

PAIRS OF SOLUTIONS OF ASYMPTOTICALLY LINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

FRANCISCO ODAIR DE PAIVA

Department of Mathematics, IMECC - UNICAMP
Caixa Postal 6065, 13083-970 Campinas-SP, Brazil
E-mail: odair@ime.unicamp.br

ABSTRACT. We establish the existence of two nontrivial solutions for the semilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth bounded domain, $g \in C^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ is such that $g(x, 0) = 0$ and asymptotically linear. Our proofs are based on minimax methods and critical groups.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 35J65 (35J20)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . We study the existence of two nontrivial solutions of the elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $g \in C^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ is such that $g(x, 0) = 0$. Furthermore, it is required that there exist

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}, \quad \alpha_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{uniformly in } \Omega; \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\beta_{\pm} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^{\pm}} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}, \quad \beta_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{uniformly in } \Omega. \tag{1.3}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha_- \leq \alpha_+$. It is well known that existence and multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.1) rely strongly on the position of the pairs (α_-, α_+) and $(\beta_{\pm}, \beta_{\mp})$, with respect to eigenvalues of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(\Omega))$.

Similar multiplicity results for problem (1.1) were investigated by many authors. See for instance Ahmad [1], Ambrosetti and Mancine [2], Bartsch *et al.* [3], Castro and Lazer [5], Hirano [9, 10], Li *et al.* [12], Li and Su [14], Li and Willem [15], Mizoguchi [17], de Paiva [18, 19], Zou [21] and references therein. There are several techniques

used to study this problem. For example, Morse theory (critical groups and Morse inequalities) was used in [3, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21]. In [1, 2, 9], the authors used degree theory based on Leray-Schauder degree. The Lyapunov-Schmidt method combined with critical point theory was applied in [5, 15]. In [17], the author used minimax theorems combined with Conley index theory. Our approach is based on minimax theorems and critical groups (Morse theory).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we collect some preliminaries needed to prove the theorems. Section 3 is devoted to present and prove the main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For the convenience of the reader, we recall some notation and results of Morse Theory. Let H be a Hilbert space and $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional of class C^1 . We assume that f satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (we write it (PS) for short). We will also assume that the set of critical points of f , denoted by K , is finite. Let $y \in H$ be a critical point of f with $c = f(y)$. The group

$$C_p(f, y) = H_p(f^c, f^c \setminus \{y\}), \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

is called the p^{th} critical group of f at y , where $f^c = \{x \in H : f(x) \leq c\}$ and $H_p(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the singular relative homology group with integer coefficients. The p^{th} critical groups of f at infinity is defined as

$$C_p(f, \infty) = H_p(H, f^\alpha),$$

where $\alpha < \inf f(K)$. The next result is extremely useful in Morse theory (see for instance [4]).

Proposition 2.1. *If $a < b$ are regular values of f and $H_k(f^b, f^a) \neq 0$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then f has a critical point y with $C_k(f, y) \neq 0$. Moreover, if there is a critical point y with $f(y) \in (a, b)$ and $C_k(f, y) \neq H_k(f^b, f^a)$, then there are other critical points than y .*

Now, we present an application of the previous proposition (see the proof of Theorem 3.8 in [3]).

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that 0 is a critical point of f with $f(0) = 0$ and $C_k(f, 0) \neq 0$. If $H_k(H, f^\alpha) = 0$, then there is a critical point y such that either $C_{k-1}(f, y) \neq 0$ or $C_{k+1}(f, y) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By $C_k(f, 0) \neq 0$, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough we have $H_k(f^\epsilon, f^{-\epsilon}) \neq 0$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{k+1}(H, f^\epsilon) & \rightarrow & H_k(f^\epsilon, f^\alpha) & \rightarrow & H_k(H, f^\alpha) \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & H_k(f^\epsilon, f^{-\epsilon}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & H_{k-1}(f^{-\epsilon}, f^\alpha) & & \end{array}$$

The property of exactness of the Homology implies that either

$$H_{k-1}(f^{-\epsilon}, f^\alpha) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad H_{k+1}(H, f^\epsilon) \neq 0,$$

since $H_k(f^\epsilon, f^{-\epsilon}) \neq 0$ and $H_k(H, f^\alpha) = 0$. By the previous proposition, we conclude that there is a nontrivial critical point y that satisfies either

$$C_{k-1}(f, y) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad C_{k+1}(f, y) \neq 0,$$

which is the desired conclusion. \square

The classical solutions of problem (1.1) correspond to critical points of the C^{2-0} -functional, denoted by F , defined on $H_0^1 = H_0^1(\Omega)$ by

