

**FIXED POINTS AND EXPONENTIAL STABILITY FOR
UNCERTAIN STOCHASTIC NEURAL NETWORKS
WITH MULTIPLE MIXED TIME-DELAYS**

CHENGJUN GUO¹, DONAL O'REGAN², FEIQI DENG³, AND RAVI P. AGARWAL⁴

¹School of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong University of Technology,
Guangzhou, 510006, China
E-mail: guochj817@.com

²School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University
of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
E-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

³Systems Engineering Institute, South China University of Technology,
Guangzhou, 510640, PR China
E-mail: aufqdeng@scut.edu.cn

⁴Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology,
Melbourne, FL 32901, USA
E-mail: agarwal@tamuk.edu

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the stability of a stochastic neural networks with parameter uncertainties and multiple time delays

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= [-(A + \Delta A(t))x(t) + (B + \Delta B(t))f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))) \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(t)) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p(x(s)) ds] dt + \sum_{j=1}^l h_j(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) dw(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using fixed point theory and a linear matrix inequality(LMI), we obtain new criteria for exponential stability in mean square of the considered uncertain stochastic neural networks with multiple mixed time-delays.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 34K50, 60H10, 93Dxx.

1. Introduction

Neural networks are important applications in various areas such as combinatorial optimization, signal processing, pattern recognition and solving nonlinear algebraic equations [6, 18, 19, 31]. Stability is one of the main properties of neural networks. It is a crucial feature in the design of neural networks and has received a lot of attention recently; see [1, 7, 11, 17, 22–24, 27, 30].

Neural network can be stabilized or destabilized by certain stochastic inputs (see [5, 12, 13, 14, 26]). Stability for stochastic neural networks with parameter uncertainties and multiple time delays were discussed in [2, 15, 16, 21, 28, 32].

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions, i.e. it is right continuous and \mathcal{F}_0 contains all P -null sets. Let $C_{\mathcal{F}_0}^b([-\tau, 0]; R^n)$ be the family of all bounded, \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable functions. Let $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T$ and $B = [b_{ij}(t)]_{n \times n}$ with

$$|x(t)|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i(t)|$$

and

$$\|B(t)\|_3 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |b_{ij}(t)|.$$

We denote by $C([-\tau^*, 0]; R^n)$ the family of continuous functions $\varphi : [-\tau^*, 0] \rightarrow R^n$ with

$$\|\varphi\|_2 = \sup_{-\tau^* \leq \theta \leq 0} |\varphi(\theta)|_1,$$

where τ^* is a positive constant.

In this paper, using fixed point theory and a linear matrix inequality(LMI) [4, 8], we discuss the stability of a stochastic neural network with parameter uncertainties and multiple time-varying delays

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= [-(A + \Delta A(t))x(t) + (B + \Delta B(t))f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(t)) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p(x(s))ds]dt + \sum_{j=1}^l h_j(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t)))dw(t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{DW})$$

with the initial condition

$$x(s) = \psi(s) \in C([-\tau^*, 0]; R^n), \quad -\tau^* \leq s \leq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tau^* \geq \max\{\tau_i(t), \sigma_j(t), r_p(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, l, p = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a positive constant, $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T$ is the state vector, $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) > 0$, B and W_p are the connection weight constant matrices with appropriate dimensions, $\Delta A(t)$, $\Delta B(t)$ and $\Delta W_p(t)$ represent the time-varying parameter uncertainties and bounded, $p = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Here $w(t) = (w_1(t), w_2(t), \dots, w_m(t))^T \in R^m$ is a m -dimensional Brownian motion defined on a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and

$$f(t, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in C(R \times R^n \times R^n \times \dots \times R^n)_{n+1}$$

is the neuron activation function and we assume $f(t, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$. The stochastic disturbance term, $h_j(t, u_1, u_2) \in C(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$, can be viewed as stochastic perturbations on the neuron states and delayed neuron states.

Usually in literature the neuron activation function is assumed to be continuous, differentiable, monotonically increasing and bounded. However, in many real systems, such as electronic circuits, it may not be monotonically increasing or continuously differentiable. This paper was motivated by some ideas in [10, 20].

2. Preliminaries

For the sake of completeness, some definitions and lemmas will be stated here and they will be used in the proof of our main results.

Definition 2.1. The system (DW) with the initial condition is said to be exponentially stable in mean square for all admissible uncertainties if there exists a solution x of (DW) and there exists a pair of positive constants β and μ with

$$E\|x(t)\|_1^2 \leq \mu E\|\psi\|_2^2 e^{-\beta t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.2. The system (DW) with the initial condition is said to be globally exponentially stable in mean square for all admissible uncertainties if there exists a scalar $\varsigma > 0$, such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log(E\|x(t; \psi)\|_2^2) \leq -\varsigma. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $C^{2,1}(R^+ \times R^n; R^+)$ denote the family of all nonnegative functions $V(t, x)$ on $R^+ \times R^n$ which are continuously twice differentiable in x and once differentiable in t . For each $V \in C^{2,1}([-\tau^*, \infty) \times R^+; R^+)$, define an operator LV , associated with the uncertain stochastic neural networks with multiple mixed time-delays (DW), from $(R^+ \times C[-\tau^*, \infty); R^n)$ to R by

