DHAGE ITERATION METHOD FOR GENERALIZED QUADRATIC FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATIONS WITH MAXIMA

BAPURAO C. DHAGE AND SHYAM B. DHAGE

Kasubai, Gurukul Colony, Almedpur 413515, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove an existence and an approximation result for a generalized nonlinear quadratic fractional integral equation with maxima of mixed type. An algorithm for the solutions is developed and it is shown that the sequence of successive approximations starting with a lower or an upper solution converges monotonically to the solution of the related quadratic fractional integral equation with maxima under some suitable mixed hybrid conditions. We base our main results on the Dhage iteration principle embodied in a recent hybrid fixed point theorem of Dhage (2014) in a partially ordered normed linear space. A couple of numerical examples are also furnished to illustrate the hypotheses and abstract theory developed in the paper.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 45G10, 47H09, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quadratic integral equations have been a topic of interest for a long time because of their occurrence in the problems of some natural and physical processes of the universe. See Argyros [1], Deimling [5], Chandrasekher [3] and the references therein. The study gained momentum after the formulation of the hybrid fixed point principles in Banach algebras due to Dhage [7, 8]. The study of quadratic interal equations involving the back history, called the quadratic functional integral equations, is interesting and existence results for such quadratic operator or functional equations are generally proved under the mixed Lipschitz and compactness type conditions together with a certain growth condition on the nonlinearities involved in the quadratic operator or functional equations. The hybrid fixed point theorems in Banach algebras find numerous applications in the theory of nonlinear quadratic differential and integral equations. See Dhage [7, 8], Dhage and Dhage [16, 17] and the references therein. The Lipschitz and compactness hypotheses are considered to be very strong conditions in the theory of nonlinear differential and integral equations and nevertheless do not yield any algorithm to determine the numerical solutions. Therefore, it is of interest to relax or weaken these conditions in the existence and approximation theory of quadratic functional integral equations. Very recently, the first author in [12, 13] and Dhage and Dhage [18, 19] have studied existence results for a special class of functional differential equations, namely nonlinear quadratic differential equations with maxima under weaker partial Lipschitz and partial compactness type conditions via the Dhage iteration method. Therefore, it is desirable to extend this method to nonlinear quadratic integral equations with maxima. This is the main motivation of the present paper.

In this paper we prove the existence as well as approximation of the solutions of a certain generalized quadratic integral equation with maxima via an algorithm based on successive approximations dveloped in Dhage iteration method under weak partial Lipschitz and compactness type conditions.

Given a closed and bounded interval J = [0, T] of the real line \mathbb{R} for some T > 0, we consider the quadratic functional integral equation (in short QFIE) with maxima

(1.1)
$$x(t) = k(t, x(t), X(t)) + \left[f(t, x(t), X(t)) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t, s)}{(t - s)^{1 - q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \right)$$

for all $t \in J$, where the functions $k, f, g : J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $v : J \times J \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions, $1 \leq q \leq 2$, Γ is the Euler's Gamma function and $X(t) = \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq t} x(\xi)$.

By a solution of the QFIE (1.1) with maxima we mean a function $x \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies the equation (1.1) on J, where $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is the space of continuous realvalued functions defined on J.

The QFIE (1.1) with maxima is new to the literature and includes several nonlinear integral equations with maxima as special cases. In particular, if f(t, x, y) = 0for all $t \in J$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ the QFIE (1.1) with maxima reduces to the nonlinear functional equation with maxima

(1.2)
$$x(t) = k(t, x(t), X(t)), \quad t \in J,$$

and if k(t, x, y) = q(t) and f(t, x, y) = 1 for all $t \in J$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, it is reduced to nonlinear usual Volterra integral equation with maxima

(1.3)
$$x(t) = q(t) + \int_0^t v(t,s)g(s,x(s),X(s))ds, \quad t \in J.$$

Again, if f(t, x, y) = 1 for all $t \in J$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then (1.1) reduces to the following well-known nonlinear functional integral equation with maxima

(1.4)
$$x(t) = k(t, x(t), X(t)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t, s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) ds, \quad t \in J.$$

Next, if k(t, x, y) = 0, f(t, x, y) = f(t, y), v(t, s) = 1 and q = 1, then the QFIE (1.1) with maxima reduces to the following quadratic integral equation with maxima

(1.5)
$$x(t) = \left[f(t, X(t))\right] \left(\int_0^t g(s, X(s))ds\right)$$

which is discussed in Dhage [6] via classical fixed point theory.

