EXISTENCE AND MONOTONE ITERATION OF CONCAVE POSITIVE SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS FOR A THREE-POINT SECOND-ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

UMMAHAN AKCAN AND NUKET AYKUT HAMAL

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Anadolu University 26470 Eskisehir, Turkey Department of Mathematics, Ege University, 35100 Bornova, Izmir, Turkey

ABSTRACT. In this article, we make use of the monotone iterative technique to verify the existence of concave symmetric positive solutions of a second-order three-point boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions. The interesting point here is that the nonlinear term f depends on the first-order derivative explicitly. An example which supports our result is also indicated.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 34B10, 39B18, 39A10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The multi-point boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics. The study of multipoint boundary value problems for linear second-order ordinary differential equations was initiated Il'in and Moiseev [5]. Since then, nonlinear multi-point boundary value problems have been studied by many authors. We refer the reader to [2–4,12] and their references.

At the same time, boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions for ordinary differential equations represent a very interesting and important class of problems. For an overview of the literature on integral boundary value problems, see [1,6,11,14].

In [14], J. Tariboon and T. Sitthiwirattham considered the second-order threepoint differential equation

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = 0, & u(1) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s)ds. \end{cases}$$

They showed the existence of at least one positive solutions if f is either superlinear or sublinear by applying the fixed point theorem in cones. In [11], H. Pang and Y. Tong considered second-order boundary value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u''(x) + f(x, u(x), u'(x)) = 0, & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) = \int_0^1 p(s)u(s)ds. \end{array} \right.$$

They investigated the existence of concave symmetric positive solutions and established corresponding iterative schemes for a second-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions.

Motivated by the results above, in this paper, we are interested in the existence of the concave symmetric positive solutions for the following second-order three-point boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} u''(x) + f(x, u(x), u'(x)) = 0, & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s) ds, \end{cases}$$

where $\eta \in (0,1)$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\eta}$, and $f \in \mathcal{C}((0,1) \times [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, [0,+\infty))$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present definitions and some necessary lemmas that will be used to prove our main result. In Section 3, we apply the monotone iterative technique to obtain the existence of concave symmetric positive solutions for BVP (1.1). Monotone iterative technique has been successfully used to prove to existence of a positive solutions of boundary value problems, see [7-11,13,15,16]. In Section 4, we give example to illustrate our result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. Let *E* be a real Banach Space. A nonempty closed convex set $P \subset E$ is called a cone if it provides the following two conditions:

(i) $u \in P$, $\lambda \ge 0$ implies $\lambda u \in P$;

(ii) $u \in P, -u \in P$ implies u = 0.

Definition 2.2. Let *E* be a real Banach Space. A function $u \in E$ is said to be symmetric on [0, 1] if

$$u(x) = u(1-x), x \in [0,1].$$

Definition 2.3. Let (E, \leq) be an ordered real Banach Space. An operator $\alpha : E \to E$ is said to be nondecreasing provided that $\alpha(u) \leq \alpha(v)$ for all $u, v \in E$ with $u \leq v$. If the inequality is strict, then α is said to be strictly nondecreasing.

Definition 2.4. Let *E* be a real Banach Space, $u \in E$ is said to be concave on [0, 1] if

$$u(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \ge \lambda u(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)u(x_2)$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

We consider the Banach space $E = C^2[0, 1]$ equipped with norm $||u|| = \max\{||u||_{\infty}, ||u'||_{\infty}\}$, where $||u'||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u'(x)|$. Throughout this paper, we always assume that the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (H1) $f \in \mathcal{C}((0,1) \times [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, [0,+\infty)), f(x,u,v) = f(1-x,u,-v) \text{ for } x \in (0,\frac{1}{2}],$ and $f(x,u,v) \ge 0$ for all $(x,u,v) \in (0,1) \times [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}.$
- (H2) f(x,.,v) is nondecreasing for each $(x,v) \in (0,\frac{1}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}$, f(x,u,.) is nondecreasing for $(x,u) \in (0,\frac{1}{2}] \times [0,+\infty)$.

