APPLICATIONS OF VARIATIONAL METHODS TO IMPULSIVE FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH A PARAMETER

DONGDONG GAO¹ AND JIANLI LI²

Department of Mathematics Hunan Normal University Changsha, Hunan 410081, P.R. CHINA

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we consider an impulsive fractional differential equation with a control parameter. By applying variational methods and critical point theory, some new criteria to guarantee the impulsive fractional differential equation has infinitely many solutions are obtained. Moreover, we improve and extend some previous results.

AMS Subject Classification: 34A60, 34B37, 58E05

Key Words: impulsive fractional differential equations, variational methods, critical point theorem, infinitely many solutions

Received:May 4, 2018;Accepted:December 17, 2018;Published:December 20, 2018doi:10.12732/dsa.v27i4.17Dynamic Publishers, Inc., Acad. Publishers, Ltd.https://acadsol.eu/dsa

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following fractional differential equations with impulsive effects

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t} \mathcal{D}_{T}^{\alpha}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathcal{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u(t)) + k(t)u(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), t \in [0, T], t \neq t_{j}, \\ \Delta({}_{t}\mathcal{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathcal{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u))(t_{j}) = I_{j}(u(t_{j})), j = 1, 2, ...m, \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and λ is a positive control parameter, $f : [0, T] \times R \to R$ and $I_j : R \to R, j = 1, 2, ...m$ are continuous functions, $k(t) \in C([0, T])$ and there exist two positive constants k_1 and k_2 such that $0 < k_1 \leq k(t) \leq k_2$, the left Caputo fractional derivative and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α are represented by ${}^c_0 D^{\alpha}_t$ and ${}_t D^{\alpha}_T$, respectively, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{m+1} = T$ and

$$\Delta({}_{t}\mathbf{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}_{0}\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u))(t_{j}) = {}_{t}\mathbf{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}_{0}\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{+}) - {}_{t}\mathbf{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}_{0}\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{-}),$$

where

$${}_{t}\mathbf{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{+}) = \lim_{t \to t_{j}^{+}} {}_{t}\mathbf{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u)(t),$$
$${}_{t}\mathbf{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{-}) = \lim_{t \to t_{j}^{-}} {}_{t}\mathbf{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u)(t).$$

In recent years, the fractional differential equations have obtained more and more attention by many authors, see [1-13]. Some authors have made attempt to use variational methods and critical point theory to discuss the existence of solutions for boundary value problems of fractional differential equations, some interesting results have been obtained, see[14-23] and the references therein.

More precisely, In[16], the following fractional Hamiltonian system with impulsive effects has been considered

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t} \mathcal{D}_{T}^{\alpha} ({}^{c}{}_{0} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)) + A(t) u(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t)), t \in [0, T], t \neq t_{j}, \\ \Delta ({}_{t} \mathcal{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1} ({}^{c}{}_{0} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{i}))(t_{j}) = I_{ij}(u^{i}(t_{j})), i = 1, 2, ...N, j = 1, 2, ...l, \\ u(0) = u(T) = (0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1], A : [0, T] \to M_{N \times N}(R)$ is a continuous map from the interval [0, T] to the set of N-order symmetric matrices, $I_{ij} : R \to R, i = 1, 2, ..., N, j = 1, 2, ..., l$ are continuous functions, assume that there exist $a \in C(R^+, R^+)$ and $b \in L^1([0, T], R^+)$ such that $F : [0, T] \times R^N \to R$ satisfies following inequalities

$$|F(t,x)| \le a(|x|)b(t), |\nabla F(t,x)| \le a(|x|)b(t),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $a.e.t \in [0, T]$. The authors have obtained infinitely many solutions under some sufficient conditions for the system (1.2) by applying variant Fountain theorems.

In [20], the authors considered the following boundary value problem with impulsive effects

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t} \mathcal{D}_{T}^{\alpha}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathcal{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u(t)) + k(t)u(t) = f(t,u(t)), t \in [0,T], t \neq t_{j}, \\ \Delta({}_{t}\mathcal{D}_{T}^{\alpha-1}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathcal{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u))(t_{j}) = I_{j}(u(t_{j})), j = 1, 2, ...m, \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

which is the same as (1.1) when $\lambda = 1$. By employing the Morse theory coupled with local linking arguments, the authors proved that system (1.3) has at least one nontrivial solution.