Finally, if k(t, x, y) = k(t, y) + f(t, y) h(t), f(t, x, y) = f(t, y), g(t, x, y) = g(t, y)and q = 1, then the QFIE (1.1) with maxima reduces to the following quadratic integral equation with maxima

(1.6)
$$x(t) = k(t, X(t)) + \left[f(t, X(t))\right] \left(q(t) + \int_0^t v(t, s)g(s, X(s))ds\right), \quad t \in J.$$

The nonlinear functional equation (1.2) and the integral equations (1.3) through (1.6) with maxima are new to the literature and have not been discussed for existence and approximation results via Dhage iteration method. Therefore, the QFIE (1.1) with maxima is more general and an existence theorem can be proved under the usual classic Lipschitz and compactness type conditions via a hybrid fixed point theorem of Dhage [6]. In this paper, we prove the existence as well as approximation of the solutions for the QFIE (1.1) with maxima under weaker conditions which include the existence and approximation results for all the above nonlinear functional and functional Volterra integral equations as special cases under weak partial Lipschitz and partial compactness type conditions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give some preliminaries and auxiliary results which are needed in the subsequent part of the paper. The main existence and approximation results are given in Section 3, and some illustrative examples are presented in Section 4.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Unless otherwise mentioned, throughout this paper we let E denote a partially ordered real normed linear space with an order relation \leq and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in which the addition and the scalar multiplication by positive real numbers are preserved by \leq . A few details of a partially ordered normed linear space appear in Dhage [8], Heikkilä and Lakshmikantham [22] and the references therein.

Two elements x and y in E are said to be **comparable** if either the relation $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$ holds. A non-empty subset C of E is called a **chain** or **totally ordered** if all the elements of C are comparable. It is known that E is **regular** if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing (resp. nonincreasing) sequence in E such that $x_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$, then $x_n \leq x^*$ (resp. $x_n \geq x^*$) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The conditions guaranteeing the regularity of E may be found in Heikkilä and Lakshmikantham [22] and the references therein.

We need the following definitions (see Dhage [8, 9, 10] and the references therein) in what follows.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $\mathcal{T} : E \to E$ is called **isotone** or **monotone nondecreasing** if it preserves the order relation \preceq , that is, if $x \preceq y$ implies $\mathcal{T}x \preceq \mathcal{T}y$ for all $x, y \in E$. Similarly, \mathcal{T} is called **monotone nonincreasing** if $x \leq y$ implies $\mathcal{T}x \succeq \mathcal{T}y$ for all $x, y \in E$. Finally, \mathcal{T} is called **monotonic** or simply **monotone** if it is either monotone nondecreasing or monotone nonincreasing on E.

Definition 2.2. A mapping $\mathcal{T} : E \to E$ is called **partially continuous** at a point $a \in E$ if for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $||\mathcal{T}x - \mathcal{T}a|| < \epsilon$ whenever x is comparable to a and $||x - a|| < \delta$; \mathcal{T} called partially continuous on E if it is partially continuous at every point of it. It is clear that if \mathcal{T} is partially continuous on E, then it is continuous on every chain C contained in E.

Definition 2.3. A non-empty subset S of the partially ordered Banach space E is called **partially bounded** if every chain C in S is bounded. An operator \mathcal{T} on a partially normed linear space E into itself is called **partially bounded** if $\mathcal{T}(E)$ is a partially bounded subset of E. \mathcal{T} is called **uniformly partially bounded** if all chains C in $\mathcal{T}(E)$ are bounded by a unique constant.

Definition 2.4. A non-empty subset S of the partially ordered Banach space E is called **partially compact** if every chain C in S is a relatively compact subset of E. A mapping $\mathcal{T} : E \to E$ is called **partially compact** if $\mathcal{T}(E)$ is a partially relatively compact subset of E. \mathcal{T} is called **uniformly partially compact** if \mathcal{T} is a uniformly partially bounded and partially compact operator on E. \mathcal{T} is called **partially totally bounded** if for any bounded subset S of E, $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is a partially relatively compact subset of E. If \mathcal{T} is partially continuous and partially totally bounded, then it is called **partially completely continuous** on E.

Remark 2.5. Suppose that \mathcal{T} is a nondecreasing operator on E into itself. Then \mathcal{T} is a partially bounded or partially compact if $\mathcal{T}(C)$ is a bounded or relatively compact subset of E for each chain C in E.

Definition 2.6. The order relation \leq and the metric d on a non-empty set E are said to be \mathcal{D} -compatible if $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a monotone (monotone nondecreasing or monotone nonincreasing) sequence in E and if a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* implies that the original sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* . Similarly, given a partially ordered normed linear space $(E, \leq, \|\cdot\|)$, the order relation \leq and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ are said to be \mathcal{D} -compatible if \leq and the metric d defined through the norm $\|\cdot\|$ are \mathcal{D} -compatible. A subset S of E is called **Janhavi** if the order relation \leq and the metric d or the norm $\|\cdot\|$ are \mathcal{D} -compatible in it. In particular, if S = E, then E is called a **Janhavi metric** or **Janhavi Banach space**.

Clearly, the set \mathbb{R} of real numbers with usual order relation \leq and the norm defined by the absolute value function $|\cdot|$ has this property. Similarly, the finite dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with usual componentwise order relation and the standard norm possesses the \mathcal{D} -compatibility property. In general every finite dimensional Banach space with a standard norm and an order relation is a **Janhavi Banach space**.

