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#### Abstract

This paper is focused on the following nonlinear impulsive neutral delay differential equation with positive and negative coefficients $$
\left\{\begin{array}{l} {\left[r(t)(x(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+p(t) f(x(t-\delta)-q(t) g(x(t-\sigma))=0} \\ x\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=J_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots \end{array}\right.
$$ where $0 \leq t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k} \cdots$ with $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\infty$. For this equation, oscillation criteria are


 established.AMS Subject Classification: 34C10, 34A37
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, there has been an increasing interest in the study of oscillatory behavior of solutions of second order neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, see for example [21, 20, 15] and the references cited therein. Recently, there has been increasing interest on the oscillation and nonoscillation of second order neutral delay differential equations with impulses, see the paper $[1,2,3,4,5,10,11,12,17,18]$, and references contained therein. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is little in the way of results for the oscillation of impulsive neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, see for example [13] and the references cited therein. For the theory of delay differential equations and impulsive differential equations, see the recent books by Györi and Ladas [6] and Lakshmikantham et al. [10], respectively.

In this paper, we consider the second order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[r(t)(x(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+p(t) f(x(t-\delta))-q(t) g(x(t-\sigma))=0}  \tag{1}\\
x\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=J_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
x(t)=\phi(t), \quad t_{0}-\tau \leq t \leq t_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\tau, \delta, \sigma$ are positive real numbers, $m=\max (\tau, \delta, \sigma), 0 \leq t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<$ $t_{k} \cdots$ with $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\infty$ and $t_{k+1}-t_{k}>m$ for all $k \in N, c \in P C^{\prime}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R_{+}\right)$and $\phi, \phi^{\prime}:\left[t_{0}-\sigma, t_{0}\right] \rightarrow R$ have at most a finite number of discontinuities of the first kind and are right continuous at these points.

Through out this paper, we always assume that:
$\left(H_{1}\right) f, g:\left[t_{0}-m,+\infty\right) \times R \times R$ is continuous, $u f(t, u, v)>0, u g(t, u, v)>0$ for all $u v>0$ and there exist a function $M(t)>0$ such that
$\frac{g(t, u, v)}{f(t, u, v)} \leq M(t)$ for $u \neq 0$, where $M(t)$ is continuous in $\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)$;
$\left(H_{2}\right) r, p, q \in\left(R,\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)\right)$ with $r$ is positive, $c(t) \geq 0$ and is nondecreasing for all $t \geq t_{0}, q(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$ and $f$ is nondecreasing;
$\left(H_{3}\right) I_{k}, J_{k} \in C(R, R)$ and there exist a positive constant $a_{k}, \overline{a_{k}}, b_{k}$, such that $\overline{a_{k}} \leq$ $\frac{I_{k}(x)}{x} \leq a_{k}, J_{k}(x)=b_{k}(x)$ for all $x \neq 0, k=1,2,3, \ldots ;$
$\left(H_{4}\right) c(t)$ and $c^{\prime}(t)$ are right continuous on $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$ with left lateral limits

$$
c\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{b_{k}} c\left(t_{k}\right) \text { and } c^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{b_{k}} c^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)
$$

for all $k \in N$.
Let $J \subset R$ be an interval, we define $P C(J, R)=\{x: J \rightarrow R ; x(t)$ is continuous everywhere except some $t_{k}$ 's at which $x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$and $x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$exist and $\left.x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=x\left(t_{k}\right)\right\}$; $P C^{\prime}(J, R)=\{x \in P C(J, R): x(t)$ is continuously differentiable everywhere except some $t_{k}$ 's at which $x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$and $x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$exist and $\left.x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right\}$.

By a solution of equation of (1), we mean a function $x \in P C\left(\left[t_{0},+\infty\right), R\right) \bigcap$ $P C^{\prime}\left(\left[t_{0},+\infty\right), R\right)$ which satisfies (1).