$$\begin{aligned} LV(t, x) = & V_t(t, x) + V_x(t, x)[(B + \Delta B(t))f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^l h_i^T(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_i(t))) \right) V_{xx}(t, x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l h_i(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_i(t))) \right) \right] \\ & - (A + \Delta A(t))x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^k (W_i + \Delta W_i(t)) \int_{t-r_i(t)}^t g_i(x(s))ds], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_t(t, x) &= \left(\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial t} \right), \\ V_x(t, x) &= \left(\frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x_n} \right), \\ V_{xx}(t, x) &= \left(\frac{\partial^2 V(t, x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{n \times n}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 ([29]). Let A, B, C and D be real matrices of appropriate dimension with D satisfying $D = D^T$. Then

$$ABC + C^T B^T A^T + D < 0, \quad \text{for all } B^T B \leq I$$

if and only if there exists a scale $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\gamma^{-1} A A^T + \gamma C^T C + D < 0.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([25]). Let A, D, E, F and P be real matrices of appropriate dimension with $P > 0$ and F satisfying $F^T F \leq I$. Then for any scalar $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying $P^{-1} - \varepsilon^{-1} D D^T > 0$, we have

$$(A + DFE)^T P (A + DFE) \leq A^T (P^{-1} - \varepsilon^{-1} D D^T)^{-1} A + \varepsilon E^T E.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([3]). The *LMI*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{R}_{11} & \mathfrak{R}_{12} \\ \mathfrak{R}_{12}^T & -\mathfrak{R}_{22} \end{pmatrix} < 0,$$

with $\mathfrak{R}_{11} = \mathfrak{R}_{11}^T$, $\mathfrak{R}_{22} = \mathfrak{R}_{22}^T$, is equivalent to

$$\mathfrak{R}_{22} > 0, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{11} + \mathfrak{R}_{12} \mathfrak{R}_{22}^{-1} \mathfrak{R}_{12}^T < 0.$$

Lemma 2.4 ([9]). For any positive definite matrix $M > 0$, scalar $\kappa > 0$, vector function $\varpi : [0, \kappa] \rightarrow R^n$ such that the integrations concerned are well defined, the following inequality holds:

$$\left(\int_0^\kappa \varpi(s) ds \right)^T M \left(\int_0^\kappa \varpi(s) ds \right) \leq \kappa \left(\int_0^\kappa \varpi^T(s) M \varpi(s) ds \right).$$

3. Exponential stability (I)

In this section we prove that system (DW) is exponentially stable in mean square under the following conditions:

(H₁) $|g_p(x) - g_p(y)| \leq |G_p(x - y)|$ and $g_p(0) = 0$, where $G_p \in R^{n \times n}$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, k$;

(H₂)

$$|f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))) - f(t, y(t), y(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, y(t - \tau_m(t)))|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(x(t - \tau_i(t))) - f_i(y(t - \tau_i(t)))| + |f_0(x(t)) - f_0(y(t))|;$$

(H₃) $|f_i(x) - f_i(y)| \leq |K_i(x - y)|$ and $f_i(0) = 0$, where $K_i \in R^{n \times n}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$;

(H₄) There exist positive definite matrices $H_0, H_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, l)$ such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^l [h_j(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) - h_j(t, y(t), y(t - \sigma_j(t)))] \right\}^T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^l [h_j(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) - h_j(t, y(t), y(t - \sigma_j(t)))] \right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^l [x(t - \sigma_j(t)) - y(t - \sigma_j(t))]^T H_j [x(t - \sigma_j(t)) - y(t - \sigma_j(t))] \\
& \quad + [x(t) - y(t)]^T H_0 [x(t) - y(t)]
\end{aligned}$$

and $h_j(t, 0, 0) = 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$;

(H₅)

$$\begin{aligned}
(m + k + l + 1) \left(\|\Delta A\|_3^2 + \sum_{i=0}^m \|\bar{B}_i\|_3^2 + \sum_{p=0}^k \|(W_p + \Delta W_p)G_p\|_3^2 \right. \\
\left. + \|H_0\|_3 + \sum_{j=1}^l \|H_j\|_3 \right) < 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{B}_0 = (B + \Delta B(t))f_0$, $\bar{B}_i = (B + \Delta B(t))f_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$;

(H₆) There exists a $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\min\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \geq 2\alpha.$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that conditions (H₁)–(H₆) are satisfied. Then the system (DW) is exponentially stable in mean square for all admissible uncertainties, that is, $e^{\alpha t} E|x(t)|_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. From (DW), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) = \exp(-At) \left\{ \psi(0) + \int_0^t \exp(As) \left[\sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(s)) \int_{s-r_p(s)}^s g_p(x(v)) dv \right. \right. \\
+ (B + \Delta B(s))f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_m(s))) - \Delta A(s)x(s) \Big] ds \\
\left. + \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^t h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) \exp(As) dw(s) \right\}. \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be the Banach space of all bounded and continuous in mean square \mathcal{F}_0 -adapted processes $\phi(t, \omega) : [-\tau^*, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow R^n$ with the supremum norm