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx, \quad u \in H_0^1, \quad (2.1)$$

where $G(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, s) ds$. The following nonresonance condition will be assumed throughout the paper: the problem

$$-\Delta u = \alpha_+ u^+ - \alpha_- u^-, \quad u \in H_0^1,$$

has only the trivial solution. Under this assumption the functional F satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition. In order to apply Morse theory to obtain multiplicity of critical points of F , we need to compute the critical groups of known critical points and the critical groups at infinity. In this direction we have the following result (see for instance [6, 13]).

Proposition 2.3. (i) *If $\lambda_m < \alpha_{\pm} < \lambda_{m+1}$, then $C_p(F, \infty) = \delta_{pm} \mathbb{Z}$.*

(ii) *If there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda_k \leq \frac{g(x,t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{k+1}$, $\forall x \in \Omega$ and $0 \leq |t| < \delta$, then $C_p(F, 0) = \delta_{pk} \mathbb{Z}$.*

Remark 2.4. Assume the hypotheses of the previous proposition with $m \neq k$. Proposition 2.1 implies that there is a nontrivial critical point u_1 with $C_m(F, u_1) \neq 0$. Moreover, Proposition 2.2 implies that there is a nontrivial critical point u_2 such that either $C_{k-1}(F, u_2) \neq 0$ or $C_{k+1}(F, u_2) \neq 0$. In order to prove that $u_1 \neq u_2$ we will assume some additional conditions, see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in the next section. Our results were motivated by [3, 15], where similar results have been obtained.

Now, we present a version of the Shifting Theorem for C^{2-0} -functionals. Take $X = C_0^1(\Omega)$ and u a nontrivial critical point of F . We have that $F' \in C^1(D, H_0^1)$ and $F''(u_0)$ is a bounded linear operator from X to H_0^1 , where D is a neighborhood of u_0 in the X -topology. The Morse index $\mu(u_0)$ of u_0 measures the dimension of the maximal subspace of X on which $F''(u_0)$ is negative definite. The nullity of u_0 is the dimension of the kernel of $F''(u_0)$, we denote it by $\nu(u_0)$. The authors in [12] were able to give a version of the Shifting Theorem to this case. We summarize it in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Assume that u is a nontrivial critical point of F with finite Morse index μ and nullity ν , then either*

- (i) $C_p(F, u) = 0$ for $p \leq \mu$ and $p \geq \mu + \nu$, or
- (ii) $C_p(F, u) = \delta_{p\mu}\mathbb{Z}$, or
- (iii) $C_p(F, u) = \delta_{p(\mu+\nu)}\mathbb{Z}$.

Another useful tool that we will make use of are the spectral properties of weighted eigenvalue problems. Let $p(x)$ be a bounded function in Ω with nontrivial positive part. Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta v &= \lambda p(x)v & \text{in } \Omega \\ v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

This problem have a sequence of eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1(p) < \lambda_2(p) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j(p) \rightarrow \infty$, and the associated eigenfunctions satisfies the Unique Continuation Property. Moreover, if $p(x) \leq q(x)$, with strict inequality holding on a set of positive measure, then $\lambda_j(p) > \lambda_j(q)$. For all this properties and more we refer to [8]. We remark that in the case $p \equiv 1$ we denote $\lambda_j(1)$ by λ_j .

3. MAIN RESULTS AND PROOFS

We will denote by φ_j the normalized eigenfunction associated to λ_j and $H_j := \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_j\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that there exist k and $m \geq k + 1$ such that $\lambda_{k-1} \leq \beta_{\pm} < \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_m < \alpha_{\pm} < \lambda_{m+1}$. Moreover, assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $g'(x, t) \geq g(x, t)/t$, for all $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (b) $k = 2$ and $g'(x, t) \leq \lambda_{m+1}$, for all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

Proof. From $\lambda_m < \alpha_{\pm} < \lambda_{m+1}$ the functional F satisfies the (PS) condition and has the geometry of Saddle Point Theorem. More precisely, we have

- (i) $F(u) \rightarrow -\infty$, as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$, for $u \in H_m$; and

(ii) $F(u) \rightarrow \infty$, as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$, for $u \in H_m^\perp$.