In [18], Mingshu Peng and R. P. Agarwal considered equation (1) when $c(t)=1$, $p(t)=1$, and $q(t)=0$ and classified all solutions of equation (1) into four classes and established conditions for the existence and nonexistence of solutions in these classes.

Motivated by the above observations, in this paper we divided all the solutions of equation (1) into the following four cases: Let $S$ denote the set of all nontrivial solutions of equation (1). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{+} & =\left\{x \in S: \text { there exists } t_{x} \geq t_{0} \text { such that } x(t) x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0 \text { for } t \geq t_{x}\right\} \\
M^{-} & =\left\{x \in S: \text { there exists } t_{x} \geq t_{0} \text { such that } x(t) x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \text { for } t \geq t_{x}\right\} \\
O S & =\left\{x \in S: \text { there exists } t_{n} \rightarrow \infty \text { such that } x\left(t_{n}\right)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$W O S=\left\{x \in S: x(t)\right.$ is nonoscillates but $x^{\prime}(t)$ is oscillates $\}$.
A nontrivial solution of equation (1) is said to be nonoscillatory, if it is eventually positive or eventually negative; otherwise, the solution is said to be oscillatory.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove two interesting lemmas, which will be used in Section 3 to prove our main theorems. To illustrate our results, examples are provided in Section 4.

## 2. SOME LEMMAS

We start by presenting a lemma which is borrowed from [10] replacing the left continuity by the right continuity of $m(t)$ and $m^{\prime}(t)$ at $t_{k}$ for all $k \in N$.

## Lemma 1. Suppose

(i) the sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k \in N}$ satisfies $0 \leq t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k} \cdots$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\infty$;
(ii) $m, m^{\prime}: R_{+} \rightarrow R$ are right continuous on $R_{+} \backslash\left\{t_{k}: k \in N\right\}$, there exist the lateral limits $m\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), m^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), m\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), m^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$and $m\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=m\left(t_{k}\right), k=1,2,3, \ldots ;$
(iii) for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ and $t \neq t_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{\prime}(t) & \leq p(t) m(t)+q(t), \quad t \neq t_{k}  \tag{2}\\
m\left(t_{k}\right) & \leq \alpha_{k} m\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+\beta_{k} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p, q \in C\left(R_{+}, R\right)$, $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ are real constants with $\alpha_{k} \geq 0$. Then the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{align*}
m(t) \leq m\left(t_{0}\right) \prod_{t_{0}<t_{k}<t} \alpha_{k} \exp ( & \left.\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) d s\right)+\int_{t_{o}}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k}<t} \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} p(u) d u\right) q(s) d s  \tag{4}\\
& +\sum_{t_{0}<t_{k}<t t_{k}<t_{j}<t} \prod_{j} \exp \left(\int_{t_{k}}^{t} p(s) d s\right) \beta_{k}, \quad t \geq t_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $x(t)$ be a positive solution of equation (1) for all $t \geq T$ and $b_{k} \geq 1$. If
(i) $p(t) \geq M q(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$;
(ii) $\sigma \geq \delta$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{b_{k}}{\max \left\{a_{k}, b_{k}\right\}} d s=+\infty, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k}+1\right), t_{k} \geq T$, where $y(t)=x(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau)$.
Proof. Since $x(t)$ is positive we may assume without loss of generality that $x(t-\tau)>0$, for all $t \geq T \geq t_{0}$. Then

$$
y(t)=x(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau)>0 \quad \text { for } t \geq T \geq t_{0} .
$$

At first we prove that $y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \geq 0$ for $t_{k} \geq T$. If it is not true, then there exist some $j$ such that $t_{j} \geq T, y^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)<0$. Let $y^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)=-\alpha$ with $\alpha>0$. Since $t_{k+1}-t_{k}>m \geq \tau$ for each $k \in N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{k}<t_{k+1}-\tau<t_{k+1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in N$. Thus from the continuity of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ on $\left[t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right.$ ), inequality (6), assumptions $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ and equation (1), we have $y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=b_{k} y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$.