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}} := \sup_{t \geq 0} E|\phi(t)|_1^2 \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Denote by S the complete metric space with the supremum metric consisting of functions $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(s) = \psi(s)$ on $s \in [-\tau^*, 0]$ and $e^{\alpha t} E|\phi(t, \omega)|_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Define an operator Φ on S by $\Phi(x)(t) = \psi(t)$ for $t \in [-\tau^*, 0]$ and for $t \geq 0$,

$$\Phi(x)(t) := \sum_{i=1}^3 \nu_i(t), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\nu_1(t) := \exp(-At)\psi(0), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\nu_2(t) := \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^t \exp A(s-t) h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s), \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_3(t) := & \int_0^t \exp A(s-t) \left[-\Delta A(s)x(s) + \sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(s)) \int_{s-r_p(s)}^s g_p(x(v)) dv \right. \\ & \left. + (B + \Delta B(s))f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_m(s))) \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We first verify the mean square continuity of Φ .

Let $x \in S$, $t_1 \geq 0$, and $|r|$ be sufficiently small. Then

$$E|\Phi(x)(t_1 + r) - \Phi(x)(t_1)|_1^2 \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^3 E|\nu_i(t_1 + r) - \nu_i(t_1)|_1^2. \quad (3.6)$$

It is easy to see that

$$E|\nu_i(t_1 + r) - \nu_i(t_1)|_1^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1 \text{ or } i = 3 \quad (3.7)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$. Further, by using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality [20], we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E|\nu_2(t_1 + r) - \nu_2(t_1)|_1^2 \\ &= E \left| \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^{t_1+r} \exp A(s-t_1-r) h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^{t_1} \exp A(s-t_1) h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \right|_1^2 \\ &= E \left| \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^{t_1} \exp A(s-t_1-r) h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^l \int_{t_1}^{t_1+r} \exp A(s-t_1-r) h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^{t_1} \exp A(s-t_1) h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \right|_1^2 \\ &= E \left| \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^{t_1} \exp A(s-t_1) [\exp A(-r) - I] h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^l \int_{t_1}^{t_1+r} \exp A(s-t_1-r) h_j(s, x(s), x(s-\sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \Big|_1^2 \\
& \leq 2E \int_0^{t_1} \exp 2A(s-t_1) [\exp A(-r) - I]^2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(s, x(s), x(s-\sigma_j(s))) \right] \\
& \quad \times \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j(s, x(s), x(s-\sigma_j(s))) \right] ds + 2E \int_{t_1}^{t_1+r} \exp 2A(s-t_1-r) \\
& \quad \times \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(s, x(s), x(s-\sigma_j(s))) \right] \times \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j(s, x(s), x(s-\sigma_j(s))) \right] ds. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Note,

$$\exp A(s-t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{a_1(s-t)} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{a_2(s-t)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{a_n(s-t)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\| \exp A(s-t) \|_3 = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{a_i(s-t)}.$$

From (H_4) , (H_5) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E|\nu_2(t_1+r) - \nu_2(t_1)|_1^2 \\
& \leq 2 \left(\|H_0\|_3 + \sum_{j=1}^l \|H_j\|_3 \right) \left[E \left(\sup_{t_1-\tau^* \leq s \leq t_1+r} |x(s)|_1^2 \right) \int_{t_1}^{t_1+r} \|\exp A(s-t_1-r)\|_3^2 ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + E \left(\sup_{-\tau^* \leq s \leq t_1} |x(s)|_1^2 \right) \int_0^{t_1} \|\exp A(s-t_1)\|_3^2 \|\exp A(-r) - I\|_3^2 ds \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Φ is mean square continuous.