In[17,18], the following boundary value problem has been studied

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{T}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{t}u(t)) + a(t)u(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), t \in [0, T], t \neq t_{j}, \\ \Delta({}_{t}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha-1}_{T}({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{t}u))(t_{j}) = \mu I_{j}(u(t_{j})), j = 1, 2, ...m, \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

By using variational methods and critical point theory, the authors have obtained at least one solution and three solutions for the system (1.4).

Motivated by above [17, 18, 20], the main aim in this paper is intended to establish infinitely many solutions for the system (1.1) by using variational methods and critical point theory, which is totally different from [15, 16, 18]. It is worth pointing out that our results generalize and improve some previous results.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries and results which are applied in the later paper are presented. In Section 3, the proof of main results are given. In Section 4, we give some examples to show our results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we list some lemmas and results that we shall use in the rest of the paper. For more details, please refer to the references [23-26].

We denote B_r be the open ball in X with the radius r and centered at 0 and its boundary defined by ∂B_r .

Definition 2.1. Let *E* be a real Banach space and $\varphi \in C^1(E, R)$ satisfy the Palais-Smale condition, i.e., every sequence $\{u_j\} \subset E$ for which $\{\varphi(u_j)\}$ is bounded and $\varphi'(u_j) \to 0$ as $j \to 0$ possesses a convergent subsequence in *E* and $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. (see [24]) Let E be a real Banach space, and let $\varphi \in C^1(E, R)$ be even satisfying the Palais-Smale condition and $\varphi(0) = 0$. If $E = V \bigoplus \Upsilon$, where V is finite dimensional, and φ satisfies that:

(i) There exist constants $\rho, \eta > 0$ such that $\varphi|_{\partial B_r \cap \Upsilon} \geq \eta$;

(ii) For each finite dimensional subspace $W \subset E$, there is R = R(W) such that $\varphi(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in W$ with $||u|| \geq R$.

Then φ possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.

For any fixed $t \in [0, T]$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, define

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |x(t)|, \|x\|_{L^{p}([0,t])} = \left(\int_{0}^{t} |x(s)|^{p} ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \|x\|_{L^{p}} = \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(s)|^{p} ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
 (2.1)

Lemma 2.1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1, 1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p([0,T], R)$. Then we have

$$\|_{0} D_{\xi}^{-\alpha} f \|_{L^{p}([0,t])} \le M^{*} \| f \|_{L^{p}([0,t])}, \xi \in [0,t], t \in [0,T],$$
(2.2)

where ${}_0D_t^{-\alpha}$ is left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α and

$$M^* = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \alpha \leq \frac{1}{p}, \\ \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \alpha > \frac{1}{p}. \end{cases}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. **Proof.** If $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$, from $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we immediately obtain $q(\alpha - 1) + 1 = \frac{1}{p-1}(p\alpha - 1) > 0$ and by (2.1), we have

$$\|_{0} \mathcal{D}_{\xi}^{-\alpha} f\|_{L^{p}([0,t])} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \Big(\int_{0}^{t} |\int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} f(\tau) d\tau|^{p} d\xi \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} f(\tau) d\tau| &\leq \int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} |f(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq (\int_{0}^{\xi} [(\xi - \tau)^{\alpha - 1}]^{q} d\tau)^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} |f(\tau)|^{p} d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{q(\alpha - 1) + 1} \cdot \xi^{q(\alpha - 1) + 1}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} |f(\tau)|^{p} d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha - 1 + \frac{1}{q}}}{[q(\alpha - 1) + 1]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{t} |f(\tau)|^{p} d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\begin{split} \| {}_{0} \mathcal{D}_{\xi}^{-\alpha} f \|_{L^{p}([0,t])} &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{t^{\alpha-1+\frac{1}{q}}}{[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \cdot \left[\int_{0}^{t} (\int_{0}^{t} |f(\tau)|^{p} d\tau) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{t^{\alpha-1+\frac{1}{q}}}{[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \cdot t^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{t} |f(\tau)|^{p} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \| f \|_{L^{p}([0,t])}. \end{split}$$

If $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{p}$, by Lemma 3.1 of [23], we have

$$\|_{0} \mathbb{D}_{\xi}^{-\alpha} f \|_{L^{p}([0,t])} \leq \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \| f \|_{L^{p}([0,t])}$$

Let

$$M^* = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \alpha \leq \frac{1}{p}, \\ \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \alpha > \frac{1}{p}, \end{cases}$$

we obtain $\|_{0} D_{\xi}^{-\alpha} f\|_{L^{p}([0,t])} \leq M^{*} \|f\|_{L^{p}([0,t])}.$ **Remark 2.1.** (i) When $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, we have $M^{*} = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}}.$ (ii) When $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$, it is clear to see $\frac{1}{[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}} < \frac{1}{\alpha}$. So M^{*} in our paper is better than that of Lemma 3.1 in [23], which is defined as $M^* = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$, thus we improve and extend some previous results.