Definition 2.7. A upper semi-continuous and monotone nondecreasing function ψ : $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a \mathcal{D} -function provided $\psi(r) = 0$ iff r = 0. Let $(E, \leq, \|\cdot\|)$ be a partially ordered normed linear space. A mapping $\mathcal{T} : E \to E$ is called **partially nonlinear** \mathcal{D} -Lipschitz if there exists a \mathcal{D} -function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

(2.1)
$$\|\mathcal{T}x - \mathcal{T}y\| \le \psi(\|x - y\|)$$

for all comparable elements $x, y \in E$. If $\psi(r) = kr, k > 0$, then \mathcal{T} is called a partially Lipschitz with a Lipschitz constant k.

Let $(E, \preceq, \|\cdot\|)$ be a partially ordered normed linear algebra. Denote

$$E^+ = \{x \in E \mid x \succeq \theta, \text{ where } \theta \text{ is the zero element of } E\}$$

and

(2.2)
$$\mathcal{K} = \{ E^+ \subset E \mid uv \in E^+ \text{ for all } u, v \in E^+ \}.$$

The elements of \mathcal{K} are called the positive vectors of the normed linear algebra E. The next lemma follows immediately from the definition of the set \mathcal{K} which is often used in the applications of hybrid fixed point theory in Banach algebras.

Lemma 2.8 (Dhage [8]). If $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ are such that $u_1 \leq v_1$ and $u_2 \leq v_2$, then $u_1u_2 \leq v_1v_2$.

Definition 2.9. An operator $\mathcal{T} : E \to E$ is said to be positive if the range $R(\mathcal{T})$ of \mathcal{T} is such that $R(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

The essential idea of the "Dhage iteration principle" may be described as "the monotonic convergence of the sequence of successive approximations to the solutions of a nonlinear equation beginning with a lower or an upper solution of the equation as its initial or first approximation" and it is a very powerful tool in the existence theory of nonlinear analysis. The procedure involved in the application of the Dhage iteration principle to nonlinear equation is called the "Dhage iteration method." It is clear that Dhage iteration method is different for different nonlinear problems and also different from the usual Picard's successive iteration method. The Dhage iteration method embodied in the following applicable hybrid fixed point theorems of Dhage [9] is used as the key tool for our work contained in this paper. A few other hybrid fixed point theorems involving the Dhage iteration method may be found in Dhage [9, 10, 11]. **Theorem 2.10** (Dhage [10]). Let $(E, \leq, \|\cdot\|)$ be a regular partially ordered complete normed linear algebra such that the order relation \leq and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in E are compatible in every compact chain of E. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: E \to \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C}: E \to E$ be three nondecreasing operators such that

- (a) \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} are partially bounded and partial nonlinear \mathcal{D} -Lipschitz with \mathcal{D} -functions $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ respectively,
- (b) \mathcal{B} is partially continuous and uniformly partially compact, and
- (c) $M\psi_{\mathcal{A}}(r) + \psi_{\mathcal{C}}(r) < r, r > 0$, where $M = \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(C)\| : C \text{ is a chain in } E\}$, and
- (d) there exists an element $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Ax_0 Bx_0 + Cx_0$ or $x_0 \succeq Ax_0 Bx_0 + Cx_0$.

Then the operator equation

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{A}x \,\mathcal{B}x + \mathcal{C}x = x$$

has a solution x^* in E and the sequence $\{x_n\}$ of successive iterations defined by $x_{n+1} = \mathcal{A}x_n \mathcal{B}x_n + \mathcal{C}x_n, n = 0, 1, \ldots$, converges monotonically to x^* .

Remark 2.11. The compatibility of the order relation \leq and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in every compact chain of E holds if every partially compact subset of E possesses the compatibility property with respect to \leq and $\|\cdot\|$. This simple fact has been utilized to prove the main results of this paper.

Remark 2.12. The hypothesis (a) of Theorem 2.10 implies that the operators \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} are partially continuous and consequently all the three operators \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} in the theorem are partially continuous on E. The regularity of E in above Theorem 2.10 may be replaced with a stronger continuity condition of the operators \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} on E.

3. EXISTENCE AND APPROXIMATION RESULT

The QFIE (1.1) with maxima is considered in the function space $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ of continuous real-valued functions defined on J. We define a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the order relation \leq in $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ by

(3.1)
$$||x|| = \sup_{t \in J} |x(t)|$$

and

$$(3.2) x \le y \iff x(t) \le y(t) \quad \forall t \in J,$$

respectively.

Clearly, $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to above supremum norm and is also partially ordered w.r.t. the above partially order relation \leq . It is known that the partially ordered Banach algebra $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ has some nice properties concerning the compatibility property with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the order relation \leq in certain subsets of of it. The following lemma in this connection follows by an application of the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(C(J, \mathbb{R}), \leq, \|\cdot\|)$ be a partially ordered Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the order relation \leq defined by (3.1) and (3.2) respectively. Then every partially compact subset S of $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is Janhavi, i.e., $\|\cdot\|$ and \leq are \mathcal{D} -compatible in every compact chain C in S.

