

WAVE EQUATIONS THAT ARE RADially SYMMETRIC

MARTN SCHECHTER

Department of Mathematics, University of California

Irvine, CA 92697-3875 USA

E-mail: mschecht@math.uci.edu

ABSTRACT. We study the periodic semilinear problem for the rotationally invariant wave equation. Our hypotheses are given in terms of the primitive of the nonlinearity.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. Primary 35J65, 58E05, 49J35

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study periodic solutions of the rotationally invariant Dirichlet problem for the semilinear wave equation

$$\square u - \mu u = p(t, x, u), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in B_R \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(t, x) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \partial B_R \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(t + T, x) = u(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in B_R, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\square u := u_{tt} - \Delta u, \quad (1.4)$$

$$B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$p(t, x, u) = p(t, |x|, u), \quad x \in B_R.$$

Our basic assumption is that the ratio R/T is rational. Thus, we can write

$$8R/T = a/b, \quad (1.6)$$

where a, b are relatively prime positive integers. We show that

$$n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{(4, a)} \quad (1.7)$$

implies that the linear problem corresponding to (1.1)–(1.3) has no essential spectrum.

If

$$n \equiv 3 \pmod{(4, a)}, \quad (1.8)$$

then the essential spectrum of the linear operator consists of precisely one point

$$\lambda_0 = -(n - 3)(n - 1)/4R^2. \quad (1.9)$$

We consider the nonlinear case for $p(t, r, s)$ satisfying

$$|p(t, r, s)| \leq C(|s| + 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, r = |x|. \tag{1.10}$$

We assume that the point μ is in the resolvent set of \square and

$$(m_- - \mu)s^2 - W_1(t, r) \leq 2P(t, r, s) \leq (m_+ - \mu)s^2 + W_2(t, r), \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$P(t, r, s) = \int_0^s p(t, r, \sigma) d\sigma, \tag{1.12}$$

$\mu \in (m_-, m_+)$ is contained in the resolvent set and the functions W_1, W_2 are in $L^1(Q, \rho)$ with $Q = [0, T] \times [0, R]$ and $\rho = r^{n-1}$. We also assume that

$$H(t, r, s) := 2P(t, r, s) - sp(t, r, s) \tag{1.13}$$

satisfies

$$\limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} H(t, r, s)/|s| \leq h(t, r) < 0. \tag{1.14}$$

Our main theorem is

Theorem 1.1. *If (1.7) holds, then (1.1)–(1.3) has a weak rotationally invariant solution. If (1.8) holds and $m_- \geq \lambda_0$, assume that $p(t, r, s)$ is nondecreasing in s . If $m_+ \leq \lambda_0$, assume that $p(t, r, s)$ is nonincreasing in s . Then (1.1)–(1.3) has a weak rotationally invariant solution.*

For the definition of essential spectrum, cf., e.g., [12].

Beginning with Smiley [13], several authors have examined the radially symmetric problem (1.1)–(1.3) (cf. [13, 14, 2, 3, 4, 1, 10, 5, 7, 8] and the references cited in them). The complications for this problem depend on the values of R and T . Only in [10] were all possible rational values of R/T considered.

In [13, 2, 1, 8] the hypotheses included inequalities of the form

$$p \leq \liminf \frac{f(u)}{u} \leq \limsup \frac{f(u)}{u} \leq q.$$

In [2] the authors examine radially symmetric solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - \Delta u + g(u) &= f(t, x), \\ u(t + T, \cdot) &= u(t, \cdot), \end{aligned}$$

where x belongs to a bounded ball B in \mathbb{R}^n with radius R , u satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on ∂B , and R/T is rational. The existence of at least one weak solution is proved provided that g is asymptotically linear and the behaviour of $g(u)/u$ for u tending to $\pm\infty$ is suitably related to the eigenvalues of the operator $Lv = v_{tt} - \Delta v, v(t + T, \cdot) = v(t, \cdot)$.

In [4] irrational values of R/T are considered.

In [10] we proved Theorem 1.1 under the assumption

$$|p(t, r, s)| \leq C(|s|^\theta + 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.15}$$

holding for some $\theta < 1$. This assumption is a far greater restriction than (1.10) and (1.11).