Let $C_0^{\infty}([0,T],R)$ be the function space with $u \in C_0^{\infty}([0,T],R)$ and u(0) = u(T) = 0. By Lemma 2.1, we have $u \in L^p([0,T],R)$ and ${}^c_0 D_t^{\alpha} u \in L^p([0,T],R)$ for any $u \in C_0^{\infty}([0,T],R)$ and $1 , so we choose a set of space <math>E_0^{\alpha,2}$ and denote $E_0^{\alpha,2} = E_0^{\alpha}$ for convenience.

Definition 2.2. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, the fractional derivative space E_0^{α} is defined by the closure of $C_0^{\infty}([0,T], R)$ with respect to the weighted norm

$$||u||_{\alpha} = \left(\int_{0}^{T} |{}^{c}_{0} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{t} u(t)|^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} |u(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, u \in E_{0}^{\alpha}.$$
(2.3)

From [23], we know the space E_0^{α} is a reflexive and separable Banach space for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then for $k(t) \in C([0,T])$ with $0 < k_1 \leq k(t) \leq k_2$, the equivalent norm in E_0^{α} is

$$\|u\|_{k,\alpha} = \left(\int_0^T |{}^c_0 \mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} u(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T k(t) |u(t)|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, u \in E_0^{\alpha},$$
(2.4)

which we also denote $\|.\|_{k,\alpha} = \|.\|_{\alpha}$ for convenience.

Definition 2.3. We say that $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if the following equality

$$\int_{0}^{T} ({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u(t){}^{c}{}_{0}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\alpha}v(t) + k(t)u(t)v(t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^{m} I_{j}(u(t_{j}))v(t_{j}) = \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t,u(t))v(t)dt$$
(2.5)

holds for every $v \in E_0^{\alpha}$.

Consider the functional $\varphi: E_0^{\alpha} \to R$ as follow:

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) dt, \qquad (2.6)$$

owing to the continuity of f and $I_j(j = 1, \dots, m)$, we immediately deduce that φ is continuous and differentiable and we have

$$<\varphi'(u), v>=\int_{0}^{T} ({}^{c}{}_{0}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u(t){}^{c}{}_{0}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\alpha}v(t) + k(t)u(t)v(t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^{m} I_{j}(u(t_{j}))v(t_{j}) - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u(t))v(t)dt. \quad (2.7)$$

Then we obviously deduce that the weak solutions of the system (1.1) are the critical points of φ .

Lemma 2.2. Let $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, for any $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{p}} \leq \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)[q(\alpha-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \Big(\int_{0}^{T} |{}^{c}{}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}u(t)|^{p}dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
(2.8)

and

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \le \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big(\int_{0}^{T} |{}^{c}{}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}u(t)|^{p}dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(2.9)

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, as the similar proof of Proposition 3.2 of [23], we immediately know (2.8) and (2.9) hold.

Corollary 2.1. Let $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$, then we have

$$\|u\|_{L^2} \le \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{\alpha}.$$
(2.10)

Proof. From (2.8), for any $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$ we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{2}} &\leq \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big[\int_{0}^{T} |^{c}{}_{0} \mathrm{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)|^{2} dt) + \int_{0}^{T} k(t) |u(t)|^{2} dt \Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{\alpha} = \hat{M} \|u\|_{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{M} = \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$

Corollary 2.2. Let $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$, then we have

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \le \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{\alpha}.$$
(2.11)

Proof. From (2.9), for any $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$ we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big[\int_{0}^{T} |{}^{c}{}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)|^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} k(t) |u(t)|^{2} dt \Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{\alpha} = \check{M} \|u\|_{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{M} = \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$

Lemma 2.3. (see [23]) Let $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$, assume that the sequence $\{u_k\}$ converges weakly to $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$, i.e., $u_k \rightarrow u$. Then we have that $\{u_k\}$ converges strongly to $u \in C([0,T], R)$, i.e., $||u_k - u||_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.4. The functional $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if and only if u is a classical solution of (1.1).

Proof. The proof is similar as to the proof of [17, Lemma 2.1], where $\lambda = 1$, we omit it here.