It follows that there exists a critical point u_1 of F such that, see [6, 16],

$$C_m(F, u_1) \neq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Using $\beta_\pm < \lambda_k$, we can prove that $\langle F''(0)\varphi_j, \varphi_j \rangle > 0$ for all $j \geq k$. Then $\mu(0) + \nu(0) \leq k - 1$ and, by the Proposition 2.5, we have $C_p(F, 0) = 0$ for all $p \geq k$. Therefore u_1 is a nontrivial critical point of F provided $m \geq k + 1$.

Proof of (a): By $\lambda_{k-1} \leq \beta_\pm < \lambda_k$ and $g'(x, t) \geq g(x, t)/t$, we can show that

- (i) there is $R > 0$ such that $F(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in \{t\varphi_k + u; t \geq 0, u \in H_{k-1}\}$ with $\|u\| \leq R$; and
- (ii) there are $r > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that $F(u) \geq a$ for all $u \in H_{k-1}^\perp$ with $\|u\| = r$.

So, the functional F satisfies the hypotheses of the Linking Theorem. We can conclude that there is a critical point u_2 that satisfies

$$C_k(F, u_2) \neq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

and so u_2 is nontrivial. The proof of (a) is complete by the assumption $m > k$, (3.1), and showing that:

Claim: $C_m(F, u_2) = 0$.

Indeed, consider the eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta v = \lambda \frac{g(x, u_2)}{u_2} v, \quad v \in H_0^1.$$

Using that u_2 solves (1), we can conclude that 1 is an eigenvalue of the above problem and u_2 is the associated eigenfunction. Moreover, we can certainly assume that $g(x, u_2)/u_2 > \lambda_{k-1}$ in a set of positive measure. Indeed, if not, then $g(x, u_2)/u_2 = \lambda_{k-1}$, so $u_2 = c\varphi_{k-1}$ and we can also show that $g'(x, u_2) = \lambda_{k-1}$. But, in this case, we have that $s\varphi_{k-1}$ is a solution of (1.1) for all $0 < s < c$, and it is easy to see that the claim is true in that case. Now, assuming $g(x, u_2)/u_2 > \lambda_{k-1}$ in a set of positive measure to hold, we have $\lambda_i(g(x, u_2)/u_2) < \lambda_i(\lambda_{k-1}) \leq 1$ for all $i \leq k - 1$. Since 1 is an eigenvalue, we can conclude that $\lambda_k(g(x, u_2)/u_2) \leq 1$. If we assume that $g'(x, u_2) > g(x, u_2)/u_2$ in a set of positive measure, then we have $\lambda_k(g'(x, u_2)) < 1$. This implies that $\mu(u_2) \geq k$, but $\mu(u_2) \leq k$ since (3.2) holds, so $\mu(u_2) = k$. Then, by (3.2) and the item (ii) in Proposition 2.5, we have the desired conclusion in this case. On the other hand, if we have $g'(x, u_2) = g(x, u_2)/u_2 < \lambda_{m+1}$ for all $x \in \Omega$, then $\lambda_{m+1}(g'(x, u_2)) > 1$, which implies $\mu(u_2) + \nu(u_2) \leq m$. Now, if $\mu(u_2) = k$ the conclusion follows by item (ii) in Proposition 2.5, and if $\mu(u_2) < k$ the conclusion follows by item (i) in Proposition 2.5. \diamond

Proof of (b): Let u_1 be a nontrivial solution such that $C_m(F, u_1) \neq 0$. Let us first prove that:

Claim 1: $C_p(F, u_1) = \delta_{pm}G$.

In fact, by the Proposition 2.5, we have that $\mu(u_1) + \nu(u_1) \geq m$. Let $\varphi \in H_m^\perp$, by $g'(x, t) \leq \lambda_{m+1}$ and the strict inequality holding in a set of positive measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F''(u_1)\varphi, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^2 - g'(x, u_1)\varphi^2 \\ &> \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^2 - \lambda_{m+1}\varphi^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the variational characterization of λ_{m+1} . Follows that $\mu(u_1) + \nu(u_1) \leq m$, and so $\mu(u_1) + \nu(u_1) = m$. The claim follows from (3.1) and the item (iii) of the Proposition 2.5. \diamond

The proof (b) follows from the next claim and by the assumption $m > 2$.

