^2(t) y[g(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3 \quad (33)$$

where $y(t) = x''(t)$ for $t \geq t_3$. Using (33) and (3) in equation (2) we have

$$(a(t) (y'(t))^\alpha)' \geq f(\bar{c}) q(t) f(g^2(t)) f(y[g(t)]) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3. \quad (34)$$

Clearly $y(t) > 0$ and $y'(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_3$. Thus there exists a $t_4 \geq t_3$ such that

$$y[g(t)] \geq (\xi(t) - g(t)) (-y'[\xi(t)]) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4,$$

or

$$y[g(t)] \geq (\xi(t) - g(t)) a^{-1/\alpha}[\xi(t)] (z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4, \quad (35)$$

where $z(t) = -a(t) (y'(t))^\alpha > 0$ for $t \geq t_4$.

Using (35) and (3) in (34) we get

$$z'(t) + f(\bar{c}) q(t) f(g^2(t)) f\left(\frac{\xi(t) - g(t)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]}\right) f(z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the Theorem 1-Case (I) and hence omitted.

Case (III). By Taylor's expansion, one can easily see that there exists a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$x[g(t)] \geq \left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} \frac{[s - g(t)]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds \right) (w^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2, \quad (36)$$

where

$$w(t) = -a(t) (x^{(3)}(t))^\alpha > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Now, using (36) and (3) in equation (2) we find

$$w'(t) + q(t) f \left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} \frac{[s - g(t)]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds \right) f(w^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the Theorem 1-Case (I) and hence omitted.

Case (IV). There exist a constant k , $0 < k < 1$ and a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$x[g(t)] \geq kg(t)y[g(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \quad (37)$$

where $y(t) = x'(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_2$. Using (37) and (3) in equation (2) we get

$$(a(t)(y''(t))^\alpha)' \geq f(k)q(t)f(g(t))f(y[g(t)]) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (38)$$

Clearly $y(t) > 0$, $y'(t) < 0$ and $y''(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_2$. Thus, there exists a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that

$$y[g(t)] \geq (\xi(t) - g(t))z[\xi(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3, \quad (39)$$

where $z(t) = -y'(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_3$. Using (39) and (3) in equation (38) we have

$$(a(t)(z'(t))^\alpha)' + f(k)q(t)f(g(t))f(\xi(t) - g(t))f(z[\xi(t)]) \leq 0.$$

The rest of the proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 1-Case (III) and hence omitted. This completes the proof. \square

From the proof of the above theorem, one can easily obtain the following result when condition (5) holds.

Theorem 7. *Let conditions (i)–(iv) and (5) hold and assume that there exist non-decreasing functions $\xi(t)$ and $\zeta(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ and $\sigma(t) > \zeta(t) > t$ for $t \geq t_0$. If the advanced first order equation (27) and both the delay first order equations (28) and (29) are oscillatory, then equation (2) is oscillatory.*

By applying well known criteria for the oscillation of first order equations, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 8. *Let conditions (i)–(iv), (6) and (30) hold and assume that there exist nondecreasing functions $\xi(t)$ and $\zeta(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ and $\sigma(t) > \zeta(t) > t$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then equation (2) is oscillatory if one of the following conditions holds*

(II₁)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h(u^{1/\alpha})}{u} &\geq k > 0 \quad \text{for } u \neq 0 \quad \text{and } k \text{ is a constant,} \\ \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{\zeta(t)} p(s) h \left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} \frac{[\sigma(s) - v]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(v) dv \right) ds &> \frac{1}{ek}, \\ \frac{f(u^{1/\alpha})}{u} &\geq k_1 > 0 \quad \text{for } u \neq 0 \quad \text{and } k_1 \text{ is a constant,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\xi(t)}^t \tilde{Q}(s) ds > \frac{1}{ek_1}$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}(t) = \min \left\{ cq(t) f(g^2(t)) f\left(\frac{\xi(t) - g(t)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(t)]}\right), q(t) f\left(\int_{g(t)}^{\xi(t)} \frac{[s - g(t)]^2}{2!a^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds\right) \right\}$$

for $t \geq t_0$. (40)

(I₂)

$$\int^{\pm\infty} h^{-1}(u^{1/\alpha}) du < \infty.$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(s) h\left(\int_{g(s)}^{\xi(t)} \frac{[\sigma(s) - v]^2}{2!} a^{-1/\alpha}(v) dv\right) ds = \infty,$$

$$\int_{\pm 0} f^{-1}(u^{1/\alpha}) du < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}(s) ds = \infty.$$

We note that many other criteria similar to above can be obtained. The details are left to the reader.

When we are concerned with bounded solutions of equation (2), the term $p(t)h(x[\sigma(t)])$ may be disregarded. In this case we have

Theorem 9. *Let conditions (i)–(iv), (6) and (30) hold and assume that there exist a nondecreasing functions $\xi(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(t) < \xi(t) < t$ for $t \geq t_0$. If the first order delay equation (29) is oscillatory, all bounded solutions of equation (2) are oscillatory.*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equation*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Second Order Linear, Half-Linear, Superlinear and Sublinear Dynamic Equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Second Order Dynamic Equations*, Taylor & Francis, U. K., 2003.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, Oscillation of certain fourth order functional differential equations, *Ukrain. Math. J.*, 52 (2007), 315–342.
- [5] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and P. J. Y. Wong, On the bounded oscillation of certain fourth order functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Dynamics and System Theory*, 5 (2005), 215–227.
- [6] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, I. T. Kiguradze and D. O'Regan, Oscillation of functional differential Equations. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 41 (2005), 417–461.
- [7] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, Oscillation criteria for certain n^{th} order differential equations with deviating arguments, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 262 (2001), 601–622.
- [8] I. Györi and G. Ladas, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations - with Applications*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [9] Ch. G. Philos, On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at ∞ for differential equations with positive delays, *Arch. Math.* 36 (1981), 168–178.