Proof. The lemma is mentioned in Dhage [8, 9], but the proof appears in Dhage [11, 12] and Dhage and Dhage [14, 15, 16]. Since the proof is not well-known, we give the details of the proof. Let S be a partially compact subset of $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ and let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a monotone nondecreasing sequence of points in S. Then we have

(3.3)
$$x_1(t) \le x_2(t) \le \dots \le x_n(t) \le \dots,$$

for each $t \in J$.

Suppose that a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent and converges to a point x in S. Then the subsequence $\{x_{n_k}(t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the monotone real sequence $\{x_n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent. By monotone characterization, the whole sequence $\{x_n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent and converges to a point x(t) in \mathbb{R} for each $t \in J$. This shows that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to x point-wise on J. To show the convergence is uniform, it is enough to show that the sequence $\{x_n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous. Since S is partially compact, every chain or totally ordered set and consequently $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an equicontinuous sequence by Arzelá-Ascoli theorem. Hence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent and converges uniformly to x. As a result $\|\cdot\|$ and \leq are \mathcal{D} -compatible in S. Consequently, every partially compact subset S of $C(J,\mathbb{R})$ is Janhavi. This completes the proof.

We need the following definition in what follows.

Definition 3.2. A function $a \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be a lower solution of the QFIE (1.1) with maxima if it satisfies

$$u(t) \le k(t, u(t), U(t)) + \left[f(t, u(t), U(t)) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t, s)}{(t - s)^{1 - q}} g(s, u(s), U(s)) \, ds \right)$$

for all $t \in J$. Similarly, a function $v \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be an upper solution of the QFIE (1.1) with maxima if it satisfies the above inequalities with reverse sign.

Definition 3.3. A function g(t, x, y) is called Carathéodory if

- (i) the map $t \mapsto g(t, x, y)$ is measurable for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and
- (ii) the map $(x, y) \mapsto g(t, x, y)$ is jointly continuous for each $t \in J$.

A Carathéodory function g is called L^2 -Carathéodory if

(iii) there exists a function $h \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$|g(t, x, y)| \le h(t)$$
 a.e. $t \in J$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

We consider the following set of assumptions in what follows:

- (A₁) The function f is nonnegative on $J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
- (A₂) There exists a \mathcal{D} -function ψ_f such that

$$0 \le f(t, x_1, x_2) - f(t, y_1, y_2) \le \psi_f(\max\{x_1 - y_1, x_1 - y_1\})$$

for all $t \in J$ and $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, x_1 \ge y_1, x_2 \ge y_2$.

- (A₃) There exists a constant $M_f > 0$ such that $0 \le f(t, x, y) \le M_f$ for all $t \in J$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (B₁) The function v is nonnegative on $J \times J$.
- (B₂) g defines a L^2 -Carathéodory function $g: J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$.
- (B₃) g(t, x, y) is nondecreasing in x and y for all $t \in J$.
- (C₁) There exists a \mathcal{D} -function ψ_k such that

$$0 \le k(t, x_1, x_2) - k(t, y_1, y_2) \le \psi_k(\max\{x_1 - y_1, x_1 - y_1\})$$

for all $t \in J$ and $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x_1 \ge y_1, x_2 \ge y_2$.

- (C₂) There exists a constant $M_k > 0$ such that $|k(t, x, y)| \leq M_k$ for all $t \in J$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (C₃) The QFIE (1.1) with maxima has a lower solution $u \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that hypotheses $(A_1)-(A_3)$, $(B_1)-(B_3)$ and $(C_1)-(C_3)$ hold. If

(3.4)
$$\left(\frac{VT^{q-1} \|h\|_{L^2}}{\Gamma(q)}\right)\psi_f(r) + \psi_k(r) < r, \quad r > 0$$

then the QFIE (1.1) with maxima has a solution x^* defined on J and the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ of successive approximations defined by

(3.5)
$$x_{n+1}(t) = k(t, x_n(t), X_n(t)) + \left[f(t, x_n(t), X_n(t)) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{v(t, s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) ds \right)$$

for all $t \in J$, where $x_0 = u$ and $X_n(t) = \max_{0 \le \xi \le t} x_n(\xi)$, converges monotonically to x^* .

Proof. Set $E = C(J, \mathbb{R})$. Then, from Lemma 3.1 it follows that every compact chain in E possesses the compatibility property with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the order relation \leq in E. Define three operators \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} on E by

(3.6)
$$\mathcal{A}x(t) = f(t, x(t), X(t)), \quad t \in J,$$

(3.7)
$$\mathcal{B}x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s,x(s),X(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in J,$$

and

(3.8)
$$\mathcal{C}x(t) = k(t, x(t), X(t)), \quad t \in J.$$

From the continuity of the integral and the hypotheses (A_0) - (A_1) and (B_1) , it follows that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} define the maps $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : E \to \mathcal{K}$. Now by definitions of the operators \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the QFIE (1.1) with maxima is equivalent to the operator equation

(3.9)
$$\mathcal{A}x(t)\mathcal{B}x(t) + \mathcal{C}x(t) = x(t), \quad t \in J.$$

We shall show that the operators \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.10. This is achieved in the series of following steps.