What distinguishes the present paper from the results of others is that we cover all rational values of R/T , and our hypotheses are given in terms of the primitive

$$P(t, r, s) = \int_0^s p(t, r, \sigma) d\sigma, \tag{1.16}$$

of $p(t, r, s)$ rather than the function $p(t, r, s)$ itself.

2. THE SPECTRUM OF THE LINEAR OPERATOR

In dealing with problem (1.1)–(1.3), one needs to calculate the spectrum of the linear operator \square applied to periodic rotationally symmetric functions. Specifically, we shall need the following theorem proved in [10].

Theorem 2.1. *Let L_0 be the operator*

$$L_0 u = u_{tt} - u_{rr} - r^{-1}(n - 1)u_r \tag{2.1}$$

applied to functions $u(t, r)$ in $C^\infty(\bar{Q})$ satisfying

$$u(T, r) = u(0, r), \quad u_t(T, r) = u_t(0, r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq R \tag{2.2}$$

$$u(t, R) = u_r(t, 0) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3}$$

where $Q = [0, T] \times [0, R]$. Then L_0 is symmetric on $L^2(Q, \rho)$, where $\rho = r^{n-1}$. Assume that $8R/T = a/b$, where a, b are relatively prime integers (i.e., $(a, b) = 1$). Then L_0 has a selfadjoint extension L having no essential spectrum other than the point $\lambda_0 = -(n - 3)(n - 1)/4R^2$. If $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4, a}$, then L has no essential spectrum. If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4, a}$, then the essential spectrum of L is precisely the point λ_0 .

3. THE NONLINEAR CASE

We now turn to the problem solving (1.1)–(1.3). If one is searching for rotationally invariant solutions, the problem reduces to

$$Lu = f(t, r, u), \quad u \in D(L), \tag{3.1}$$

where L is the selfadjoint extension of the operator L_0 given in Theorem 2.1. Under the hypotheses of that theorem the spectrum of L is discrete. We assume that $f(t, r, s)$ is a Carathéodory function on $Q \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f(t, r, s)| \leq C(|s| + 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

We have

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f(t, r, s)$ satisfy (1.11), (1.14), (3.2), and assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. If*

$$n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4, a} \tag{3.3}$$

make no further assumptions. If

$$n \equiv 3 \pmod{4, a} \tag{3.4}$$

and $m_- \geq \lambda_0$, assume in addition that there is a point $\mu \in (m_-, m_+)$ such that

$$p(t, r, s) = f(t, r, s) - \mu s \tag{3.5}$$

is nondecreasing in s . If $m_+ \leq \lambda_0$, assume that there is such a point such that $p(t, r, s)$ is nonincreasing in s . Then (3.1) has at least one weak solution.

The following theorem is used in the proof. We believe it is of interest in its own right. It was proved in [10].

Theorem 3.2. *Let N be a closed separable subspace of a Hilbert space E . Let G be a continuously differentiable functional on E such that*

$$v_n = P u_n \rightarrow v \text{ weakly in } E, \quad w_n = (I - P)u_n \rightarrow w \text{ strongly in } E$$

implies

$$G'(v_n + w_n) \rightarrow G'(v + w) \text{ weakly in } E, \tag{3.6}$$

where P is the projection of E onto N . Assume

$$a_0 := \sup_N G < \infty, \quad b_0 := \inf_M G > -\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Then there is a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset E$ such that

$$G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad b_0 \leq c \leq a_0, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let

$$G(u) = ([L - \mu]u, u) - 2 \int \int_Q P(t, r, u) \rho dt dr, \quad u \in E \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$P(t, r, s) = \int_0^s p(t, r, \sigma) d\sigma \tag{3.10}$$

and the scalar product is that of $L^2(Q, \rho)$. One checks readily that G is a C^1 functional on E with

$$(G'(u), v)/2 = ([L - \mu]u, v) - (p(u), v), \quad u, v \in E, \tag{3.11}$$

where we write $p(u)$ in place of $p(t, r, u)$. This shows that u is a weak solution of (3.1) iff $G'(u) = 0$. Let N be the subspace of E spanned by the eigenvectors corresponding

to those eigenvalues $< \mu$, and let M denote the subspace of E spanned by the rest. Thus $M = N^\perp$ in E . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(v) &= ([L - \mu]v, v) - 2 \int \int_Q P(t, r, v) \rho \, dt \, dr \\ &\leq (m_- - \mu) \|v\|^2 + (\mu - m_-) \|v\|^2 + B_1 = B_1, \quad v \in N, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_j = \int \int_Q W_j(t, r) \rho \, dt \, dr.$$