In order to begin our main results, we also need the following conditions:

978

(A1) There exists $\mu > 2$, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $u \in R \setminus \{0\}$,

$$0 < \mu F(t, u) \le f(t, u)u,$$

where $F(t, u) = \int_0^u f(t, s) ds$.

- (A2) f(t, u) and $I_j(u)$ are odd about u.
- (A3) There exist $c_j, d_j > 0$ and $\delta_j \in [0, 1)$ such that for any $u \in R$ and j = 1, 2, ...m, we have

$$|I_j(u)| \le c_j + d_j |u|^{\delta_j}.$$
 (2.12)

(A4) There exist constants $L_j > 0$, such that for any $u, v \in R, j = 1, 2, ...m$, we have

$$|I_j(u) - I_j(v)| \le L_j |u - v|, \tag{2.13}$$

where $0 < \sum_{j=1}^{m} L_j < \frac{\mu - 2}{2\tilde{M}^2(\mu + 1)}$ and \check{M} is defined in Corollary 2.2.

(A5) The following inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\check{M}^2} - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{\check{M}^2}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j) > 0$$
(2.14)

holds, where \check{M} is defined in Corollary 2.1 and $M_1 = \sup\{F(t, u) | t \in [0, T], |u| = 1\} > 0.$

(A6) The following inequality

$$\frac{1}{2\check{M}^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m L_j - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{\check{M}^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m |I_j(0)| > 0$$
(2.15)

holds.

Lemma 2.5.[26] If (A1) and (A2) hold, then the following inequalities

$$F(t,u) \le F(t,\frac{u}{|u|})|u|^{\mu}, 0 < |u| \le 1,$$
$$F(t,u) \ge F(t,\frac{u}{|u|})|u|^{\mu}, |u| \ge 1$$

hold, which implies that f is superquadratic at infinity, subquadratic at the origin.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A5) hold, then the system (1.1) has infinitely many classical solutions.

Proof. It is clear to see that $\varphi \in C^1(E_0^{\alpha}, R)$ is an even functional and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then we will apply Theorem 2.1 to show Theorem 3.1.

Firstly, we need to prove that φ satisfies the P.S. condition. Let $\{u_k\} \subset E_0^{\alpha}$ such that $\{\varphi(u_k)\}$ be a bounded sequence and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi'(u_k) = 0$. Assume that there exists a constant C_1 such that

$$|\varphi(u_k)| \le C_1, \|\varphi'(u_k)\|_{\alpha} \le C_1.$$
 (3.1)

From (2.6) and (A1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{\alpha}^2 &= 2\varphi(u_k) - 2\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_k(t_j)} I_j(s)ds + 2\lambda \int_0^T F(t, u_k(t))dt \\ &\leq 2\varphi(u_k) - 2\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_k(t_j)} I_j(s)ds + \frac{2\lambda}{\mu} \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t))u_k(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

together with (2.7) we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \frac{2}{\mu}) \|u_k\|_{\alpha}^2 &\leq 2\varphi(u_k) - 2\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_k(t_j)} I_j(s) ds + \frac{2\lambda}{\mu} \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t)) u_k(t) dt \\ &- \frac{2}{\mu} \varphi'(u_k) u_k + \frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_k(t_j)) u_k(t_j) - \frac{2\lambda}{\mu} \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t)) u_k(t) dt \\ &= 2\varphi(u_k) - \frac{2}{\mu} \varphi'(u_k) u_k - 2\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_k(t_j)} I_j(s) ds \\ &+ \frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_k(t_j)) u_k(t_j). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11), (3.1) and (A3), we obtain

$$(1 - \frac{2}{\mu}) \|u_k\|_{\alpha}^2 \leq 2C_1 + 2\|u_k\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j \|u_k\|_{\infty}^{\delta_j}) + \frac{2}{\mu} C_1 \|u_k\|_{\infty} + \frac{2}{\mu} \|u_k\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j \|u_k\|_{\infty}^{\delta_j}) \leq 2C_1 + 2\check{M} \|u_k\|_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j \check{M}^{\delta_j} \|u_k\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_j}) + \frac{2}{\mu} C_1 \|u_k\|_{\alpha} + \frac{2\check{M}}{\mu} \|u_k\|_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j \check{M}^{\delta_j} \|u_k\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_j}),$$

this implies that $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in E_0^{α} . Since E_0^{α} is a reflexive space, we may choose a weakly convergent subsequence, we denote $\{u_k\}$ and $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in E_0^{α} , then we will prove that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in E_0^{α} . By (2.6), we have