Next, we show that $\Phi(S) \subset S$. It is easy to see $e^{\alpha t} E|\nu_1(t)|_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It remains to prove $e^{\alpha t} E|\nu_2(t)|_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $e^{\alpha t} E|\nu_3(t)|_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Note,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\alpha t} E|\nu_3(t)|_1^2 & = e^{\alpha t} E \left| \int_0^t \exp A(s-t) \left[\sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(s)) \int_{s-r_p(s)}^s g_p(x(v)) dv \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + (B + \Delta B(s)) f(s, x(s), x(s-\tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s-\tau_m(s))) - \Delta A(s)x(s) \right] ds \right|_1^2 \\
& \leq e^{\alpha t} E \int_0^t \|\exp A(s-t)\|_3^2 \left[-\Delta A(s)x(s) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (B + \Delta B(s)) f(s, x(s), x(s-\tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s-\tau_m(s))) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(t)) \int_{s-r_p(s)}^s g_p(x(v)) dv \right]_1^2 ds. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t^* > 0$ such that $s \geq t^* - \tau^*$ implies $e^{\alpha s} E|x(s)|^2 < \varepsilon$. Hence, we have from (H_6) and (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\alpha t} E|\nu_3(t)|_1^2 &\leq e^{\alpha t} E \int_0^{t^*} \|\exp A(s-t)\|_3^2 \left[\sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(s)) \int_{s-r_p(s)}^s g_p(x(v)) dv \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (B + \Delta B(s))f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_m(s))) - \Delta A(s)x(s) \right]_1^2 ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t^*}^t \|\exp A(s-t)\|_3^2 \left[\sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(s)) \int_{s-r_p(s)}^s g_p(x(v)) dv \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (B + \Delta B(s))f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_m(s))) - \Delta A(s)x(s) \right]_1^2 ds \\
&\leq e^{(\alpha - 2\lambda_{\min}(A))t} C_1^* E \left(\sup_{-\tau^* \leq s \leq t^*} |x(s)|_1^2 \right) \int_0^{t^*} e^{2\lambda_{\min}(A)s} ds \\
&\quad + e^{\alpha t} C_1^* E \int_{t^*}^t e^{\alpha s} e^{-\alpha s} x^T(s) x(s) e^{2\lambda_{\min}(A)(s-t)} ds \\
&\leq e^{(\alpha - 2\lambda_{\min}(A))t} C_1^* E \left(\sup_{-\tau^* \leq s \leq t^*} |x(s)|_1^2 \right) \int_0^{t^*} e^{2\lambda_{\min}(A)s} ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}, \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ represents the minimal eigenvalue of A , $C_1^* = n(\|\Delta A\|_3 + r^* \sum_{p=1}^k \|(W_p + \Delta W_p)G_p\|_3 + \sum_{i=0}^m \|\bar{B}_i\|_3^2)$, $\bar{B}_0 = (B + \Delta B(t))f_0$, $\bar{B}_i = (B + \Delta B(t))f_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Thus, we have $e^{\alpha t} E|\nu_2(t)|_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

From (H_4) and (H_5) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\alpha t} E|\nu_2(t)|_1^2 &\leq e^{\alpha t} E \left| \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^t \exp A(s-t) h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) dw(s) \right|_1^2 \\
&\leq (l+1) e^{\alpha t} E \int_0^t \|\exp A(s-t)\|_3^2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) \right] ds \\
&= (l+1) e^{\alpha t} E \int_0^{t^*} \|\exp A(s-t)\|_3^2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) \right] ds \\
&\quad + (l+1) e^{\alpha t} E \int_{t^*}^t \|\exp A(s-t)\|_3^2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j(s, x(s), x(s - \sigma_j(s))) \right] ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq n(l+1)(\|H_0\|_3 + \sum_{j=1}^l \|H_j\|_3) [e^{\alpha t} E \int_{t^*}^t x^T(s)x(s)e^{2\lambda_{\min}(A)(s-t)} ds \\
&\quad + e^{(\alpha-2\lambda_{\min}(A))t} E \left(\sup_{-\tau^* \leq s \leq t^*} |x(s)|_1^2 \right) \int_0^{t^*} e^{2\lambda_{\min}(A)s} ds] \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. As a result $\Phi(S) \subset S$.

For $x, y \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\Phi(x)(s) - \Phi(y)(s)|_1^2 \\
&\leq E \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s \exp A(\eta - s) \left[\sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(s)) \int_{\eta - r_p(\eta)}^\eta g_p(x(v)) dv \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (B + \Delta B(\eta)) f(\eta, x(\eta), x(\eta - \tau_1(\eta)), \dots, x(\eta - \tau_m(\eta))) - \Delta A(\eta) x(\eta) \right] d\eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^s \exp A(\eta - s) h_j(\eta, x(\eta), x(\eta - \sigma_j(\eta))) dw(\eta) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^s \exp A(\eta - s) \left[-\Delta A(\eta) y(\eta) + \sum_{p=1}^k (W_p + \Delta W_p(s)) \int_{\eta - r_p(\eta)}^\eta g_p(y(v)) dv \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (B + \Delta B(\eta)) f(\eta, y(\eta), y(\eta - \tau_1(\eta)), \dots, y(\eta - \tau_m(\eta))) \right] d\eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^s \exp A(\eta - s) h_j(\eta, y(\eta), y(\eta - \sigma_j(\eta))) dw(\eta) \right|_1^2 \\
&\leq C_2^* E \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |x(s) - y(s)|_1^2, \quad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_2^* = (m+k+l+2)(\|\Delta A\|_3^2 + \sum_{i=0}^m \|\bar{B}_i\|_3^2 + \sum_{p=1}^k \|(W_p + \Delta W_p)G_p\|_3^2 + \|H_0\| + \sum_{j=1}^l \|H_j\|_3)$. Thus Φ is a contraction since $0 < C_2^* < 1$.

Hence the Banach contraction principle guarantees that Φ has a fixed point x in S and note $x(s) = \psi(s)$ on $[-\tau^*, 0]$ and $e^{\alpha t} E \|x(t)\|_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

4. Exponential stability (II)

The proof in this section is based on the linear matrix inequality (LMI).