On the other hand, if $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), k \in N$ and $t_{k} \geq T$, it follows by $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and equation (1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} & =-p(t) f(x(t-\delta))+q(t) g(x(t-\sigma)) \\
& \leq-[p(t)-M q(t)] f(x(t-\delta)) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0, \quad t>t_{j}, \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=b_{k} y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots
$$

Let $m(t)=r(t) y^{\prime}(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{\prime}(t) & \leq 0, \quad t>t_{j}, \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots  \tag{7}\\
m\left(t_{k}\right) & =b_{k} m\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 1 in (7) and (8), we obtain

$$
m(t) \leq m\left(t_{j}^{-}\right) \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{r\left(t_{j}^{-}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)}{r(t)} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=j+1, j+2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y\left(t_{k}\right)=x\left(t_{k}\right)+c\left(t_{k}\right) x\left(\tau\left(t_{k}-\tau\right)\right) \\
& \leq a_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right)+b_{k} c\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) x\left(\tau\left(t_{k}^{-}-\tau\right)\right) \\
& y\left(t_{k}\right) \leq \max \left\{a_{k}, b_{k}\right\} y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Again by using Lemma 1 in (9) and (10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(t) & \leq y\left(t_{j}^{-}\right) \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} \max \left\{a_{k}, b_{k}\right\}+\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k}<t} \max \left\{a_{k}, b_{k}\right\}\left[\frac{r\left(t_{j}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)}{r(s)} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<s} b_{k}\right] d s \\
& \leq \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} \max \left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)\left[y\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)-\alpha r\left(t_{j}\right) \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{b_{k}}{\max \left\{a_{k}, b_{k}\right\}} d s\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, we see that by condition (5), $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \geq 0$ for all $t_{k} \geq T$. Since $r(t) y^{\prime}(t)$ is nonincreasing on $\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, it is clear that

$$
y^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{r\left(t_{k+1}^{-}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{k+1}^{-}\right)}{r(t)} \geq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), \quad t \geq T
$$

The proof is now complete.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present some sufficient conditions for the existence and nonexistence of solutions of equation (1) in the four classes.

Theorem 1. Assume that
(i) $x\left(t_{k}^{-}-\delta\right)=x\left(t_{k}-\delta\right)$;
(ii) $\sigma \geq \delta$.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}}[p(s)-M q(s)] d s=+\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M^{+}=\emptyset$.
Proof. Suppose that equation (1) has a solution $x \in M^{+}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ (the proof is similar for the case $x(t)<0$ ). Then $x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq T_{1} \geq t_{0}$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in$ $\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$. Define

$$
w(t)=\frac{r(t) y^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t-\delta))}, \quad t \neq t_{k} \geq T_{1}
$$

Then $w\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \geq 0(k=1,2,3, \ldots)$ and $w(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq T_{1}$. Using $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and the equation (1) for $t \neq t_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{f(x(t-\delta))}-\frac{r(t) y^{\prime}(t) f^{\prime}(x(t-\delta)) x^{\prime}(t-\delta)}{f^{2}(x(t-\delta))} \\
& \leq-p(t)+q(t) \frac{g(x(t-\sigma))}{f(x(t-\sigma))} \\
& \leq-(p(t)-M q(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
w\left(t_{k}\right)=\frac{r\left(t_{k}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)}{f\left(x\left(t_{k}-\delta\right)\right)}=\frac{b_{k} r\left(t_{k}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)}{f\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}-\delta\right)\right)}=b_{k} w\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) .
$$

Therefore $w(t)$ satisfies the following differential inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}(t) & \leq-(p(t)-M q(t)), \quad t>t_{j}, \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots \\
w\left(t_{k}\right) & =b_{k} w\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 1, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t) & \leq w\left(t_{j}^{-}\right) \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}-\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k}<t} b_{k}(p(s)-M q(s)) d s \\
& \leq \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}\left[w\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)-\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(s)-M q(s)) d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which, in view of condition (11) and $w(t) \geq 0$, leads to contradiction as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 2. Assume that
(i) $\tau \leq \sigma \leq \delta$;
(ii) $\int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{d u}{f(u)}<\infty$ and $\int_{-\alpha}^{0} \frac{d u}{f(u)}>-\infty$;
(iii) $f$ is sub multiplicative, i.e., $f(u v) \leq f(u) f(v)$ for $u v>0$.