Step I: \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are nondecreasing on E.

Let $x, y \in E$ be such that $x \geq y$. Then $x(t) \geq y(t)$ for all $t \in J$. Since y is continuous on [a, t], there exists a $\xi^* \in [a, t]$ such that $y(\xi^*) = \max_{a \leq \xi \leq t} y(\xi)$. By definition of \leq , one has $x(\xi^*) \geq y(\xi^*)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$X(t) = \max_{a \le \xi \le t} x(\xi) = x(\xi^*) \ge y(\xi^*) = \max_{a \le \xi \le t} y(\xi) = Y(t)$$

for each $t \in J$. Then, by hypothesis (A₂) and (C₂), we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}x(t) = f(t, x(t), X(t)) \ge f(t, y(t), Y(t)) = \mathcal{A}y(t),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}x(t) = k(t, x(t), X(t)) \ge k(t, y(t), Y(t)) = \mathcal{C}y(t),$$

for all $t \in J$. This shows that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} are nondecreasing operators on E into E. Similarly, using hypothesis (B₃),

$$\mathcal{B}x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s,x(s),X(s)) \, ds$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s,y(s),X(s)) \, ds$$
$$= \mathcal{B}y(t)$$

for all $t \in J$. Hence, it is follows that the operator \mathcal{B} is also a nondecreasing operator on E into itself. Thus, \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are nondecreasing positive operators on E into itself.

Step II: \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} are partially bounded and partially \mathcal{D} -Lipschitz on E.

Let $x \in E$ be arbitrary. Then by (A₂),

$$|\mathcal{A}x(t)| \le \left| f(t, x(t), X(t)) \right| \le M_f,$$

for all $t \in J$. Taking the supremum over t, we obtain $||\mathcal{A}x|| \leq M_f$ and so, \mathcal{A} is bounded. This further implies that \mathcal{A} is partially bounded on E. Similarly, using hypothesis (C₁), it is shown that $||\mathcal{C}x|| \leq M_k$ and consequently \mathcal{C} is partially bounded on E.

Next, let $x, y \in E$ be such that $x \ge y$. Then, we have

$$|x(t) - y(t)| \le |X(t) - Y(t)|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |X(t) - Y(t)| &= X(t) - Y(t) \\ &= \max_{t_0 \le \xi \le t} x(\xi) - \max_{t_0 \le \xi \le t} y(\xi) \\ &\le \max_{t_0 \le \xi \le t} [x(\xi) - y(\xi)] \\ &= \max_{t_0 \le \xi \le t} |x(\xi) - y(\xi)| \\ &\le ||x - y|| \end{aligned}$$

for each $t \in J$. As a result, by hypothesis (A₃),

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}x(t) - \mathcal{A}y(t)| &= \left| f(t, x(t), X(t)) - f(t, y(t), Y(t)) \right| \\ &\leq \psi_f(\max\{|x(t) - y(t)|, |X(t) - Y(t)|\}) \\ &\leq \psi_f(||x - y||), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$. Taking the supremum over t, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}y\| \le \psi_f(\|x - y\|)$$

for all $x, y \in E$ with $x \ge y$. Similarly, by hypothesis (C₂),

$$\|\mathcal{C}x - \mathcal{C}y\| \le \psi_k(\|x - y\|)$$

for all $x, y \in E$ with $x \geq y$. Hence, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} are partially nonlinear \mathcal{D} -Lipschitz operators on E which further implies that they are also partially continuous on E into itself.

Step III: \mathcal{B} is a partially continuous operator on E.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a chain C of E such that $x_n \to x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{B}x_n(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \right] \, ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s,x(s),X(s)) ds$$
$$= \mathcal{B}x(t),$$

for all $t \in J$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}x_n$ converges monotonically to $\mathcal{B}x$ pointwise on J.

Next, we will show that $\{\mathcal{B}x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an equicontinuous sequence of functions in *E*. Let $t_1, t_2 \in J$ be arbitrary with $t_1 < t_2$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \left| Bx_n(t_2) - Bx_n(t_1) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{v(t_2, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_1 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{v(t_2, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{|v(t_1, s)|}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s))| \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{|v(t_1, s)|}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} |g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s))| \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} |v(t_1, s)| \, |(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}| \, |g(s, x_n(s), X_n(s))| \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{T} |v(t_1, s)| \, |(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}| \, h(s) \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{T} |v(t_1, s)| \, |(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}| \, h(s) \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{T} |v(t_2, s) - v(t_1, s)|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{T} h^2(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{V\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q)} \left(\int_0^{T} |(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{T} h^2(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{V\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q)} \left| p(t_1) - p(t_2) \right| \end{aligned}$$

(3.10)

Since the functions p and q are continuous on the compact interval J and v is continuous on the compact set $J \times J$, they are uniformly continuous there. Therefore, from the above inequality (3.10), it follows that

$$|\mathcal{B}x_n(t_2) - \mathcal{B}x_n(t_1)| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

uniformly for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that the convergence $\mathcal{B}x_n \to \mathcal{B}x$ is uniform and hence \mathcal{B} is partially continuous on E.