Define the cone $P \subset E$ by

$$P = \{ u \in E : u(x) \ge 0 \text{ is concave and } u(x) = u(1-x), x \in [0,1] \}.$$

Lemma 2.5. For any $u \in C^2[0,1]$, suppose that u is the solution of the following BVP

$$\begin{cases} u''(x) + f(x, u(x), u'(x)) = 0, & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s) ds. \end{cases}$$

Then we can easily get the solution

(2.1)
$$u(x) = \int_0^1 (H(s) + G(x,s)) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds,$$

where

(2.2)
$$V(s) = \begin{cases} (\eta - s)^2, & s \le \eta; \\ 0, & \eta \le s. \end{cases} \quad H(s) = \frac{\alpha \eta^2}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} (1 - s) - \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} V(s),$$

(2.3)
$$G(x,s) = \begin{cases} s(1-x), & 0 \le s \le x \le 1; \\ x(1-s), & 0 \le x \le s \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{C}^2[0,1]$ is a solution of problem (1.1). Then we have

$$u''(x) = -f(x, u(x), u'(x)).$$

For $x \in [0, 1]$, by integration from 0 to 1, we have

$$u'(x) = u'(0) - \int_0^x f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds.$$

For $x \in [0, 1]$, by integration again from 0 to 1, we have

$$u(x) = u'(0)x - \int_0^x \left(\int_0^\tau f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right) d\tau.$$

That is,

(2.4)
$$u(x) = u(0) + u'(0)x - \int_0^x (x-s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds,$$

therefore,

$$u(1) = u(0) + u'(0) - \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds$$

From condition (1.1), we have

$$u'(0) = \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds.$$

By integrating (2.4) from 0 to η , where $\eta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\eta u(s)ds &= u(0)\eta + u'(0)\frac{\eta^2}{2} - \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^\tau (\tau - s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds\right)d\tau \\ &= u(0)\eta + u'(0)\frac{\eta^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^2 f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds, \end{split}$$

and from $u(0) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s) ds$, we have

$$u(0) = \frac{\alpha \eta^2}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} u'(0) - \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^2 f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds.$$

Therefore, (1.1) has a unique solution

$$u(x) = \frac{\alpha \eta^2}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds$$

- $\frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^2 f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds$
+ $x \int_0^1 (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds - \int_0^x (x - s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds.$

From (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$u(x) = \int_0^1 (H(s) + G(x, s)) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds.$$

The proof is complete.

The functions H and G have the following properties.

Lemma 2.6. If $\eta \in (0,1)$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\eta}$, then we have $H(s) \ge 0$, for $s \in [0,1]$.

Proof. From the definition of H(s), $s \in (0,1)$, $\eta \in (0,1)$, and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\eta}$, we have $H(s) \ge 0$.

Lemma 2.7.
$$G(1-x, 1-s) = G(x, s), 0 \le G(x, s) \le G(s, s)$$
 for $x, s \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. From the definition of G(x,s), we get G(1-x,1-s) = G(x,s) and $0 \le G(x,s) \le G(s,s)$ for $x, s \in [0,1]$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\eta \in (0,1)$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\eta}$. If $f(x, u(x), u'(x)) \in \mathcal{C}((0,1) \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, [0, +\infty))$, then the unique solution u of BVP (1.1) satisfies $u(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. From the definition of u(x), $f(x, u(x), u'(x)) \in \mathcal{C}((0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, [0, +\infty))$, Lemma 2.6, and Lemma 2.7, we have $u(x) \ge 0$. **Lemma 2.9.** Let $\alpha \eta > 1$. If $f(x, u(x), u'(x)) \in \mathcal{C}((0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, [0, +\infty))$ then BVP (1.1) has no positive solution.

Proof. Suppose that problem (1.1) has a positive solutions u satisfying u(x) > 0, $x \in (0, 1)$. If u(0) = u(1) > 0, by the concavity of u

(2.5)
$$u(s) \ge u(1) \text{ for } s \in (0,1),$$

by integrating (2.5) from 0 to η , where $\eta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\int_0^\eta u(s)ds \ge \eta u(1),$$

and from $u(1) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s) ds$, we have

$$u(1)(1 - \alpha \eta) \ge 0,$$

which is a contradiction to the u(1) > 0 and $(1 - \alpha \eta) < 0$. So, no positive solutions exist.