If (7) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{k}^{-}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{r(s) f(1+c(s))}\left[\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k} \leq v} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v\right] d s=+\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M^{-}=\emptyset$.
Proof. Suppose that equation (1) has a solution $x \in M^{-}$. Without loss of generality we assume that there exist $t \geq T_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, x(t-m)>0$ and $x^{\prime}(t-m) \leq 0$ for $t \geq T_{1}$. Then $y(t)=x(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau)>0$ and $y^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), t_{k} \geq T_{1}$. Define

$$
w(t)=\frac{r(t) y^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t-\delta))}, \quad t \neq t_{k} \geq T_{1} .
$$

Since $\sigma \leq \delta$ and $x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, using the argument in Theorem 1, we obtain the inequality

$$
w(t) \leq w(s) \prod_{s<t_{k}<t} b_{k}-\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{v<t_{k}<t} b_{k}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v
$$

From the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(s) & \geq \frac{w(t)}{\prod_{s<t_{k}<t} b_{k}}+\frac{\int_{s}^{t} \prod_{v<t_{k}<t} b_{k}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v}{\prod_{s<t_{k}<t} b_{k}} \\
& \geq \int_{s}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k} \leq v} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f(x(s-\delta))} \geq \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{s}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k} \leq v} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x(t)$ is nonincreasing and $\tau \leq \delta$, we see that

$$
y(t) \leq(1+c(t) x(t-\delta)), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)
$$

and

$$
f(y(t)) \leq f(1+c(t)) f(x(t-\delta)), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y^{\prime}(t)}{f(1+c(t)) f(x(t-\delta))} \geq \frac{y^{\prime}(t)}{f(y(t))} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (14), we obtain

$$
\frac{y^{\prime}(s) f(1+c(s))}{f(y(s))} \geq \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{s}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k} \leq v} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v
$$

or

$$
\frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f(y(s))} \geq \frac{1}{r(s) f(1+c(s))} \int_{s}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k} \leq v} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v .
$$

For $s \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right], k=1,2, \ldots$, we have by condition (ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{k}^{-}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{r(s) f(1+c(s))}\left[\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\right. & \left.\int_{s}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k} \leq v} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(v)-M q(v)) d v\right] d s \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{k}^{-}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f(y(s))} d s \leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)}^{y\left(t_{k+1}\right)} \frac{d u}{f(u)}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts (12) and the proof is complete.
Theorem 3. Assume that (11) holds. If equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x(t) \in M^{-}$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$.

Proof. We may assume that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. Then $x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, which implies there exist a constant $\beta$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=\beta$.

If $\beta=0$, then the proof is complete. If not, then $\beta>0$ and $x(t-\sigma) \geq \beta$ and $f(x(t-\delta)) \geq f(\beta)$. From equation (1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} & \leq-(p(t)-M q(t)) f(x(t-\delta)) \\
& \leq-(p(t)-M q(t)) f(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m(t)=r(t) y^{\prime}(t)$. In view of Lemma $2, m(t)>0$ and $m(t)$ satisfying the following differential inequality

$$
m^{\prime}(t) \leq-(p(t)-M q(t)) f(\beta), \quad t>t_{j}, \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
m\left(t_{k}\right)=b_{k} m\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots
$$