Step IV: \mathcal{B} is a uniformly partially compact operator on E.

Let C be an arbitrary chain in E. We will show that $\mathcal{B}(C)$ is a uniformly bounded and equicontinuous set in E. First we show that $\mathcal{B}(C)$ is uniformly bounded. Let $y \in \mathcal{B}(C)$ be any element. Then there is an element $x \in C$ be such that $y = \mathcal{B}x$. Now, by hypothesis (B₂),

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} g(s,x(s),X(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t,s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} \left| g(s,x(s),X(s)) \right| \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{V T^{q-1} \, \|h\|_{L^2}}{\Gamma(q)} \\ &= r \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$. Taking the supremum over t, we obtain $||y|| \leq ||\mathcal{B}x|| \leq r$ for all $y \in \mathcal{B}(C)$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}(C)$ is a uniformly bounded subset of E. Moreover, $||\mathcal{B}(C)|| \leq r$ for all chains C in E. Hence, \mathcal{B} is a uniformly partially bounded operator on E.

Next, we will show that $\mathcal{B}(C)$ is an equicontinuous set in E. Let $t_1, t_2 \in J$ be arbitrary with $t_1 < t_2$. Then, for any $y \in \mathcal{B}(C)$, one has

$$\begin{split} \left| Bx(t_2) - Bx(t_1) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{v(t_2, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_1 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{v(t_2, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), x(\eta(s))) \, ds \right| \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{v(t_1, s)}{(t_2 - s)^{1-q}} g(s, x(s), X(s)) \, ds \right| \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{v(t_{1},s)}{(t_{1}-s)^{1-q}} g(s,x(s),X(s)) \, ds \bigg| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \frac{|v(t_{2},s)-v(t_{1},s)|}{(t_{2}-s)^{1-q}} \left| g(s,x(s),X(s)) \right| \, ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{|v(t_{1},s)|}{(t_{2}-s)^{1-q}} \left| g(s,x(s),X(s)) \right| \, ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} |v(t_{1},s)| \left| \left[(t_{2}-s)^{q-1} - (t_{1}-s)^{q-1} \right] \right| \left| g(s,x(s),X(s)) \right| \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{|v(t_{2},s)-v(t_{1},s)|}{(t_{2}-s)^{1-q}} \, h(s) \, ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{|v(t,s)|}{(t_{2}-s)^{1-q}} \, h(s) \, ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{T} |v(t_{1},s)| \left| \left[(t_{2}-s)^{q-1} - (t_{1}-s)^{q-1} \right] \right| \, h(s) \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{|v(t_{2},s)-v(t_{1},s)|}{(t_{2}-s)^{1-q}} \, h(s) \, ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left| \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{|v(t,s)|}{(t_{2}-s)^{1-q}} \, h(s) \, ds \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{T} |v(t_{1},s)| \left| (t_{2}-s)^{q-1} - (t_{1}-s)^{q-1} \right| \, h(s) \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |v(t_{2},s)-v(t_{1},s)|^{2} \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{T} h^{2}(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \frac{V}{\Gamma(q)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |(t_{2}-s)^{q-1} - (t_{1}-s)^{q-1}|^{2} \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{T} h^{2}(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \frac{VT^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \left| p(t_{1}) - p(t_{2}) \right| \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2}, \end{split}$$

uniformly for all $y \in \mathcal{B}(C)$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}(C)$ is an equicontinuous subset of E. Now, $\mathcal{B}(C)$ is a uniformly bounded and equicontinuous set of functions in E, so it is compact. Consequently, \mathcal{B} is a uniformly partially compact operator on E into itself.

Step V: a satisfies the operator inequality $a \leq Aa Ba + Ca$.

By hypothesis (C₄), the QFIE (1.1) has a lower solution a defined on J. Then, we have

(3.11)
$$u(t) \le k(t, u(t), U(t)) + \left[f(t, u(t), U(t)) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{v(t, s)}{(t - s)^{1 - q}} g(s, u(s), U(s)) \, ds \right)$$

for all $t \in J$. From the definitions of the operators \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} it follows that $u(t) \leq \mathcal{A}u(t) \mathcal{B}u(t) + \mathcal{C}u(t)$ for all $t \in J$. Hence, $u \leq \mathcal{A}u \mathcal{B}u + \mathcal{C}u$.

Step VI: The \mathcal{D} -functions $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfy the growth condition $M\psi_{\mathcal{A}}(r) + \psi_{\mathcal{C}}(r) < r$, for r > 0.

Finally, the \mathcal{D} -function $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the operator \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} satisfy the inequality given in hypothesis (d) of Theorem 2.10, viz.,

$$M\psi_{\mathcal{A}}(r) + \psi_{\mathcal{C}}(r) \le \left(\frac{VT^{q-1} \|h\|_{L^2}}{\Gamma(q)}\right)\psi_f(r) + \psi_k(r) < r$$

for all r > 0.

