

## ON THE STABILITY FOR CUBIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION OF MIXED TYPE

HARK-MAHN KIM, SHEON-YOUNG KANG, AND ICK-SOON CHANG\*

Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, 220 Gung-dong  
Yuseong-gu, Taejon 305-764, Republic of Korea [hmkim@math.cnu.ac.kr](mailto:hmkim@math.cnu.ac.kr)

National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 385-16 Doryong-dong Yuseong-gu,  
Taejon 305-340, Republic of Korea [skang@nims.re.kr](mailto:skang@nims.re.kr)

\*Department of Mathematics, Mokwon University, 800 Doan-dong Seo-gu, Taejon  
302-729, Republic of Korea [ischang@mokwon.ac.kr](mailto:ischang@mokwon.ac.kr)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we consider the general solution for a mixed type cubic functional equation

$$lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i + lx_m\right) + lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i - lx_m\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f(lx_i) = 2lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i\right) + l^3 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [f(x_i + x_m) + f(x_i - x_m)],$$

where  $l \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 3$  are any integers and investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of this equation.

**Key words:** Stability; Cubic function; Fixed point alternative

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification:** 39B72, 39B52

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The stability problem of functional equations has originally been formulated by S.M. Ulam [23] in 1940: *Under what condition does there exists a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism?* In following year, D.H. Hyers [7] answered the problem of Ulam under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings was given by Th.M. Rassias [18]. Since then, a great deal of work has been done by a number of authors and the problems concerned with the generalizations and the applications of the stability to a number of functional equations have been developed as well.

In particular, one of the important functional equations studied is the following functional equation:

$$(1.1) \quad f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) + 12f(x).$$

---

\*Corresponding author

It is easy to see that the cubic function  $f(x) = cx^3$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.1). In this case the equation (1.1) said to be a *cubic functional equation* and every solution of the equation (1.1) is called a *cubic function*. The cubic functional equation (1.1) was solved by K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim [11]. In fact, they proved that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between real vector spaces is a solution of the functional equation (1.1) if and only if there exists a function  $H : X^3 \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) = H(x, x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $H$  is symmetric for each fixed one argument and additive for fixed two arguments. The function  $H$  is given by

$$H(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{24} [f(x + y + z) + f(x - y - z) - f(x + y - z) - f(x - y + z)]$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . In addition, they investigated the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the cubic functional equation. After then, Y.-S. Jung and I.-S. Chang [14] introduced different type of cubic functional equation,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x + y + 2z) + f(x + y - 2z) + f(2x) + f(2y) \\ = 2f(x + y) + 4[f(x + z) + f(x - z) + f(y + z) + f(y - z)], \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (1.1) and they have established the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of this functional equation. Recently, H.-Y. Chu and D.-S. Kang [5] extended the functional equation (1.2) to the  $n$ -dimensional cubic functional equation

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i + 2x_m\right) + f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i - 2x_m\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f(2x_i) \\ = 2f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i\right) + 4 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [f(x_i + x_m) + f(x_i - x_m)] \end{aligned}$$

and they dealt with stability of the above functional equation.

In this paper, we now consider the mixed type cubic functional equation

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i + lx_m\right) + lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i - lx_m\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f(lx_i) \\ = 2lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i\right) + l^3 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [f(x_i + x_m) + f(x_i - x_m)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $l \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 3$  are any integers, that is to say, we obtain the general solution of this equation. Furthermore, we adopt the idea of Cădariu and Radu [3] and offer the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for this equation. In 1996, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [10] were the first to provide applications of the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems.

## 2. THE REQUIRED RESULTS

We now recall the fundamental results of fixed point theory.

**Theorem 2.1** ([2]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Suppose that  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a strictly contractive mapping, that is,*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some the Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ . Then

- (1) *the mapping  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* = Tx^*$ ;*
- (2) *the fixed point  $x^*$  is globally attractive, that is,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = x^*$$

for any starting point  $x \in X$ ;

- (3) *one has the following estimation inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, x^*) &\leq L^n d(x, x^*), \\ d(T^n x, x^*) &\leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), \\ d(x, x^*) &\leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(x, Tx) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all nonnegative integer  $n$ .