Then applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
m(t) \leq m\left(t_{j}^{-}\right) \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}-f(\beta) \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k}<t} b_{k}(p(s)-M q(s)) d s
$$

or

$$
m(t) \leq \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}\left[m\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)-f(\beta) \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}}(p(s)-M q(s)) d s\right]
$$

In view of (11), the right side of the above inequality is eventually negative, whereas the left side is nonnegative, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4. Assume that
(i) $c(t)$ is bounded;
(ii) $x\left(t_{k}^{-}-\delta\right)=x\left(t_{k}-\delta\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<s} \frac{1}{b_{k}}\left[(p(s)-M q(s)) \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(\xi)} d \xi\right] d s=+\infty \tag{If}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution in the class $M^{+}$is unbounded.
Proof. Let $x$ be a solution of equation (1), such that $x \in M^{+}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t) \geq 0, x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, x(t-m) \geq 0$ and $x^{\prime}(t-m) \geq 0$ for $t \geq T_{1} \geq t_{0}$.

Let $y(t)=x(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau)$. Then by Lemma 2, $y(t)>0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$. Define

$$
w(t)=-\frac{r(t) y^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t-\delta))} \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)} d s, \quad t \neq t_{k} \geq T_{1}
$$

Then $w\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \leq 0(k=1,2, \ldots)$ and $w(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq T_{1}$. For $t \neq t_{k}$, we have

$$
w^{\prime}(t)=\left[-\frac{\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{f(x(t-\delta)}+\frac{r(t) y^{\prime}(t) f^{\prime}(x(t-\delta)) x^{\prime}(t-\delta)}{f^{2}(x(t-\delta))}\right] \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)} d s-\frac{y^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t-\delta)}
$$

or

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \geq(p(t)-M q(t)) \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)} d s-\frac{y^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t-\delta)}
$$

Now for $t=t_{k}$, we have,

$$
w\left(t_{k}\right)=-\frac{r\left(t_{k}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)}{f\left(x\left(t_{k}-\delta\right)\right)} \int_{T}^{t_{k}} \frac{1}{r(s)} d s=b_{k} w\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)
$$

Therefore $w(t)$ satisfies the following differential inequality

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \geq v(t), \quad t>t_{j}, \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
w\left(t_{k}\right)=b_{k} w\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \quad t=t_{k}, \quad k=j+1, j+2, \ldots
$$

where

$$
v(t)=(p(t)-M q(t)) \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)} d s-\frac{y^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t-\delta)}
$$

Using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t) & \geq w\left(t_{j}^{-}\right) \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}+\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{s<t_{k}<t} b_{k} v(s) d s \\
& \geq \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}\left[w\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)+\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}}\left((p(s)-M q(s)) \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(\xi)} d \xi-\frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f^{\prime}(x(s-\delta))}\right) d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t) \geq & \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k}<t} b_{k}\left[w\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)+\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}}\left((p(s)-M q(s)) \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(\xi)} d \xi\right) d s\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} \frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f^{\prime}(x(s-\delta))} d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{y^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t-\delta))}$ is positive in $\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the last integral on the right hand side of the last inequality exists. Assume that

$$
\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} \frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f^{\prime}(x(s-\delta))} d s=M_{1}<\infty
$$

This gives $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t)=\infty$, which contradicts $w(t)$ being negative. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} \frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f^{\prime}(x(s-\delta))} d s=\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(x)$ is nondecreasing, we have

$$
f(x(t-\delta)) \geq f\left(x\left(t_{1}-\delta\right)\right)
$$

or

$$
\frac{1}{f(x(t-\delta))} \leq \frac{1}{f\left(x\left(t_{1}-\delta\right)\right)}=M_{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} \frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{f^{\prime}(x(s-\delta))} d s \leq M_{2} \int_{t_{j}}^{t} \prod_{t_{j}<t_{k} \leq s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} y^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

From (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y(t)=x(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau)$ and $x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, we have $y(t) \leq(1+c(t)) x(t)$. In view of (15), and the fact that $c(t)$ is bounded, this implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=\infty$. This completes the proof.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. If (5) and (11) hold with $x\left(t_{k}^{-}-\tau\right)=x\left(t_{k}-\tau\right)$ and $\sigma=\delta$, then every solution of equation (1) is either oscillatory or weakly oscillatory.