$$\langle \varphi'(u_k) - \varphi'(u), u_k - u \rangle \le \|\varphi'(u_k)\|_{\alpha} \|u_k - u\|_{\alpha} - \langle \varphi'(u_k), u_k - u \rangle \to 0$$
(3.2)

as $k \to \infty$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3, we know $||u_k - u||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, since

$$0 \leftarrow \langle \varphi'(u_k) - \varphi'(u), u_k - u \rangle$$

= $||u_k - u||^2_{\alpha} - \lambda \int_0^T [f(t, u_k) - f(t, u)](u_k - u)dt$
+ $\sum_{j=1}^m [I_j(u_k(t_j)) - I_j(u(t_j))](u_k(t_j) - u(t_j))$
 $\geq ||u_k - u||^2_{\alpha} - \lambda |\int_0^T [f(t, u_k) - f(t, u)]dt|||u_k - u||_{\infty}$
- $\sum_{j=1}^m [I_j(u_k(t_j)) - I_j(u(t_j))]||u_k - u||_{\infty},$

by (3.2), we immediately deduce that $||u_k - u||_{\alpha} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, this implies that $\{u_k\}$ converges strongly to $u \in E_0^{\alpha}$. So φ satisfies the P.S. condition.

Next, we will show that the condition (i) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Assume that V = R and $\Upsilon = \{u \in E_0^{\alpha} | \int_0^T u(t) dt = 0\}$, then $E_0^{\alpha} = V \oplus \Upsilon$, where $\dim V = 1 < +\infty$. Suppose that $0 < \|u\|_{\infty} \le 1$, from (A1) and Lemma 2.5, we deduce that

$$\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t))dt \leq \int_{0}^{T} F(t, \frac{u}{|u|})|u|^{\mu}dt \leq M_{1} \int_{0}^{T} |u|^{2}dt \leq M_{1} \hat{M}^{2} ||u||_{\alpha}^{2}.$$
 (3.2)

By (2.6), (2.10), (2.11) (3.2) and (A3), we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} - \check{M} \|u\|_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_{j} + d_{j} \check{M}^{\delta_{j}} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_{j}}) - \lambda M_{1} \hat{M}^{2} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} - \lambda M_{1} \hat{M}^{2}) \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_{j} \check{M} \|u\|_{\alpha} + d_{j} \check{M}^{\delta_{j}+1} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_{j}+1}). \end{split}$$

Selecting

$$\|u\|_{\alpha} = \rho := \frac{1}{\check{M}},$$

from (2.11), we have $0 < ||u||_{\infty} \le 1$. So

$$\varphi(u) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2\check{M}^2} - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{\check{M}^2}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j),$$

let $\eta = (\frac{1}{2\tilde{M}^2} - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{\tilde{M}^2}) - \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j)$, then from (2.14), we have $\varphi(u) \ge \eta > 0$ for any $u \in \partial B_\rho \cap \Upsilon$.

At last, we will prove that the condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1 holds. By (A1), let $M_2 > 0$ such that for any $u \ge M_2 > 0$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$(\frac{F(t,u)}{u^{\mu}})_{u}^{'} = \frac{u^{\mu}f(t,u) - \mu u^{\mu-1}F(t,u)}{u^{2\mu}} = \frac{uf(t,u) - \mu F(t,u)}{u^{\mu+1}} \ge 0$$

it implies that $\frac{F(t,u)}{u^{\mu}}$ is increasing for u, so we deduce that

$$\frac{F(t,u)}{u^{\mu}} \ge \frac{F(t,M_2)}{M_2^{\mu}} \ge C_2,$$

where $C_2 = M_2^{-\mu} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \{F(t, M_2)\}$, this yields $F(t, u) \geq C_2 |u|^{\mu}$ for any $u \geq M_2 > 0$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Using the same argument, we have $F(t, u) \geq C_3 |u|^{\mu}$ for any $u \leq -M_2$ and $t \in [0, T]$, where $C_3 > 0$. Owing to the continuity of F(t, u) on $[0, T] \times [-M_2, M_2]$, then there exists $C_5 > 0$ such that $F(t, u) \geq C_4 |u|^{\mu} - C_5$ for any $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times [-M_2, M_2]$, where $C_4 = \min\{C_2, C_3\}$. So we obtain