We now assume that following hypothesis is satisfied:

(V₁) The parameter uncertainties are of the ΔA , ΔB and $\Delta W_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Delta A(\cdot), \Delta W_1(\cdot), \Delta W_2(\cdot), \dots, \Delta W_k(\cdot), \Delta B(\cdot)f_0(\cdot), \Delta B(\cdot)f_1(\cdot), \dots, \Delta B(\cdot)f_m(\cdot)) \\
&= MF(N_A, N_{W_1}, N_{W_2}, \dots, N_{W_k}, N_0, N_1, \dots, N_m)
\end{aligned}$$

in which $M, N_A, N_{W_1}, N_{W_2}, \dots, N_{W_k}, N_0, N_1, \dots, N_m$ are known constant matrices with appropriate dimensions. The uncertain matrix $F(t)$ satisfies

$$F^T(t)F(t) \leq I, \quad \forall t \in R.$$

(V₂) The time-varying delays $\tau_i(t), \sigma(t), r_p(t)$ satisfy

$$\tau_i'(t) \leq \tau < 1, \sigma_j'(t) \leq \sigma < 1, r_p(t) \leq r^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, l, p = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

where $t \in R$, τ , σ and r^* are constants.

For convenience, let $m \geq l$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose (H₁)–(H₃) and (V₁)–(V₂) hold and assume that there exist matrices $P > 0$, $D_0 \geq 0$ and $D_i \geq 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, l)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{trace} \left[\sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) P h_j(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) \right] \\ & \leq x^T(t) D_0 x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^l x^T(t - \sigma_j(t)) D_j x(t - \sigma_j(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Then the system (DW) is globally exponentially stable in mean square for all admissible uncertainties, if there exist positive scalar $\varrho > 0$, $\epsilon_p > 0 (p = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ and positive definite matrices $Q_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, $R_p > 0 (p = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ such that the LMI holds:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Xi & P(B_0 f_0) & P(B_1 f_1) & \cdots & P(B_m f_m) & \sqrt{m+2}PM \\ (B_0 f_0)^T P & -\varrho N_0^T N_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ (B_1 f_1)^T P & 0 & \Upsilon_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (B_m f_m)^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Upsilon_m & 0 \\ \sqrt{m+2}M^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\varrho I \end{pmatrix} < 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\Xi = (-PA - A^T P) + D_0 + \widetilde{W} + \sum_{p=1}^k \epsilon_p P(W_p W_p^T + N_{W_p}^T N_{W_p}^T) P + \varrho N_A^T N_A, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\widetilde{W} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} Q_i + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} D_j + \sum_{p=1}^k r^* R_p \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\Upsilon_i = -\varrho N_i^T N_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let

$$V(t, x(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t x^T(s) Q_i x(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma_j(t)}^t x^T(s) D_j x(s) ds$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^k \int_{-r^*}^0 \int_{t+s}^t x^T(\eta) R_p x(\eta) d\eta ds + x^T P x. \quad (4.6)$$

From Itô's differential formula (see, e.g., [12]) we have along (DW)

$$\begin{aligned} LV(t, x(t)) &= \sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) Ph_j(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) + x^T [-PA(t) \\ &\quad - A^T(t)P]x + 2x^T P[B(t)f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))) \quad (4.1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^k W_p(t) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p(x(s)) ds] + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} x^T(t) Q_i x(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} x^T(t) D_j x(t) + \sum_{p=1}^k r^* x^T(t) R_p x(t) \\ &\quad - \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1-\tau_i'(t)}{1-\tau} x^T(t - \tau_i(t)) Q_i x(t - \tau_i(t)) + \sum_{p=1}^k \int_{t-r^*}^t x^T(s) R_p x(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1-\sigma_j'(t)}{1-\sigma} x^T(t - \sigma_j(t)) D_j x(t - \sigma_j(t)) \right], \quad (4.7) \end{aligned}$$

where $A(t) = A + \Delta A(t)$, $B(t) = B + \Delta B(t)$ and $W_p(t) = W_p + \Delta W_p(t)$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

From (V₂) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} LV(t, x(t)) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^l h_j^T(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) Ph_j(t, x(t), x(t - \sigma_j(t))) \\ &\quad + 2x^T P \left[\sum_{p=1}^k W_p(t) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p(x(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + B(t)f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} x^T(t) Q_i x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} x^T(t) D_j x(t) + \sum_{p=1}^k r^* x^T(t) R_p x(t) \\ &\quad - \left[\sum_{i=1}^m x^T(t - \tau_i(t)) Q_i x(t - \tau_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^l x^T(t - \sigma_j(t)) D_j x(t - \sigma_j(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{p=1}^k \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t x^T(s) R_p x(s) ds \right] + x^T [-PA(t) - A^T(t)P]x. \quad (4.8) \end{aligned}$$