## 4. EXAMPLES

In this section we present some examples to illustrate the main results.
Example 1. Consider the following second order impulsive type neutral delay differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{1}{t} x(t)+x(t-1)\right]^{\prime \prime}+t x(t)}  \tag{18}\\
\quad-(t-1) x(t-1)=0, \quad t \geq 1, \quad t \neq 2^{k}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
x\left(2^{k}\right)=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right) x\left(\left(2^{k}\right)^{-}\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(2^{k}\right)=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right) x^{\prime}\left(\left(2^{k}\right)^{-}\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $r(t)=\frac{1}{t}, c(t)=1, a_{k}=b_{k}=\frac{k+1}{k}, p(t)=t, q(t)=t-1, t_{k}=2^{k}, t_{0}=1$, $\tau=1, \sigma=1, \delta=0, f(u)=g(u)=u$, and $M=1$. Clearly conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Further a straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \prod_{t_{0}<t_{k}<s} \frac{1}{b_{k}}[p(s)-M q(s)] d s & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \prod_{t_{0}<t_{k}<s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} d s \\
& =\int_{1}^{t_{1}} \prod_{1<t_{k}<s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \prod_{1<t_{k}<s} \frac{1}{b_{k}} d s+\cdots \\
& =\int_{1}^{t_{1}} \prod_{1<t_{k}<s} \frac{k}{k+1} d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \prod_{1<t_{k}<s} \frac{k}{k+1} d s+\cdots \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2} \times 2+\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} \times 2^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{4} \times 2^{3} \\
& =+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and hence $M^{+}=\emptyset$.
Example 2. Consider the following second order impulsive type neutral delay differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[t^{2}(t-1)^{2}(x(t)+x(t-1))^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+4 t(t-2)^{3} x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-2)}  \tag{19}\\
\quad-2(t-1)^{3} x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-1)=0, \quad t \geq 1, \quad t \neq 2^{k}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
x\left(2^{k}\right)=x\left(\left(2^{k}\right)^{-}\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(2^{k}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(\left(2^{k}\right)^{-}\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $r(t)=t^{2}(t-1)^{2}, c(t)=1, a_{k}=b_{k}=1, p(t)=4 t(t-2)^{3}, q(t)=2(t-1)^{3}$, $t_{k}=2^{k}, t_{0}=1, \tau=1, \sigma=1, \delta=2, f(u)=g(u)=u^{\frac{1}{3}}$, and $M=1$. It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, therefore $M^{-}=\emptyset$.

Example 3. Consider the following second order impulsive type neutral delay differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{1}{t}(x(t)+x(t-1))^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\frac{1}{t^{2}} x(t)}  \tag{20}\\
\quad-\frac{t-1}{t^{3}} x(t-1)=0, \quad t \geq 1, \quad t \neq 2^{k}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
x\left(2^{k}\right)=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right) x\left(\left(2^{k}\right)^{-}\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(2^{k}\right)=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right) x^{\prime}\left(\left(2^{k}\right)^{-}\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $r(t)=\frac{1}{t}, c(t)=1, a_{k}=b_{k}=\frac{k+1}{k}, p(t)=\frac{1}{t^{2}}, q(t)=\frac{t-1}{t^{3}}, t_{k}=2^{k}, t_{0}=1, \tau=1$, $\sigma=1, \delta=0, f(u)=g(u)=u$, and $M=1$. It can be easily see that all conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Hence every solution in the class $M^{+}$is unbounded.

We conclude this paper with the following remark.
Remark: It would be interesting to extend the results of this paper to equation (1) when the function $c(t)$ is negative.
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