Claim 2: There exists a critical point u_2 of F such that

$$C_2(F, u_2) \neq 0.$$

First note that the flux of $-\nabla F$ is well defined in $X = C_0^1$ and $D = P \cup (-P)$ is an invariant set, where $P = \{u \in X; u \geq 0\}$ (see [7]). Moreover, we have that

- (i) there is $R > 0$ such that $F(u) < 0$ for any $u \in H_2$ with $\|u\| \geq R$; and
- (ii) there are $a, r > 0$ such that $F(u) > a$ for any $u \in H_1^\perp$ with $\|u\| = r$.

The rest of the proof follows as in [3, Theorem 3.6]. We only show the main ideas of the proof. Set

$$B := \{u = s\varphi_1 + t\varphi_2; |s| \leq R, 0 \leq t \leq R\}$$

and

$$\partial B = \{s\varphi_1 + t\varphi_2; |s| = R \text{ or } t \in \{0, R\}\}.$$

Denoting $\tilde{F} = F|_X$ and using (i), we have $\partial B \subset \tilde{F}^0 \cup D$. Let $\gamma = \max \tilde{F}(B)$ so that $(B, \partial B) \xrightarrow{i} (\tilde{F}^\gamma \cup D, \tilde{F}^0 \cup D)$. Now, by (ii), we have

$$(B, \partial B) \xrightarrow{i} (\tilde{F}^\gamma \cup D, \tilde{F}^0 \cup D) \xrightarrow{j} (X, X \setminus \{u \in H_1^\perp; \|u\| = r\}).$$

Using that $H_2(B, \partial B) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_2(X, X \setminus \{u \in H_1^\perp; \|u\| = r\})$ is nontrivial, follows that $H_2(B, \partial B) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(\tilde{F}^\gamma \cup D, \tilde{F}^0 \cup D)$ is nontrivial. Let $\xi \in H_2(\tilde{F}^\gamma \cup D, \tilde{F}^0 \cup D)$ defined by $\xi = i_*(1)$, where $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \cong H_2(B, \partial B)$. Define

$$\Gamma = \{\delta \in \mathbb{R}; \xi \in \text{image}(i_\delta)\} \text{ and } c = \inf \Gamma,$$

where $i_\delta : H_2(\tilde{F}^\delta \cup D, \tilde{F}^0 \cup D) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{F}^\gamma \cup D, \tilde{F}^0 \cup D)$ is induced by the inclusion. It was proved in [3] that c is a critical value of F and $0 < c \leq \gamma$. Furthermore, there is a critical point of F at level c that satisfies the conditions required in the claim. $\diamond \square$

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that there exist m and $k \geq m + 2$ such that $\lambda_m < \alpha_\pm < \lambda_{m+1}$ and $\lambda_k < \beta_\pm \leq \lambda_{k+1}$. Moreover, assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $g'(x, t) \leq g(x, t)/t$, for all $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
 (b) $m = 1$ and $g(x, t)/t \leq \lambda_{k+1}$, for all $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

Proof. Proof of (a): As in the proof of previous theorem, we have that there exists u_1 a critical point of F such that

$$C_m(F, u_1) \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, we can show that

- (i) $\exists r > 0$ such that $\sup_{u \in S} F(u) < 0$, where $S := \{u \in H_k; \|u\| = r\}$;
 (ii) $F(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in H_k^\perp$; and
 (ii) F is bounded below on $\{s\varphi_k + u; s \geq 0, u \in H_k^\perp\}$.

Then, by [20, Theorem 3.2], there is a critical point u_2 of F such that

$$C_{k-1}(F, u_2) \neq 0.$$

By $\lambda_k < \beta_\pm \leq \lambda_{k+1}$ and $g(x, t)/t \leq \lambda_{k+1}$, follows that $C_p(F, 0) = \delta_{pk}\mathbb{Z}$. Thus u_1 and u_2 are nontrivial critical points of F . The proof follows from the next claim and by the assumption $k - 1 > m$.

Claim: $C_m(F, u_2) = 0$.