For the positive scalars $\epsilon_p > 0$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots, k$), by using the relation (H₁), it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$2x^T P \left[\sum_{p=1}^k W_p(t) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p(x(s)) ds \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{p=1}^k [\epsilon_p x^T P W_p(t) W_p^T(t) P x + \epsilon_p^{-1} (\int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p(x(s)) ds)^T (\int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p(x(s)) ds)] \\
&\leq \sum_{p=1}^k [\epsilon_p x^T P W_p(t) W_p^T(t) P x + \epsilon_p^{-1} r_p(t) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t g_p^T(x(s)) g_p(x(s)) ds] \\
&\leq \sum_{p=1}^k [\epsilon_p x^T P W_p(t) W_p^T(t) P x + \epsilon_p^{-1} r_p(t) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t x^T(s) G_p^T G_p x(s) ds] \\
&\leq \sum_{p=1}^k [\epsilon_p x^T P W_p(t) W_p^T(t) P x + (1 - \eta_i) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t x^T(s) R_p x(s) ds] ds \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^k [\epsilon_p x^T P (W_p + \Delta W_p(t)) (W_p + \Delta W_p(t))^T P x \\
&\quad + (1 - \eta_p) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t x^T(s) R_p x(s) ds] ds \\
&\leq \sum_{p=1}^k [\epsilon_p x^T P (W_p W_p^T + W_p (\Delta W_p(t))^T + \Delta W_p(t) W_p^T + \Delta W_p(t) (\Delta W_p(t))^T) P x \\
&\quad + (1 - \eta_p) \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t x^T(s) R_p x(s) ds] ds, \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\epsilon_p^{-1} r_p(t) G_p^T G_p \leq (1 - \eta_p) R_p, \quad \eta_p \geq 0, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

For any scalar $\varepsilon > 0$, it is easy to get that

$$W_p (\Delta W_p(t))^T + \Delta W_p(t) W_p^T \leq \varepsilon W_p W_p^T + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Delta W_p(t) (\Delta W_p(t))^T.$$

From Lemma 2.2, there exists a scalar $\varepsilon' > 0$ that we have

$$\Delta W_p(t) (\Delta W_p(t))^T = (MF(t) N_{W_p}) (MF(t) N_{W_p})^T \leq \varepsilon' N_{W_p} N_{W_p}^T, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, k. \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.8)–(4.10), we have

$$LV(t, x(t)) \leq \xi^T \Theta \xi - \sum_{p=1}^k \eta_p \int_{t-r_p(t)}^t x^T(s) R_p x(s) ds \leq \xi^T \Theta \xi, \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\xi = (x^T(t), f_0^T, f_1^T, \dots, f_m^T, x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))), \tag{4.12}$$

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Xi} & P\bar{B}_0 & P\bar{B}_1 & \cdots & P\bar{B}_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \bar{B}_0^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \bar{B}_1^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bar{B}_m^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -Q_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -Q_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\bar{\Xi} = (-PA(t) - A^T(t)P) + D_0 + \widetilde{W} + \sum_{p=1}^k \epsilon_p P(W_p W_p^T + N_{W_p}^T N_{W_p}^T)P + \varrho N_A^T N_A$.

From Lemma 2.3, we have that $\Theta < 0$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\Lambda} < 0$, where

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Xi} & P\bar{B}_0 & P\bar{B}_1 & \cdots & P\bar{B}_m \\ \bar{B}_0^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \bar{B}_1^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ \bar{B}_m^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.14)$$

From (V_1) and (4.14), the matrix $\tilde{\Lambda}$ can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \odot + X\tilde{F}(t)Y + Y^T\tilde{F}^T(t)X^T, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\odot = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi & P(B_0 f_0) & P(B_1 f_1) & \cdots & P(B_m f_m) \\ (B_0 f_0)^T P & \varrho N_0^T N_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ (B_1 f_1)^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ (B_m f_m)^T P & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} PM & PM & \cdots & PM \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(m+2) \times (m+2)}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$Y = \text{diag}\{-N_A, N_0, N_1, \dots, N_m\}_{m+2} \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$\tilde{F} = \text{diag}\{F(t), F(t), \dots, F(t)\}_{m+2}. \quad (4.19)$$

From Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive scalar $\varrho > 0$, we have

$$\tilde{\Lambda} \leq \odot + \varrho^{-1} X X^T + \varrho Y^T Y. \quad (4.20)$$

From (4.2), (4.13)–(4.15) and (4.20) with manipulations one can show that the *LMI* (4.2) is equivalent to $\tilde{\Lambda} < 0$ (and so $\Theta < 0$).

Let $\tilde{V}(t, x(t)) = e^{kt}V(t, x(t))$, where k is to be determined. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x(t)) &\leq \lambda_{\max}(P)|x(t)|_1^2 + r^* \sum_{p=1}^k \int_{t-r^*}^t x^T(s)R_p x(s)ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} \int_{t-\tau_i}^t x^T(s)Q_i x(s)ds + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma_j}^t x^T(s)D_j x(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L\tilde{V}(t, x(t)) &= e^{kt}[kV(t, x(t)) + LV(t, x(t))] \\ &\leq e^{kt}\{\xi^T\Theta\xi + k[\lambda_{\max}(P)|x(t)|_1^2 + r^* \sum_{p=1}^k \int_{t-r^*}^t x^T(s)R_p x(s)ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} \int_{t-\tau_i}^t x^T(s)Q_i x(s)ds + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma_j}^t x^T(s)D_j x(s)ds]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Choose k sufficiently small so that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^T\Theta\xi + k\left[\lambda_{\max}(P)|x(t)|_1^2 + r^* \sum_{p=1}^k \int_{t-r^*}^t x^T(s)R_p x(s)ds \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} \int_{t-\tau_i}^t x^T(s)Q_i x(s)ds + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma_j}^t x^T(s)D_j x(s)ds\right] \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