Thus, \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.10 and we conclude that the operator equation $\mathcal{A}x \mathcal{B}x + \mathcal{C}x = x$ has a solution. Consequently, the QFIE (1.1) with maxima has a solution x^* defined on J. Furthermore, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of successive approximations defined by (3.5) converges monotonically to x^* . This completes the proof.

The conclusion of Theorems 3.4 also remains true if we replace the hypothesis (C_3) with the following one:

(C'₃) The QFIE (1.1) has an upper solution $v \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 under this new hypothesis is similar and can be obtained by closely observing the same arguments with appropriate modifications.

Remark 3.5. We note that if the QFIE (1.1) has a lower solution u as well as an upper solution v such that $u \leq v$, then under the given conditions of Theorem 3.4, it has corresponding solutions x_* and x^* and these solutions satisfy $x_* \leq x^*$. Hence, they are the minimal and maximal solutions of the QFIE (1.1) in the vector segment [u, v] of the Banach space $E = C(J, \mathbb{R})$, where the vector segment [u, v] is a set in $C^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$[u,v] = \{ x \in C(J,\mathbb{R}) \mid u \le x \le v \}.$$

This is because the order relation \leq defined by (3.2) is equivalent to the order relation defined by the order cone $\mathcal{K} = \{x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \mid x \geq \theta\}$ which is a closed set in $C(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 3.6. If the function k is nonnegative on $J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ in Theorem 3.4, then the QFIE (1.1) has a positive solution x^* and the sequence $\{x_n\}$ of successive approximations defined by (3.5) converges to x^* .

4. EXAMPLES

Example 4.1. Given a closed and bounded interval J = [0, 1], consider the QFIE,

(4.1)
$$x(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left[4 + \arctan x(t) + \arctan X(t) \right] \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(3/2)} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{1/2}}{t^2+1} \cdot \frac{[1 + \tanh x(s)]}{4} \, ds \right) \\ + \frac{1}{4} \left[\arctan x(t) + \arctan X(t) \right]$$

for $t \in J$, where $X(t) = \max_{0 \le \xi \le t} x(\xi)$.

Here, $v(t,s) = \frac{1}{t^2+1}$ which is continuous and V = 1. Similarly, the functions k, f and g are defined by

$$k(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\arctan x + \arctan y \right],$$
$$f(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \left[4 + \arctan x + \arctan y \right]$$

and

$$g(t, x, y) = g(t, x) = \frac{1 + \tanh x}{4}$$

The function f satisfies the hypothesis (A₂) with $\psi_f(r) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{r}{1+\xi^2}$ for each $0 < \xi < r$. To see this, we have

$$0 \le f(t, x_1, x_2) - f(t, y_1, y_2)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} \cdot (x_1 - y_1) + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} \cdot (x_2 - y_2)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} \cdot \max\{x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2\}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_1 \geq y_1$ and $x_2 > \xi > y_2$. Moreover, the function f is nonnegative and bounded on $J \times \mathbb{R} \times$ with bound $M_f = 2$ and so the hypothesis (A₃) is satisfied.

Again, since g is nonnegative and bounded on $J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with bound $||h||_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2}$, the hypothesis (B₂) holds. Furthermore, g(t, x, y) = g(t, x) is nondecreasing in x and y for all $t \in J$, and thus hypothesis (B₃) is satisfied.

Similarly, the function k satisfies the hypothesis (C₁) with $\psi_k(r) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{r}{1+\xi^2}$ for every $0 < \xi < r$. To see this, we have

$$0 \le k(t, x_1, x_2) - k(t, y_1, y_2) \le \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} \cdot (x_1 - y_1)$$

for all $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_1 \ge y_1$ and $x_1 > \xi > y_1$. Moreover, the function k is bounded on $J \times \mathbb{R}$ with bound $M_k = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and so the hypothesis (C₂) is satisfied.

Also, we have

$$\left(\frac{V T^q \|h\|_{L^2}}{\Gamma(q)}\right) \psi_f(r) + \psi_k(r) \le \frac{r}{1+\xi^2} < r$$

for every r > 0. Thus, condition (3.4) of Theorem 3.4 is held. Finally, the QFIE (4.1) has a lower solution u(t) = 0 on J. Thus all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. Hence, we apply Theorem 3.4 and conclude that the QFIE (4.1) has a solution x^* defined on J and the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1}(t) = \frac{1}{4} \Big[4 + \arctan x_n(t) + \arctan X_n(t) \Big] \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(3/2)} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{1/2}}{t^2+1} \cdot \frac{[1 + \tanh x_n(s)]}{4} \, ds \right)$$

B. C. DHAGE AND S. B. DHAGE

(4.2)
$$+ \frac{1}{4} \left[\arctan x_n(t) + \arctan X_n(t) \right],$$

for all $t \in J$, where $x_0 = 0$, converges monotonically to x^* .

Example 4.2. Given a closed and bounded interval J = [0, 1], consider the QFIE,

(4.3)
$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[2 + \arctan X(t) \Big] \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(3/2)} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{1/2}}{t^2+1} \cdot \frac{[1 + \tanh X(s)]}{4} \, ds \right) + \arctan X(t) + 1$$

for $t \in J$, where $X(t) = \max_{0 \le \xi \le t} x(\xi)$.