The following theorem play an important role in proving the stability problem.

**Theorem 2.2** (The alternative of fixed point [15]). *Suppose that we are given a complete generalized metric space  $(\Omega, d)$ , i.e., one for which  $d$  may assume infinite values, and a strictly contractive mapping  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  with Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ . Then, for each given  $x \in \Omega$ , either*

- (1)  *$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ,*

or

- (2) *there exists a nonnegative integer  $n_0$  such that  $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .*

Actually, if (2) holds, then the followings are true:

- *the sequence  $(T^n x)$  is convergent to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $T$ ;*
- *$y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $\Delta = \{y \in \Omega \mid d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;*
- *$d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Ty)$  for all  $y \in \Delta$ .*

The reader is referred to the book of D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [9] for an extensive theory of fixed points with a large variety of applications.

First of all, we will find out the general solutions of functional equation (1.4). Now we will start with  $m = 3$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real vector spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} lf(x+y+lz) + lf(x+y-lz) + 2f(lx) + 2f(ly) \\ = 2lf(x+y) + l^3[f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $l \geq 2$  is any integers if and only if  $f$  is cubic.

*Proof.* Let a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy the equation (2.1) for  $l = 2$ . Then  $f$  is cubic. We also see that

$$f(x+2z) + f(x-2z) + 6f(x) = 4f(x+z) + 4f(x-z),$$

which, by the proof of [12, Theorem 2.1], gives the equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) + 2f(x) + 2f(y) \\ = 2f(x+y) + f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z). \end{aligned}$$

Now make the induction assumption that (2.1) is true for any integer  $a$  with  $2 < a \leq l$ . Then we can rewrite the equation (2.1) as

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x+y+az) + f(x+y-az) + 2a^2[f(x) + f(y)] \\ = 2f(x+y) + a^2[f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $x = 0, y = z$  and replacing  $x$  by  $x+z$  in (2.2) equipped with  $a = l$ , separately, it yields  $f((l+1)z) = (l+1)^3f(z)$  and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x+y+(l+1)z) + f(x+y-(l-1)z) + 2l^2[f(x+z) + f(y)] \\ = 2f(x+y+z) + l^2[f(x+2z) + f(x) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the equation (2.3) and the equation with  $x = -z$  in (2.3), we figure out

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+y+(l+1)z) + f(x+y-(l+1)z) + 2(l+1)^2[f(x) + f(y)] \\ = 2f(x+y) + (l+1)^2[f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)]. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying by  $l+1$  in this equation, then we see that (2.1) is fulfilled for  $l+1$ , which prove the validity of (2.1) for  $l+1$ . Therefore the equation (2.1) implies that  $f$  is cubic.

Conversely, if there exists a function  $H : X^3 \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) = H(x, x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $H$  is symmetric for each fixed one argument and additive for fixed two arguments, we may easily show that  $f$  satisfies the equation (2.1).  $\square$

Using the Lemma 2.3, we can verify the following no difficulty.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real vector spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.4) if and only if  $f$  is cubic.*

3. THE STABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1.4)

In recent years, L. Cădariu and V. Radu [3] applied the fixed point method to the investigation of the Cauchy additive functional equation. Using such an elegant idea, they could present a short and simple proof for the stability of that equation [2, 16].

From now on, let  $X$  be a real vector space and  $Y$  be a real Banach space, respectively, unless we give any specific reference. As a matter of convenience, for a given mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we set

$$Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) := lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i + lx_m\right) + lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i - lx_m\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f(lx_i) - 2lf\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i\right) - l^3 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [f(x_i + x_m) + f(x_i - x_m)],$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ , where  $l \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 3$  are any integers.