$$F(t,u) \ge C_4 |u|^{\mu} - C_5 \tag{3.3}$$

for any $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times R$. Let N_1 is any finite dimensional subspace in E_0^{α} , then for each $\xi \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $u \in N_1 \setminus \{0\}$, combining with (2.6), (2.11), (2.12) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\xi u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\xi u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} F(t, \xi u(t)) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \|\xi u\|_{\alpha} \check{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_{j} + d_{j} \check{M}^{\delta_{j}} \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_{j}}) - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} (C_{4} |\xi u|^{\mu} - C_{5}) dt, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \|\xi u\|_{\alpha} \check{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_{j} + d_{j} \check{M}^{\delta_{j}} \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_{j}}) + \lambda T C_{5} - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} C_{4} |\xi u|^{\mu} dt. \end{split}$$

Let $\omega \in N_1$ such that $\|\omega\|_{\alpha} = 1$, since $\mu > 2$, the above inequality implies that there exists a sufficiently large ξ such that $\|\xi\omega\|_{\alpha} > \rho$ and $\varphi(\xi u) < 0$. Since N_1 is a finite dimensional subspace in E_0^{α} , there exists $T(N_1) > 0$, such that $\varphi(u) \leq 0$ on $N_1 \setminus B_{T(N_1)}$. By Theorem 2.1, φ has infinitely many critical points that is the system (1.1) has infinitely many classical solutions.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (A1), (A2), (A4) and (A6) hold, then the system (1.1) has infinitely many classical solutions.

Proof. It is obvious to see that $\varphi \in C^1(E_0^{\alpha}, R)$ is an even functional and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then we will apply Theorem 2.1 to show Theorem 3.2. Firstly, we need to prove that the functional φ satisfies the P.S. condition. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, by (2.6), (2.7), (2.11), (3.1) together with (A1) and (A4), we have

$$\begin{split} (1 - \frac{2}{\mu}) \|u_k\|_{\alpha}^2 &\leq 2\varphi(u_k) - 2\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_k(t_j)} I_j(s) ds + \frac{2\lambda}{\mu} \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t)) u_k(t) dt \\ &- \frac{2}{\mu} \varphi'(u_k) u_k + \frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_k(t_j)) u_k(t_j) - \frac{2\lambda}{\mu} \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t)) u_k(t) dt \\ &= 2\varphi(u_k) - \frac{2}{\mu} \varphi'(u_k) u_k - 2\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_k(t_j)} I_j(s) ds + \frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_k(t_j)) u_k(t_j) \\ &\leq 2\varphi(u_k) + \frac{2}{\mu} \varphi'(u_k) u_k + 2\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_k(t_j)} I_j(s) ds + \frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_k(t_j)) u_k(t_j) \\ &\leq 2C_1 + 2\|u_k\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m (|I_j(0)| + L_j\|u_k\|_{\infty}) \\ &+ \frac{2}{\mu} C_1 \|u_k\|_{\infty} + \frac{2}{\mu} \|u_k\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m (|I_j(0)| + L_j \|u_k\|_{\infty}) \\ &+ \frac{2}{\mu} C_1 \tilde{M} \|u_k\|_{\alpha} + \frac{2\tilde{M}}{\mu} \|u_k\|_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^m (|I_j(0)| + \tilde{M}L_j\|u_k\|_{\alpha}), \end{split}$$

then we have

$$\left[1 - \frac{2}{\mu} - 2\left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\check{M}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}L_{j}\right]\|u_{k}\|_{\alpha}^{2} \leq 2C_{1} + \left[\frac{2C_{1}\check{M}}{\mu} + 2\check{M}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\sum_{j=1}^{m}|I_{j}(0)|\right]\|u_{k}\|_{\alpha},$$

from (A4), we deduce that $1 - \frac{2}{\mu} - 2(1 + \frac{1}{\mu})\check{M}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m L_j > 0$, this implies that $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in E_0^{α} . The rest of the proof of the P.S. condition is similar to that in Theorem 3.1, we omit it here.

Next, we will show that the condition (i) of Theorem 2.1 holds. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, by (2.6), (2.10), (2.11), (3.2) together with (A4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} - 2\check{M}\|u\|_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (|I_{j}(0)| + L_{j}\check{M}\|u\|_{\alpha}) - \lambda M_{1}\hat{M}^{2}\|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} - 2\check{M}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} L_{j} - \lambda M_{1}\hat{M}^{2}) \|u\|_{\alpha}^{2} - 2\check{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |I_{j}(0)| \|u\|_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Selecting

$$\|u\|_{\alpha} = \rho := \frac{1}{\check{M}},$$

from (2.11), we have $0 < ||u||_{\infty} \le 1$. So

$$\varphi(u) \ge \frac{1}{2\check{M}^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m L_j - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{\check{M}^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m |I_j(0)|$$

let $\eta = \frac{1}{2M^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m L_j - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{M^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m |I_j(0)|$, then from (2.15), we have $\varphi(u) \ge \eta > 0$ for any $u \in \partial B_\rho \cap \Upsilon$.