From (4.22) and (4.23), we have

$$L\tilde{V}(t, x(t)) \leq 0, \quad (4.24)$$

which implies that

$$E\tilde{V}(t, x(t)) \leq E\tilde{V}(0, x(0)). \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{kt}EV(t, x(t)) &\leq EV(0, x(0)) \\ &\leq E\left\{\lambda_{\max}(P)|x(0)|_1^2 + r^* \sum_{p=1}^k \int_{-r^*}^0 x^T(s)R_p x(s)ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\tau} \int_{-\tau_i}^0 x^T(s)Q_i x(s)ds + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{1-\sigma} \int_{-\sigma_j}^0 x^T(s)D_j x(s)ds\right\} \\ &\leq \left[\lambda_{\max}(P) + k(r^*)^2\lambda_{\max}(R) + \frac{m\tau\lambda_{\max}(Q)}{1-\tau} + \frac{l\sigma\lambda_{\max}(D)}{1-\sigma}\right] \max_{-\tau^* \leq s \leq 0} E|x(s)|_1^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where $\lambda_{\max}(R) = \max\{\lambda_{\max}(R_1), \lambda_{\max}(R_2), \dots, \lambda_{\max}(R_k)\}$, $\lambda_{\max}(Q) = \max\{\lambda_{\max}(Q_1), \lambda_{\max}(Q_2), \dots, \lambda_{\max}(Q_m)\}$, $\lambda_{\max}(D) = \max\{\lambda_{\max}(D_1), \lambda_{\max}(D_2), \dots, \lambda_{\max}(D_l)\}$. Also, it is easy to see that

$$EV(t, x(t) \geq \lambda_{\min}(P)|x(t)|_1^2. \tag{4.27}$$

From (4.26) and (4.27), it follows that

$$E|x(t)|_1^2 \leq \lambda_{\min}^{-1}(P)[\lambda_{\max}(P) + k(r^*)^2\lambda_{\max}(R) + \frac{m\tau\lambda_{\max}(Q)}{1-\tau} + \frac{l\sigma\lambda_{\max}(D)}{1-\sigma}]e^{-kt} \max_{-\tau^* \leq s \leq 0} E|x(s)|_1^2. \tag{4.28}$$

Thus the system (DW) is globally exponentially stable in mean square.

Remark 4.1. Note the results in [14] and [27] are special cases of (DW).

5. Some examples

Now we provide some examples.

Example 5.1.

$$dx = [-(A + \Delta A(t))x(t) + (B + \Delta B(t))(x(t) + x(t - \tau)) + (W + \Delta W(t)) \int_{t-\tau}^t x(s)ds]dt + [h_0(t)x(t) + h_1(t)x(t - \tau)]dw(t) \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in [-\tau, 0].$$

It is easy to see that $f_0 = f_1 = g_1 = I$ and (H_1) – (H_6) are satisfied when $(\|\Delta A\|_3 + \|\overline{B}_0\|_3 + \|\overline{B}_1\|_3 + \|W + \Delta W\|_3 + \|h_0\|_3 + \|h_1\|_3)$ are sufficiently small and $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \geq 2\text{diag}(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$. Theorem 3.1 guarantees that system (5.1) is exponentially stable in mean square for all admissible uncertainties.

Example 5.2.

$$dx = [-(A + \Delta A(t))x(t) + (B + \Delta B(t))x(t - \tau) + (W + \Delta W(t)) \int_{t-\tau}^t x(s)ds]dt + [h_0(t)x(t) + h_1(t)x(t - \tau)]dw(t) \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in [-\tau, 0].$$

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.3 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} -0.3 & 0.08 \\ 0.11 & 0.36 \end{pmatrix}, W = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$h_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}, h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}, D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M = P = F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N_A = \begin{pmatrix} -0.04 & 0.01 \\ 0.03 & -0.008 \end{pmatrix}, N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.03 & -0.02 \\ 0.04 & -0.06 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N_W = \begin{pmatrix} -0.05 & 0 \\ 0.04 & 0.05 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving the LMI in Theorem 4.1, we get $\varrho = 8.3864$, $\epsilon = 8.8398$,

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1124 & -0.0100 \\ -0.0100 & 0.2631 \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4497 & -0.0396 \\ -0.0396 & 1.0523 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.1 guarantees that system (5.2) is globally exponentially stable in mean square for all admissible uncertainties.