Based on the idea of Cădariu and Radu, we now construct a stability of the functional equation (1.4) as follow.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the condition  $f(0) = 0$  and the inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ , where  $\varphi : X^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a given function. If there exists  $L < 1$  such that the function

$$x \mapsto \psi(x) = \varphi\left(0, \underbrace{\frac{x}{l}, \dots, \frac{x}{l}}_{m-2}, 0\right)$$

has the property

$$(3.2) \quad \psi(x) \leq L \cdot \lambda_j^3 \cdot \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_j}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , and if  $\varphi$  has the function with

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\lambda_j^n x_1, \lambda_j^n x_2, \dots, \lambda_j^n x_m)}{\lambda_j^{3n}} = 0$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ , where  $\lambda_j = l$  if  $j = 0$  and  $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{l}$  if  $j = 1$ , then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{1-j}}{2(m-2)(1-L)} \psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* We consider the set

$$\Omega := \{g : X \rightarrow Y \mid g(0) = 0\}$$

and the generalized metric on  $\Omega$ ,

$$d(g, h) = d_\psi(g, h) = \inf\{K \in (0, \infty) \mid \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K\psi(x), \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

One can easily check that  $(\Omega, d)$  is complete.

Next, let  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be a function defined by

$$Tg(x) := \frac{1}{\lambda_j^3} g(\lambda_j x)$$

for all  $x \in X$  with  $\lambda_j = l^{1-2j}$ .

We first prove that  $T$  is a strictly contractive on  $\Omega$ : Note that for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, h) < K &\implies \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K\psi(x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda_j^3} g(\lambda_j x) - \frac{1}{\lambda_j^3} h(\lambda_j x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_j^3} K\psi(\lambda_j x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies \|Tg(x) - Th(x)\| \leq LK\psi(x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies d(Tg, Th) \leq LK. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ ,

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq Ld(g, h).$$

We now want to show that  $d(f, Tf) < \infty$ : If we put  $x_1 = 0, x_i = x$  ( $i = 2, \dots, m-1$ ) and  $x_m = 0$  in (3.1) and use (3.2) with the case  $j = 0$ , then we arrive at

$$(3.5) \quad \|f(lx) - l^3 f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)} \varphi(0, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m-2}, 0),$$

which is reduced to

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{l^3} f(lx) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)l^3} \psi(lx) \leq \frac{L}{2(m-2)} \psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , viz.,

$$d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{L}{2(m-2)} = \frac{L^1}{2(m-2)} < \infty.$$

If we substitute  $x := \frac{x}{l}$  in (3.5) and use (3.2) with the case  $j = 1$ , then we find that

$$\left\| f(x) - l^3 f\left(\frac{x}{l}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)} \psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , viz.,

$$d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)} = \frac{L^0}{2(m-2)} < \infty.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{L^{1-j}}{2(m-2)} < \infty.$$

Therefore, by the fixed point alternative, we can prove that there is a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  such that the inequality (3.4): Now, from the fixed point alternative in both cases, it follows that there exists a fixed point  $C$  of  $T$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{3n}} f(\lambda_j^n x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n f, C) = 0$ .

Again, using the fixed point alternative, we can get

$$d(f, C) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{L^{1-j}}{2(m-2)(1-L)},$$

which yields the inequality (3.4).

In order to show that the function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  is cubic, let us replace  $\lambda_j^n x_i$  instead of  $x_i$  in (3.1) and divide by  $\lambda_j^{3n}$ . Then we have by (3.3) and (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|DC(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{3n}} \|Df(\lambda_j^n x_1, \lambda_j^n x_2, \dots, \lambda_j^n x_m)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\lambda_j^n x_1, \lambda_j^n x_2, \dots, \lambda_j^n x_m)}{\lambda_j^{3n}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ , viz.,  $C$  satisfies the functional equation (1.4). Thus Lemma 2.4 guarantees that  $C$  is cubic.