At last, we will prove that the condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1 holds. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we assume that N_1 is any finite dimensional subspace in E_0^{α} , then for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $u \in N_1 \setminus \{0\}$, combining with (2.6), (2.11), (2.13) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\xi u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\xi u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} F(t, \xi u(t)) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \check{M}\|\xi u\|_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (|I_{j}(0)| + L_{j}\check{M}\|\xi u\|_{\alpha}) - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} (C_{4}|\xi u|^{\mu} - C_{5}) dt, \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} + \check{M}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} L_{j}) \|\xi u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \check{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |I_{j}(0)| \|\xi u\|_{\alpha} + \lambda T C_{5} - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} C_{4}|\xi u|^{\mu} dt. \end{split}$$

Let $\omega \in N_1$ such that $\|\omega\|_{\alpha} = 1$, since $\mu > 2$, the above inequality implies that there exists a sufficiently large ξ such that $\|\xi\omega\|_{\alpha} > \rho$ and $\varphi(\xi u) < 0$. Since N_1 is a finite dimensional subspace in E_0^{α} , there exists $T(N_1) > 0$, such that $\varphi(u) \le 0$ on $N_1 \setminus B_{T(N_1)}$. By Theorem 2.1, φ has infinitely many critical points that is the system (1.1) has infinitely many classical solutions.

4. SOME EXAMPLES

In this part, we will give corresponding examples to illustrate the main results in our paper.

Example 4.1. Let $T = k(t) = 1, \lambda = \frac{1}{10}, \alpha = 0.75$, then consider the following fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t} \mathcal{D}_{1}^{0.75} ({}^{c}{}_{0} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{0.75} u(t)) + u(t) = \frac{1}{10} f(t, u(t)), t \in [0, 1], t \neq t_{j} \\ \Delta ({}_{t} \mathcal{D}_{1}^{-0.25} ({}^{c}{}_{0} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{0.75} u))(t_{j}) = I_{j}(u(t_{j})), j = 1, 2, ...m, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

where $f(t, u) = u^3 + tu^5$ and $I_j(u) = \frac{1}{20}|u|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin u, j = 1, 2$, then we obtain that f(t, u) and $I_j(u)$ are odd about u, so (A2) holds. Then we assume $\mu = 4, c_j = 0, d_j = \frac{1}{20}, \delta_j = \frac{1}{20}$

984

 $\frac{1}{2}$, then by verification, we obtain that (A1) and (A3) hold. By simple calculations, we know $\hat{M} = \check{M} \approx 1.154068$, $M_1 = 1$ and $(\frac{1}{2M^2} - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{\hat{M}^2}) - \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j + d_j) \approx 0.175410 > 0$, so (A5) holds. By Theorem 3.1, the system (4.1) has infinitely many solutions.

Example 4.2. Let $T = k(t) = 1, \lambda = \frac{1}{10}, \alpha = 0.8$, then consider the following fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t} D_{1}^{0.8} {}^{(c}{}_{0} D_{t}^{0.8} u(t)) + u(t) = \frac{1}{10} f(t, u(t)), t \in [0, 1], t \neq t_{j} \\ \Delta({}_{t} D_{1}^{-0.2} {}^{(c}{}_{0} D_{t}^{0.8} u))(t_{j}) = I_{j}(u(t_{j})), j = 1, 2, ...m, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2)$$

where $f(t, u) = u^3 + tu^5$ and $I_j(u) = \frac{1}{24}|u| \sin u, j = 1, 2$, then we obtain that f(t, u)and $I_j(u)$ are odd about u, so (A2) holds. Then we assume $\mu = 4, L_j = \frac{1}{24}$. By a simple calculation, we know $\hat{M} = \check{M} \approx 1.501531$, $M_1 = 1, \frac{\mu-2}{2\check{M}^2(\mu+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^m L_j \approx$ 0.079319 > 0 and $\frac{1}{2\check{M}^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m L_j - \frac{\lambda M_1 \hat{M}^2}{\check{M}^2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^m |I_j(0)| \approx 0.139963 > 0$, so we immediately have (A1), (A4) and (A6) hold. By Theorem 3.1, the system (4.2) has infinitely many solutions.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the NSFC(11571088, 11471109), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY14A010024), and Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (14A098).