This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (60874114), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51275094), and by china postdoctoral science foundation (No. 20110490893).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Arik, Stability analysis of delays cellular neural network, *IEEE Trans Circuits Syst-I*, 47 (2007), 1089–1092.
- [2] J. A. D. Appleby, Fixed points, stability and harmless stochastic perturbations, *preprint*.
- [3] S. Boyd, El. Ghaoui, E. Feron and V. Balakrishnan, Linear matrix inequalities in system and control theory, *SIAM, Philadelphia, PA*, 1994.
- [4] T. A. Burton, Stability by fixed point theory for functional differential equations, *Dover Publications, Inc*, New York, 2006.
- [5] S. Blythe, X. R. Mao and X. X. Liao, Stability of stochastic delay neural networks, *J Franklin Inst*, 338 (2001) 481–495.
- [6] L. O. Chua and L. Yang, Cellular neural network: theory and applications, *IEEE Trans Circ Syst.*, 35(10)(1988), 1257–1290.
- [7] J. D. Cao, J. Wang, Globally exponential stability and periodicity of recurrent neural networks with time delays, *IEEE Trans Circuits Syst-I*, 52(5)(2005), 920–931.
- [8] P. Gahinet, A. Nemirovsky, A.J. Laub and M. Chilali, LMI control toolbox: for use with matlab, *The Math Works, Inc*, 1995.
- [9] K. Gu, An integral inequality in the stability problem of time-delay systems, *In: Proceedings of 39th IEEE conference on decision and control, December 2000, Sydney, Australia*, (2000) 2805–2810.
- [10] C. J. Guo, D. O'Regan, F. Q. Deng and R. Agarwal, Fixed points and exponential stability for a stochastic neutral cellular neural network, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 26 (2013) 849–853.
- [11] J. K. Hale, "Theory of functional differential equations", *Springer-Verlag*, 1977.
- [12] S. Haykin, "Neural networks", *NJ: Prentice-Hall*, 1994.
- [13] H. Huang, D.W.C.Ho and J. Lam, Stochastic stability analysis of fuzzy Hopfield neural networks with time-varying delays, *IEEE Trans Circuits Syst-II*, 52 (5) (2005) 251–255.
- [14] J. H. S. M. Zhong and L. Liang, Exponential stability analysis of stochastic delays cellular neural network, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 27 (2006), 1006–1010.
- [15] H. Huang and J. D. Cao, Exponential stability analysis of uncertain stochastic neural networks with multiple delays, *Nonlinear Anal: Real World Appl*, 8 (2007), 646–653.
- [16] M. G. Hua, F. Q. Deng, X. Z. Liu and Y. J. Peng, Roust delay-dependent exponential stability of uncertain stochastic system with time-varying delay, *Circuits System Signal Process*, appear.

- [17] MP. Joy, Results concerning the absolute stability of delayde networks, *Neural Networks*, 13 (2000), 613–616.
- [18] G. Joya, MA Atencia and F. Sandoval, Hopfield neural networks for optimization: study of the different dynamics, *Neurocomputing*, 43 (2002), 219–237.
- [19] W. J. Li and T. Lee, Hopfield neural networks for affine invariant matching, *IEEE Trans Neural Networks*, 12 (2001), 1400–1410.
- [20] J. W. Luo, Fixed points and exponential stability for stochastic Volterra-Levin equations, *J.Comput.Appl.Math*, 234-(2010), 934–940.
- [21] K. Liu, Stability of infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations with applications, *in: Pitman Monographs Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol.135, Chapman Hall/CRC,-2006.
- [22] S. E. A. Mohammed, Stochastic functional differential equations, *Longman Scientific and Technical*, New York, 1986.
- [23] X. R. Mao, Stability of stochastic differential equations respect to semimartingales, *Longman Scientific and Technical*, New York, 1991.
- [24] X. R. Mao, Exponential stability of stochastic differential equations respect to semimartingales, *Marcel Dekker*, New York, 1994.
- [25] Y. Wang, L. Xie and and C. E. De Souza, Robust control of a class of uncertain nonlinear systems, *Syst. Control. Lett*, 19 (1992) 139–149.
- [26] L. Wan and J. Sun, Mean square exponential stability of stochastic delayed Hopfield neural networks, *Phys Lett A*, 343 (4) (2005) 306–318.
- [27] Z. D. Wang, Y. R. Liu and X. H. Liu, On global asymptotic stability analysis of neural networks with discrete and distributed delays, *Phys Lett A*, 345 (5-6) (2005), 299–308.
- [28] Z. D. Wang, S. Laura, J. A. Fang and X. H. Liu, Exponential stability analysis of uncertain stochastic neural networks with mixed time-delays, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 32 (2007), 62–72.
- [29] L. Xie, M. Fu and C. E. De Souza, H_∞ control and quadratic stabilization of systems with uncertainty via output feedback, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 37 (1992), 1253–1256.
- [30] S. Young, P. Scott and N. Nasrabadi, Object recognition using multilayer Hopfield neural networks, *IEEE Trans Image Process*, 6 (3) (1997), 357–372.
- [31] H. Zhao, Global asymptotic stability of Hopfield neural network innolning with distributed delays, *Phys Lett A*, 17 (2004), 47–53.
- [32] J. H. Zhang, P. Shi and J. Q. Qiu, Novel robust stability criteria for uncertain stochastic Hopfield neural networks with time-varying delays, *Nonlinear Anal: Real World Appl*, 8 (2007), 1349–1357.