For any $u \in \mathcal{C}^2[0,1], T: P \to E$ is defined

(2.6)
$$(Tu)(x) = \int_0^1 (H(s) + G(x,s))f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds, \text{ for } x \in [0,1].$$

Clearly, u is the solution of BVP (1.1) if and only if u is fixed point of T.

Lemma 2.10. Assume that (H1) and (H2) are satisfied, and let $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{n}$. Then the operator T is completely continuous in $C^2[0, 1]$ and T is nondecreasing.

Proof. For any $u \in P$, from the expression of Tu, we know

$$\begin{cases} (Tu)''(x) + f(x, u(x), u'(x)) = 0, & x \in (0, 1), \\ (Tu)(0) = (Tu)(1) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} (Tu)(s) ds. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Tu is concave. From the definition of Tu, Lemma 2.6, and Lemma 2.7 we see that Tu is nonnegative on [0, 1]. We now show that Tu is symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$. From Lemma 2.7 and (H1), for $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(Tu)(1-x) = \int_0^1 (H(s) + G(1-x,s))f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds$$

= $\int_0^1 H(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \int_0^1 G(1-x,s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds$
= $\int_0^1 H(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds$
 $- \int_1^0 G(1-x,1-s)f(1-s,u(1-s),u'(1-s))ds$
= $\int_0^1 H(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \int_0^1 G(x,s)f(1-s,u(s),-u'(s))ds$

$$= \int_0^1 H(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + \int_0^1 G(x, s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds$$

= $(Tu)(x)$.

Therefore, $TP \subset P$.

The continuity of T with respect to $u(x) \in C^2[0, 1]$ is clear. We now show that T is compact. Suppose that $D \subset P$ is a bounded set. Then there exists r such that

$$D = \{ u \in P \mid |||u|| \le r \}.$$

For any $u \in D$, we have

 $0 \le f(s, u(s), u'(s)) \le \max \left\{ f(s, u, u') \mid | s \in [0, 1], u \in [0, r], u' \in [-r, r] \right\} =: M.$

So, we have from (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Tu)(x)\|_{\infty} &= \max_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \int_{0}^{1} (H(s) + G(x,s)) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq M \int_{0}^{1} H(s) ds + M \max_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} G(x,s) ds =: L \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Tu)'(x)\|_{\infty} &= \max_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds - \int_0^x f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{2} + M. \end{aligned}$$

These equations imply that the operator T is uniformly bounded. Now we show that Tu is equi-continuous. We separate these three conditions:

Case (i). $0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \frac{1}{2}$; Case (ii). $\frac{1}{2} \le x_1 \le x_2 \le 1$; Case (iii). $0 \le x_1 \le \frac{1}{2} \le x_2 \le 1$.

We solely need to think Case (i) since the proofs of the other two are like. For $0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu)(x_2) - (Tu)(x_1)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 (G(x_2, s) - G(x_1, s)) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \int_0^1 |(x_2 - x_1)(1 - s)| f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds, & 0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le s \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ \int_0^1 |s(x_1 - x_2)| f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds, & 0 \le s \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ \int_0^1 |s(1 - x_2) - x_1(1 - s)| f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds, & 0 \le x_1 \le s \le x_2 \le \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \frac{M}{2} |x_2 - x_1|, \\ \frac{M}{2} |x_2 - x_1|, \\ \frac{3M}{2} |x_2 - x_1|. \end{cases}$$

In addition

$$|(Tu)'(x_2) - (Tu)'(x_1)| = \left| \int_{x_2}^{x_1} f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right| \le M |x_2 - x_1|.$$

By applying the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we can guarantee that T(D) is relatively compact, which means T is compact. Then we have T is completely continuous.

Finally, we show T is noncecreasing with respect to $u(x) \in \mathcal{C}^2[0,1]$.