To prove the uniqueness of the such cubic function, let us assume that there exists another cubic function  $C_1 : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying the inequality (3.4). Since  $C_1$  is a cubic,

$$C_1(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_j^3} C_1(\lambda_j x) = (TC_1)(x)$$

and so  $C_1$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . In view of (3.4) and the definition of  $d$ , we deduce that

$$d(f, C_1) \leq \frac{L^{1-j}}{2(1-L)} < \infty,$$

viz.,  $C_1 \in \Delta = \{g \in X \mid d(f, g) < \infty\}$ . By the fixed point alternative, we find that  $C = C_1$ , which proves that  $C$  is unique. This ends the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Here and now, we will use the direct method to prove the stability for the functional equation (1.4).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  for which there exists a function  $\phi : X^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{3i}} \phi(l^i x_1, l^i x_2, \dots, l^i x_m)$$

*converges and*

$$(3.7) \quad \|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)\| \leq \phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

*for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ . Then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the inequality*

$$(3.8) \quad \|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)l^3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{3i}} \tilde{\phi}(l^i x)$$

*for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}$  is given by  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(0, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m-2}, 0)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Putting  $x_1 = x_m = 0$ ,  $x_2 = \dots = x_{m-1} = x$  in (3.7) and dividing by  $l^3$ , we have

$$(3.9) \quad \left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{l^3} f(lx) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)l^3} \tilde{\phi}(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . By replacing  $x$  by  $lx$  in (3.9) and dividing by  $l^3$  and then summing the resulting inequality with (3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \quad \left\| f(x) - \left(\frac{1}{l^3}\right)^2 f(l^2 x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)l^3} \tilde{\phi}(x) + \frac{1}{2(m-2)} \left(\frac{1}{l^3}\right)^2 \tilde{\phi}(lx).$$

An induction implies that

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{l^{3s}} f(l^s x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)l^3} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{l^{3i}} \tilde{\phi}(l^i x).$$

To prove convergence of the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(l^s x)}{l^{3s}} \right\}$ , we divide inequality (3.11) by  $l^{3n}$  and also replace  $x$  by  $l^n x$  to find that for  $s > n > 0$ ,

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{l^{3n}} f(l^n x) - \frac{1}{l^{3(s+n)}} f(l^s l^n x) \right\| &= \frac{1}{l^{3n}} \left\| f(l^n x) - \frac{1}{l^{3s}} f(l^s l^n x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)l^{3(n+1)}} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{l^{3i}} \tilde{\phi}(l^{n+i} x). \end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side of the inequality goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , a sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(l^s x)}{l^{3s}} \right\}$  is Cauchy. Therefore, we may define a function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$C(x) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(l^s x)}{l^{3s}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . By letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.11), we arrive at the formula (3.8).



We now show that  $C$  satisfies the functional equation (1.4): Let us replace  $x_i$  by  $l^s x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) in (3.7) and divide by  $l^{3s}$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} DC(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{l^{3s}} \|Df(l^s x_1, l^s x_2, \dots, l^s x_m)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{l^{3s}} \phi(l^s x_1, l^s x_2, \dots, l^s x_m) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the desired result. Thus the Lemma 2.3 implies that  $C$  is cubic.

It only remains to prove the claim that  $C$  is unique: Let us assume that there exists a cubic function  $C_1$  which satisfies (1.4) and the inequality (3.8). It is clear that  $C(l^s x) = l^{3s} C(x)$  and  $C_1(l^s x) = l^{3s} C_1(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence it follows from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C(x) - C_1(x)\| &= \frac{1}{l^{3s}} \|C(l^s x) - C_1(l^s x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{l^{3s}} \left[ \|C(l^s x) - f(l^s x)\| + \|f(l^s x) - C_1(l^s x)\| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(m-2)l^{3(s+1)}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}(l^{s+i} x). \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $C(x) = C_1(x)$ , which ends the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Using the crucial inequality (3.9) and following the same approach as in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  for which there exists a function  $\phi : X^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l^{3(i-1)} \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{l^i}, \frac{x_2}{l^i}, \dots, \frac{x_m}{l^i}\right)$$

*converges and satisfies the inequality (3.7) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ . Then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the inequality*

$$\|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(m-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l^{3(i-1)} \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{l^i}\right)$$