Also,

$$G(w) \geq (m_+ - \mu) \|w\|^2 - (m_+ - \mu) \|w\|^2 - B_2 = -B_2, \quad w \in M. \tag{3.12}$$

If $\{u_k\} \subset E$ is a sequence converging weakly to u in E , then $\{u_k\}$ has a renamed subsequence which converges strongly in $L^2(Q, \rho)$ and a.e. in Q . This follows from the fact that the embedding of E in $L^2(Q, \rho)$ is compact. Now

$$(G'(u_k), v)/2 = (w_k, v)_E - (v_k, v)_E - \mu(u_k, v) - (p(u_k), v), \quad v \in E, \tag{3.13}$$

where $u_k = v_k + w_k$, $v_k \in N$, $w_k \in M$. It follows that $G'(u_k) \rightarrow G'(u)$ weakly in E . Hence all of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, and we can conclude that there is a sequence $\{u_k\}$ satisfying (3.8). A compactness argument shows that

$$\|u_k\|_E \leq C. \tag{3.14}$$

Consequently, there is a renamed subsequence which converges weakly to u in E , a.e. in Q and strongly in $L^2(Q, \rho)$. Taking the limit in

$$(G'(u_k), v)/2 = ([L - \mu]u_k, v) - (p(u_k), v), \tag{3.15}$$

we obtain a weak solution of (3.1). □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Ben-Naoum and J. Berkovits, On the existence of periodic solutions for semilinear wave equation on a ball in R^n with the space dimension n odd, *Nonlinear Anal.* **24** (1995), no. 2, 241–250.
- [2] A. K. Ben-Naoum and J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions of some semilinear wave equations on balls and on spheres, *Top. Meth in Nonlinear Analysis* **1** (1993) 113–137.
- [3] A. K. Ben-Naoum and J. Mawhin, The periodic-Dirichlet problem for some semilinear wave equations, *J. Diff. Eq.* **96** (1992) 340–354.
- [4] J. Berkovits and J. Mawhin, Diophantine approximation, Bessel functions and radially symmetric periodic solutions of semilinear wave equations in a ball, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** 5041–5055, electronically published on July 13, 2001.
- [5] Y. Ding, S. Li, and M. Willem, Periodic solutions of symmetric wave equations, *J. Differential Equations* **145** (1998), no. 2, 217–241.
- [6] W. Kryszewski and A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorems with an application to semilinear Schrodinger equation, *Advances Diff. Equations* **3** (1998) 441–472.

- [7] J. Mawhin, Nonlinear functional analysis and periodic solution of semilinear wave equation, in: *Nonlinear Phenomena in Mathematical Sciences* (Ed:Lakshmikantham), Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [8] J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions of some semilinear wave equations and systems: a survey, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **5** (1995), no. 9, 1651–1669.
- [9] M. Schechter, Critical point theory with weak-to-weak linking, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **51** (1998), no. 11-12, 1247–1254.
- [10] M. Schechter, Rotationally invariant periodic solutions of semilinear wave equations, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **3** (1998), no. 1-2, 171–180.
- [11] M. Schechter, Periodic solutions of semilinear higher dimensional wave equations, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **12** (2001), no. 6, 1029–1034.
- [12] M. Schechter, *Principles of functional analysis. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 36.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [13] M. W. Smiley, Time periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations in balls, in: *Oscillations, Bifurcation and Chaos*, Canad. Math. Soc., Toronto, 1987.
- [14] M. W. Smiley, Eigenfunction methods and nonlinear hyperbolic boundary value problems at resonance, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **122** (1987), 129–151.
- [15] M. W. Smiley, On the existence of smooth breathers for nonlinear wave equations, *J. Differential Equations* **96** (1992), no. 2, 295–317.
- [16] G. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, University Press, Cambridge, 1922.