In fact, by the item (i) in Proposition 2.5, we have that $\mu(u_2) \geq k - 1$. We can assume that $g(x, u_2)/u_2 < \lambda_{k+1}$ in a set of positive measure. Thus $\lambda_i(g(x, u_2)/u_2) > \lambda_i(\lambda_{k+1}) \geq 1$, for all $i \geq k + 1$. Now, using that u_2 solves (1.1), we have

$$-\Delta u_2 = \frac{g(x, u_2)}{u_2} u_2.$$

This implies that $\lambda_k(g(x, u_2)/u_2) \geq 1$. Then, assuming $g'(x, u_2) < g(x, u_2)/u_2$ in a set of positive measure, we have $\mu_k(g'(x, u_2)) > 1$. This implies that $\mu(u_2) \leq k - 1$, and so $\mu(u_2) = k - 1$. The item (i) of the Proposition 2.5 and (3.2) imply the *Claim*. If $g'(x, u_2) = g(x, u_2)/u_2$ for all $x \in \Omega$, then $g'(x, u_2) > \lambda_m$. Hence $\lambda_m(g'(x, u_2)) < 1$ and follows that $\mu(u_2) \geq m$. Now, if $\mu(u_2) = k - 1$ the conclusion follows by item (ii) in Proposition 2.5, and if $\mu(u_2) > k - 1$ the conclusion follows by item (i) in Proposition 2.5. \diamond

Proof of (b): As in the proof of (a), we have a nontrivial critical point u_2 such that

$$C_{k-1}(F, u_2) \neq 0.$$

The proof follows from the next claim and the assumption $k > 2$.

Claim 2: There exists a critical point u_1 of F such that

$$C_p(F, u_1) = \delta_{p,1}\mathbb{Z}.$$

By the characterization of mountain pass, it is sufficient to prove the existence of a critical point u_1 of F such that $C_1(F, u_1) \neq 0$ (see [6]). But it follows as in the case (a) since $m = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ahmad, *Multiple nontrivial solutions of resonant and nonresonant asymptotically linear problems*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1987), 405–409.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti & G. Mancini, *Sharp nonuniqueness results for some nonlinear problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **5** (1979), 635–645.
- [3] T. Bartsch, K.C. Chang & Z-Q. Wang, *On the Morse indices of sign changing solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems*. Math. Z **233** (2000), 655–677.
- [4] T. Bartsch & S. Li, *Critical point theory for asymptotically quadratic functionals and applications to problems with resonance*. Nonlinear Anal. **28** (1997), 419–441.
- [5] A. Castro & A.C. Lazer, *Critical Point Theory and the Number of Solutions of a Nonlinear Dirichlet Problem*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **120** (1979), 113–137.
- [6] K.C. Chang, *Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solutions Problems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [7] D.N. Dancer & Z. Zhang, *Fucik Spectrum, Sign-Changing, and Multiple Solutions for Semilinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems with Resonance at infinity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **250** (2000), 449–464.
- [8] D.G. De Figueiredo, *Positive Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Problems*, Lectures Notes in Math. **957** (1982), 34–87
- [9] N. Hirano, *Multiple nontrivial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 468–472.
- [10] N. Hirano, *Existence of nontrivial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*. Nonlinear Anal. **13** (1989), 695–705.
- [11] N. Hirano & T. Nishimura, *Multiple results for semilinear elliptic problems at resonance and with jumping nonlinearities*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 468–472.
- [12] C. Li, S-J. Li & J-Q. Liu, *Splitting theorem, Poincar-Hopf theorem and jumping nonlinear problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **221** (2005), 439–455
- [13] S-J. Li, K. Perera & J-B. Su, *Computation of Critical Groups in Boundary Value Problems where the Asymptotic Limit may not Exist*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **131** (2001), 721–723.
- [14] S-J. Li, & J-B. Su *Existence of Multiple Solutions of a Two-Point Boundary Value Problems*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **10** (1997), 123–135.
- [15] S-J. Li, & M. Willem, *Multiple solutions for asymptotically linear boundary value problems in which the nonlinearity crosses at least one eigenvalue*. NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **5** (1998), 479–490.
- [16] J.Q. Liu, *A morse index for a saddle point*. Syst. Sc. and Math. Sc. **2** (1989), 32–39.
- [17] N. Mizoguchi, *Multiple Nontrivial Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Equations and their Homotopy Indices*. J. Differential Equations **108** (1994), 101–119.
- [18] F.O. de Paiva, *Multiple Solutions for Asymptotically Linear Resonant Elliptics Problems*. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **21** (2003), 227–247.
- [19] F.O. de Paiva, *Multiple solutions for elliptic problems with asymmetric nonlinearity*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **292** (2004), 317–327.

- [20] K. Perera, *Multiplicity results for some elliptical problems with concave nonlinearities*, J. Differential Equations **140** (1997), 133–141.
- [21] W. Zou, *Multiple Solutions Results for Two-Point Boundary Value Problems with Resonance*, Discret Contin. Dyn. Syst. **4** (1998), 485–496.