Let $u_i(x) \in P$ (i = 1, 2) and $u_1(x) \leq u_2(x)$ then, we have $u_2(x) - u_1(x) \in P$ and $u_2(x) - u_1(x) \geq 0$ is concave, symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore

$$\begin{cases} u_2'(x) \ge u_1'(x) & \text{ for } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ u_2'(x) \le u_1'(x) & \text{ for } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

So, for $x \in [0, 1]$, by applying (H1), (H2), and the definition of Tu, we have

$$(Tu_2)(x) - (Tu_1)(x) = \int_0^1 (H(s) + G(x, s)) f(s, u_2(s), u'_2(s)) ds$$

$$- \int_0^1 (H(s) + G(x, s)) f(s, u_1(s), u'_1(s)) ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 (H(s) + G(x, s)) \left(f(s, u_2(s), u'_2(s)) - f(s, u_1(s), u'_1(s)) \right) ds$$

$$\ge 0.$$

Thus T is nondecreasing. These complete the proof.

3. EXISTENCE OF TWO CONCAVE SYMMETRIC POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR BVP (1.1)

Now we find the existence of two concave symmetric positive solutions and corresponding iterative scheme for BVP (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (H1) and (H2) are provided, and let $\eta \in (0,1)$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{n}$. If there exist two positive number $b_1 < b$ such that

(3.1)
$$\sup_{x \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]} f(x,b,b) \le b_1,$$

where b and b_1 satisfy,

(3.2)
$$b \ge \max\left\{\frac{\alpha\eta^2(3-2\eta)}{3(1-\alpha\eta)}, \frac{\alpha\eta^2}{4(1-\alpha\eta)} - \frac{\alpha\eta^3}{6(1-\alpha\eta)} + \frac{1}{8}\right\}b_1,$$

then BVP (1.1) has a concave symmetric positive solutions $w^*, v^* \in P$ with

$$||w^*|| \le b$$
 and $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n w_0 = w^*$, where $w_0(x) = bx(1-x) + \frac{b}{4}$,
 $||v^*|| \le b$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n v_0 = v^*$, where $v_0(x) = 0$.

Proof. We show $P_b = \{w \in P : ||w|| \le b\}$. In what follows, we now show $TP_b \subset P_b$. Let $w \in P_b$, then $0 \le w(x) \le \max_{x \in [0,1]} w(x) = ||w||_{\infty} \le b$. On the other hand, $\max_{x \in [0,1]} |w'(x)| = w'(0) \le b$. By using (3.1) and (H_2) , for $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have

$$0 \le f(x, w(x), w'(x)) \le f(x, b, b) \le \sup_{x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]} f(x, b, b) \le b_1.$$

Let $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then $(1 - x) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, by using (H_1) , (H_2) , and (3.1), we have

$$0 \le f(x, w(x), w'(x)) = f(1 - x, w(1 - x), w'(1 - x)) = f(1 - x, w(x), -w'(x))$$
$$= f(x, w(x), w'(x)) \le f(x, b, b)$$
$$\le \sup_{x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]} f(x, b, b) \le b_1.$$

Then

(3.3)
$$f(x, w(x), w'(x)) \le b_1, \text{ for } x \in [0, 1].$$

For any $w(x) \in P_b$, from Lemma 2.10, we obtain $T(w) \in P$ and, thus

$$||Tw||_{\infty} = (Tw)(\frac{1}{2})$$

= $\int_{0}^{1} (H(s) + G(\frac{1}{2}, s))f(s, w(s), w'(s))ds$
 $\leq \frac{\alpha \eta^{2}}{4(1 - \alpha \eta)}b_{1} - \frac{\alpha \eta^{3}}{6(1 - \alpha \eta)}b_{1} + \frac{1}{8}b_{1}$
 $\leq b,$

and

$$||(Tw)'||_{\infty} = (Tw)'(0) = \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s,w(s),w'(s))ds \le \frac{b_1}{2} < b.$$

So, $||Tw|| \leq b$. Then, we obtain $TP_b \subset P_b$. Let $w_0(x) = bx(1-x) + \frac{b}{4}$ for $x \in [0,1]$, then $||w_0|| = b$ and $w_0(x) \in P_b$. Let $w_1 = Tw_0$, then $w_1 \in P_b$. We denote