Now following the arguments similar to those given in Example 4.1, it is proved that the nonlinear quadratic fractional integral equation (4.3) has a positive solution x^* defined on J and the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[2 + \arctan X_n(t) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(3/2)} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{1/2}}{t^2+1} \cdot \frac{[1 + \tanh X_n(s)]}{4} \, ds \right)$$

$$(4.4) \qquad + \arctan X_n(t) + 1,$$

for all $t \in J$, where $x_0 = 0$, converges monotonically to x^* .

REFERENCES

- I. K. Argyros, Quadratic equations and applications to Chandrasekhar's and related equations, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 32 (1985), 275–292.
- [2] D. D. Bainov, S. Hristova, Differential equations with maxima, Chapman & Hall/CRC Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2011.
- [3] S. Chandrasekher, Radiative Transfer, Dover Publications, New York, 1960.
- [4] M. A. Darwish and S. K. Ntouyas, Monotonic solutions of a perturbed quadratic fractional integral equation, Nonliner Anal. 71 (2009), 5513–5521.
- [5] K. Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [6] B. C. Dhage, Quadratic perturbations of periodic boundary value problems of second order ordinary differential equations, *Differ. Equ. Appl.* 2 (2010), 465–486.
- B. C. Dhage, Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach algebras and applications, *PanAmer. Math. J.* 9(4) (1999), 93–102.
- [8] B. C. Dhage, Hybrid fixed point theory in partially ordered normed linear spaces and applications to fractional integral equations, *Differ. Equ. Appl.* 5 (2013), 155–184.
- [9] B. C. Dhage, Partially condensing mappings in partially ordered normed linear spaces and applications to functional integral equations, *Tamkang J. Math.* 45 (4) (2014), 397–427.
- [10] B. C. Dhage, Nonlinear D-set-contraction mappings in partially ordered normed linear spaces and applications to functional hybrid integral equations, *Malaya J. Mat.* 3(1) (2015), 62–85.
- [11] B. C. Dhage, Some generalizations of a hybrid fixed point theorem in a partially ordered metric space and nonlinear functional integral equations, *Differ. Equ. Appl.* 8 (2016), 77–97.
- [12] B. C. Dhage, Approximating solutions of nonlinear periodic boundary value problems with maxima, *Cogent Mathematics* 2016, 3, 1206699.

- [13] B. C. Dhage, Dhage iteration method for nonlinear first order ordinary hybrid differential equations with mixed perturbation of second type with maxima, J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. 2016 (2016), Article ID 31.
- [14] B. C. Dhage, S. B. Dhage, Approximating solutions of nonlinear pbvps of hybrid differential equations via hybrid fixed point theory, *Indian J. Math.* 57(1) (2015), 103–119.
- [15] B. C. Dhage, S. B. Dhage, Approximating solutions of nonlinear first order ordinary differential equations, *GJMS Special Issue for Recent Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications-13*, GJMS Vol. 2 (2) (2013), 25–35.
- [16] B. C. Dhage, S. B. Dhage, Approximating positive solutions of nonlinear first order ordinary hybrid differential equations, *Cogent Mathematics* (2015), 2: 1023671.
- [17] B. C. Dhage, S. B. Dhage, Approximating positive solutions of PBVPs of nonlinear first order ordinary hybrid differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 46 (2015), 133–142.
- [18] S. B. Dhage, B. C. Dhage, Dhage iteration method for approximating positive solutions of PBVPs of nonlinear hybrid differential equations with maxima, *Intern. Jour. Anal. Appl.* 10(2) (2016), 101–111.
- [19] S. B. Dhage, B. C. Dhage, Dhage iteration method for approximating positive solutions of nonlinear first order ordinary quadratic differential equations with maxima, *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* 16(1) (2016), 87–100.
- [20] B. C. Dhage, D. Otrocol, Dhage iteration method for approximating solutions of nonlinear differential equations with maxima, *Fixed Point Theory* 18 (1) (2017), (Accepted).
- [21] D. Otrocol, I.A. Rus, Functional-differential equations with maxima of mixed type argument, *Fixed Point Theory*, 9(2008), no. 1, pp. 207–220.
- [22] S. Heikkilä, V. Lakshmikantham, Monotone Iterative Techniques for Discontinuous Nonlinear Differential Equations, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York 1994.
- [23] A. R. Magomedov, On some questions about differential equations with maxima, Izv. Akad. NaukAzerbaidzhan. SSR Ser. Fiz.-Tekhn. Mat. Nauk, /bf 1 (1977), 104–108 (in Russian).
- [24] A. R. Magomedov, Theorem of existence and uniqueness of solutions of linear differential equations with maxima, *Izv. Akad. NaukAzerbaidzhan. SSR Ser. Fiz. Tekhn. Mat. Nauk*, /bf 5 (1979), 116–118 (in Russian).
- [25] A. D. Myshkis, on some problems of the theory of differential equations with deviating argument, Russian Math. Surveys 32 (1977), 181–210.