*for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}$  is given as in Theorem 3.2.*

#### 4. THE APPLICATIONS

From Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary concerning the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability [18] of the functional equation (1.4). Of course, by using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we also prove the following corollary, but we remark that Theorem 3.1 is more simpler.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a normed space and a Banach space, respectively. Let  $p \geq 0$  be given with  $p \neq 3$ . Assume that  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  are fixed. Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the condition  $f(0) = 0$  and the inequality*

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_m\|^p)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ . Then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  such that the inequality

$$(4.1) \quad \|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2|l^p - l^3|} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Consider a mapping  $\varphi$  defined by

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) := \varepsilon(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_m\|^p)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ . Then it follows that

$$\frac{\varphi(\lambda_j^n x_1, \lambda_j^n x_2, \dots, \lambda_j^n x_m)}{\lambda_j^{3n}} = (\lambda_j^n)^{p-3} \varepsilon (\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_m\|^p) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $p < 3$  if  $j = 0$  and  $p > 3$  if  $j = 1$ , viz., (3.3) is seen to be true.

Since the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_j^3} \psi(\lambda_j x) = (m-2) \frac{\lambda_j^{p-3}}{l^p} \varepsilon \|x\|^p \leq \lambda_j^{p-3} \psi(x),$$

where  $p < 3$  if  $j = 0$  and  $p > 3$  if  $j = 1$ , we see that the inequality (3.2) holds with either  $L = l^{p-3}$  or  $L = \frac{1}{l^{p-3}}$ . Now the inequality (3.4) yields the property (4.1), which complete the proof of the corollary.  $\square$

The following corollary is the Hyers-Ulam stability [7] of the functional equation (1.4).

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a normed space and a Banach space, respectively. Assume that  $\theta \geq 0$  is fixed. Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the condition  $f(0) = 0$  and the inequality*

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)\| \leq \theta$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ . Then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  such that the inequality

$$\|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2m(l^3 - 1)} \theta$$

for all  $x \in X$

*Proof.* Putting  $p := 0$  and  $\varepsilon := \frac{\theta}{m}$  in the corollary 4.1, we arrive at the assertion of the corollary.  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* The authors would like to thank referees for their valuable comments. The \*corresponding author dedicates this paper to his late father.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1989).
- [2] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. 4(1) (2003), Art. 4.
- [3] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber. 346 (2004), 43–52.
- [4] I.-S. Chang and Y.-S. Jung, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quadratic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283(2) (2003), 491–500.
- [5] H.-Y. Chu and D.-S. Kang, *the stability of an  $n$ -dimension cubic functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 325 (1) (2007), 595–607.
- [6] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994), 431–436.
- [7] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 27 (1941), 222–224.
- [8] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, “*Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*”, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [9] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Topics in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, World Scientific Publ., Co., Singapore, New Jersey, London, 1997.
- [10] G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of  $\phi$ -additive mapping: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 19 (1996), 219–228.
- [11] K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 274(2) (2002), 867–878.
- [12] K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a general cubic functional equation*, Math. Ineq. Appl., 6(2) (2003), 289–302.
- [13] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations*, Dynam. Systems. Appl., 6(4) (1997), 541–565.
- [14] Y.-S. Jung and I.-S. Chang, *The stability of a cubic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 306(2) (2005), 752–760.
- [15] B. Margolis and J.B. Diaz, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 126, 74 (1968), 305–309.
- [16] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory 4, (2003), 91–96.
- [17] J.M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings*, Glas. Mat., 36(1) (2001), 63–72.
- [18] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 297–300.
- [19] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 251 (2000), 264–284.
- [20] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Math. Appl., 62 (2000), 23–130.

- [21] Th.M. Rassias(Ed.), *“Functional Equations and inequalities”*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London, 2000.
- [22] Th.M. Rassias and J. Tabor, *What is left of Hyers-Ulam stability?*, Journal of Natural Geometry, 1 (1992), 65–69.
- [23] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, (1960) Chap. VI, Science ed., Wiley, New York.