(3.4)
$$w_{n+1} = Tw_n = T^{n+1}w_0 \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$$

Because $TP_b \subset P_b$, we have $w_n \in P_b$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...). According to Lemma 2.10, T is compact, we claim that $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and there exist $w^* \in P_b$ such that $w_{n_k} \longrightarrow w^*$. From the definition of T, (3.2), and (3.3), we have

$$w_{1}(x) = (Tw_{0})(x)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} (H(s) + G(x, s))f(s, w_{0}(s), w_{0}'(s))ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} H(s)f(s, w_{0}(s), w_{0}'(s))ds + \int_{0}^{1} G(x, s)f(s, w_{0}(s), w_{0}'(s))ds$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha\eta^{2}(3 - 2\eta)}{12(1 - \alpha\eta)}b_{1} + \frac{b_{1}}{2}x(1 - x)$$

$$\leq \frac{b}{4} + bx(1 - x) = w_{0}(x).$$

Thus, $w_0(x) - w_1(x) \in P_b$. By using Lemma 2.10, we obtain $Tw_1 \leq Tw_0$, which means $w_2 \leq w_1, x \in [0, 1]$. By induction, $w_{n+1} \leq w_n, x \in [0, 1], (n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$.

Now we show that $|w_{n+1}'(x)| \le |w_n'(x)|, x \in [0,1]$. We separate these two conditions:

Case (i). Let $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then $w'_n(x) \ge 0$.

$$w_1'(x) = (Tw_0)'(x)$$

= $\int_0^1 (1-s)f(s, w_0(s), w_0'(s))ds - \int_0^x f(s, w_0(s), w_0'(s))ds$
 $\leq \frac{b_1}{2} - b_1 x = b_1(\frac{1}{2} - x)$
 $\leq b - 2bx = w_0'(x).$

Then, $|w'_1(x)| \leq |w'_0(x)|$, by using Lemma 2.10, we obtain $|Tw'_1(x)| \leq |Tw'_0(x)|$, which means $|w'_2(x)| \leq |w'_1(x)|, x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. By the induction $|w'_{n+1}(x)| \leq |w'_n(x)|, x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Case (ii). Let $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then $w'_n(x) \leq 0$.

$$-w_1'(x) = -(Tw_0')(x)$$

= $-\left(\int_0^1 (1-s)f(s, w_0(s), w_0'(s))ds - \int_0^x f(s, w_0(s), w_0'(s))ds\right)$
 $\leq b_1(x - \frac{1}{2})$
 $\leq 2bx - b = -w_0'(x).$

Then, $|w'_1(x)| \leq |w'_0(x)|$, by using Lemma 2.10, we obtain $|Tw'_1(x)| \leq |Tw'_0(x)|$, which means $|w'_2(x)| \leq |w'_1(x)|, x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. By the induction $|w'_{n+1}(x)| \leq |w'_n(x)|, x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Consequently, $|w'_{n+1}(x)| \leq |w'_n(x)|, x \in [0, 1]$.

So, we claim that $w_n \longrightarrow w^*$ in norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $n \longrightarrow \infty$ in (3.4) to get $Tw^* = w^*$ because T is continuous. It is clear that the fixed point of the operator T is the

solution of BVP (1.1). Hence, w^* is concave symmetric positive solution (1.1). And since $w^* \in P_b$, we have $||w^*|| \leq b$.

Let $v_0(x) = 0, \ 0 \le x \le 1$, then $v_0 \in P_b$. Let $v_1 = Tv_0$, then $v_1 \in P_b$, we denote (3.5) $v_{n+1} = Tv_n = T^{n+1}v_0 \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, ...).$