REFERENCES

- A. Ali and R. A.Khan, Existence of solutions of fractional differential equations via topological degree theory, J. Comput. Theor. Nanoscience, 13 (2016), 143-147.
- [2] B. Ahmad and J.J. Nieto, Existence of solutions for anti-periodic boundary value problems involving fractional differential equations via Leray-Schauder degree theory, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 35 (2010), 295-304.
- [3] S. Heidarkhania, A. Cabadab, G.A. Afrouzic, S. Moradic, G. Caristid, A variational approach to perturbed impulsive fractional differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 341(2018),42-60.
- [4] Huiping Fang, Mingzhu Song, Existence results for fractional order impulsive functional differential equations with multiple delays, Advances in Difference Equations, (2018) 2018,139.

- [5] Ahmed Gamal Ibrahim, Differential Equations and Inclusions of Fractional Order with Impulse Effects in Banach Spaces, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 2(2018), 1-41
- [6] S. Zhang, Existence of solution for the fractional differential equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61 (2011) 1202-1208.
- [7] L. Lin, X. Liu and H. Fang, Method of upper and lower solutions for fractional differential equations, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, 100 (2012) 596-602.
- [8] M. Sowmya and A.S. Vatsala, Generalized iterative methods for Caputo fractional differential equations via coupled lower and upper solutions with superlinear convergence, *Nonlinear Dyna. Syst. Theo.*, 15 (2015) 198-208.
- [9] J. Cao and H. Chen, Impulsive fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Math. Compt. Model.*, 55 (2012) 303-311.
- [10] M. Jia and X. Liu, Multiplicity of solutions for integral boundary value problems for fractional differential equations with upper and lower solutions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 232 (2014) 313-323.
- [11] B. Ahmad and S. Sivasundaram, On four-point nonlocal boundary value problems of nonlinear integro-differential equations of fractional order, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217 (2010) 480-487.
- [12] Y. Zhou, F. Jiao and J. Li, Existence and uniqueness for fractional neutral differential equations with infinite delay, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71 (2009) 3249-3256.
- [13] Adnan Khaliq, Mujeeb ur Rehman, On variational methods to non-instantaneous impulsive fractional differential equation, Appl. Math. Lett., 83(2018), 95-102.
- [14] J. Wang, Y. Zhou and M. Fečkan, On recent developments in the theory of boundary value problems for impulsive fractional differential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 64 (2012) 3008-3020.
- [15] Y. Zhao, H. Chen and B. Qin, Multiple solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations via variational methods, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 257 (2015) 417-427.
- [16] N. Nyamoradi and R. Rodríguez-López, Multiplicity of solutions to fractional Hamiltonian system with impulsive effects, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 102 (2017) 254-263.
- [17] G. Bonanno, R. Rodríguez-López and S. Tersian, Existence of solutions to boundary value problem for impulsive fractional differential equations, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 17 (2014) 717-744.
- [18] R. Rodríguez-López and S. Tersian, Multiple solutions to boundary value problem for impulsive fractional differential equations, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 17 (2014) 1016-1038.

- [19] Y. Zhao, H. Chen, and Q. Zhang, Infinitely many solutions for fractional differential system via variational method, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 50 (2016) 589-609.
- [20] Y. Zhao, H. Chen and C. Xu, Nontrivial solutions for impulsive fractional differential equations via Morse theory, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 307 (2017) 170-179.
- [21] S. Heidarkhani, Infinitely many solutions for nonlinear perturbed fractional boundary value problems, Dyn. Syst. Appl., 41 (2014) 88-103.
- [22] L. Zhang and Y. Zhou, Existence and multiplicity results of homoclinic solutions for fractional Hamiltonian systems, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 73 (2017) 1325-1345.
- [23] F. Jiao and Y. Zhou, Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problem via critical point theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62 (2011) 1181-1199.
- [24] P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, CBMS *Regional Conf. Ser. Math.*, American Mathematical Society, Washington, DC, USA, 1986.
- [25] Y. Zhou, Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.
- [26] M. Izydorek and J. Janczewska, Homoclinic solutions for a class of the second order Hamiltonian systems, J. Differ. Equ., 219 (2005) 375-389.