Likely to $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we claim that $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{v_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and there exist $v^* \in P_b$ such that $v_{n_k} \longrightarrow v^*$. Because $v_1 \ge v_0$, by using Lemma 2.10, we obtain $Tv_1 \ge Tv_0$, which means $v_2 \ge v_1$, $x \in [0,1]$. By induction, $v_{n+1} \ge v_n$, $x \in [0,1]$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...). And $|v'_1(x)| \ge |v'_0(x)|$, by using Lemma 2.10, we obtain $|Tv'_1(x)| \ge |Tv'_0(x)|$, which means $|v_2'(x)| \ge |v'_1(x)|$, $x \in [0,1]$. By the induction, $|v'_{n+1}| \ge |v'_n|$, $x \in [0,1]$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...). So we claim that $v_n \longrightarrow v^*$ in norm $\|\cdot\|$ and then $Tv^* = v^*$ and $v^* \ge 0$, $0 \le x \le 1$. Hence, v^* is concave symmetric positive solution of BVP (1.1). And since $v^* \in P_b$, we have $\|v^*\| \le b$. Therefore, our proof is complete.

4. EXAMPLE

Example 4.1. We consider the following three-point second-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions:

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} u''(x) + \frac{1}{3}e^{x(1-x)}\frac{((u')^2 + sgn(u+1) + 2)}{80} = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 3\int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} u(s)ds, \end{cases}$$

where

$$f(x, u, v) = \frac{1}{3}e^{x(1-x)}\frac{(v^2 + sgn(u+1) + 2)}{80}, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \alpha = 3.$$

It is not difficult to check that the assumptions (H1) and (H2) hold. Let b = 33and $b_1 = 32$, then conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are confirmed. Then applying Theorem 3.1, BVP (4.1) has a concave symmetric positive solutions $w^*, v^* \in P$ with

$$\|w^*\| \le 33 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n w_0 = w^*, \text{ where } w_0(x) = 33x(1-x) + \frac{33}{4}, \\ \|v^*\| \le 33 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n v_0 = v^*, \text{ where } v_0(x) = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- A. Boucherif, Second-order boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions, Nonlinear Anal., 70:364–371, 2009.
- [2] W. Feng, On an *m*-point boundary value problem, Nonlinear Anal., 30:5369–5374, 1997.
- [3] C. P. Gupta, A generalized multi-point boundary value problem for second order ordinary differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 89:133–146, 1998.
- [4] F. Hao, Existence of symmetric positive solutions for *m*-point boundary value problems for second-order dynamic equations on time scales, *Math. Theory Appl. (Changsha)*, 28:65–68, 2008.

- [5] V. A. Il'in, E. I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator, *Differ. Eqn.*, 23:979–987, 1987.
- [6] T. Jankowski, Differential equations with integral boundary conditions, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 147:1–8, 2002.
- [7] T. Jankowski, R. Jankowski, Monotone iterative method to second order differential equations with deviating arguments involving Stieltjes integral boundary conditions, *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, 21:17–31, 2012.
- [8] D. Jiang, M. Fan, A. Wan, A monotone method for constructing extremal solutions to secondorder periodic boundary value problems, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 136:189–197, 2001.
- [9] D. X. Ma, W. G. Ge, Existence and iteration of positive pseudo-symmetric solutions for a three-point second-order p-Laplacian BVP, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 20:1244–1249, 2007.
- [10] H. Pang, M. Feng, W. Ge, Existence and monotone iteration of positive solutions for a threepoint boundary value problem, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 21:656–661, 2008.
- [11] H. Pang, Y. Tong, Symmetric positive solutions to a second-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions, *Bound. Value Probl.*, (2013):150 doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2013-150, 2013.
- [12] Y. Sun and X. Zhang, Existence of symmetric positive solutions for an *m*-point boundary value problem, *Bound. Value Probl.*, Art. ID 79090,14 pp, 2007.
- [13] B. Sun, W. Ge, Successive iteration and positive pseudo-symmetric solutions for a three-point second-order p-Laplacian boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 188:1772–1779, 2007.
- [14] J. Tariboon, T. Sitthiwirattham, Positive Solutions of a Nonlinear Three-Point Integral Boundary Value Problem, Bound. Value Probl., ID 519210, 11 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/519210, 2010.
- [15] Q. Yao, Successive Iteration and Positive Solutions for Nonlinear Second-Order Three-Point Boundary Value Problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 50:433–444, 2005.
- [16] X. Zhang, Existence and iteration of monotone positive solutions for an elastic beam equation with a corner, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 10:2097–2103, 2009.