

ANALYSIS OF SOLUTIONS OF SOME FRACTIONAL DELTA DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON TIME SCALES

SOBIA RAFEEQ¹ AND SABIR HUSSAIN²

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Engineering and Technology
Lahore, PAKISTAN

²Department of Mathematics
University of Engineering and Technology
Lahore, PAKISTAN

ABSTRACT: Some new generalized Gronwall-Bellman type fractional delta integral inequalities have been derived to discuss the qualitative analysis of the solutions of Cauchy type and nonlinear fractional delta stochastic differential equation.

AMS Subject Classification: 26D15, 39A13, 26E70

Key Words: time scale, Gronwall-Bellman type integral inequality, fractional delta differential equation, qualitative properties

Received: November 18, 2018; **Revised:** March 13, 2019;

Published (online): April 4, 2019 **doi:** 10.12732/dsa.v28i2.12

Dynamic Publishers, Inc., Acad. Publishers, Ltd.

<https://acadsol.eu/dsa>

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

During last decades, the role of fractional calculus is not forgetfulness evolving in a respected discipline with a number of physical and technical applications [1, 6, 11, 13, 16]. Theory of fractional differential equations reflect both continuous fractional differential and discrete fractional difference equations. So for as the theory of fractional differential equation is concerned, it has gained a lot of considerably attention and popularity from almost three decades before or so. A lot of applications in numerous seemingly diverse and widespread fields of science and engineering have been gained. For a long time, the study of fractional differential equations and fractional difference equations have been discussed separately. It has been the main task, either there is

a unification between differential and difference calculus? Ultimate answer to this task was proposed by Stephen Hilger[7] in 1988 by introducing a new theory so called "Theory of time scales" Recently, some authors studied fractional calculus on time scales [2, 10, 12, 14]. Bastos[2] has defined the Δ -integral and Δ -derivative on time scales by Laplace transform. Recently, Jiang Zhu et al.[17] introduced the concept of fractional Δ -derivative and fractional Δ -integral by use of fractional order power functions on time scales so called "Riemann-Liouville fractional Δ -derivative of non-negative order α " and "Riemann-Liouville fractional Δ -integral of nonnegative order α ", respectively.

On the other hand, the role of inequalities is significant to discuss the qualitative and quantitative behavior of the solution of differential and difference equations. Our aim is to construct fractional Gronwall-Bellman type inequalities on time scales and to structure the fractional Δ -stochastic differential equation of Itô-Doob type on time scales in this mode to check the behaviour of the solutions of Cauchy type problem and nonlinear fractional Δ -stochastic differential equation. The paper is arranged in such a manner: In Section 2 some new concepts have been discussed and results regarding to the topic. In Section 3 we investigated some properties of the solutions of certain fractional Δ -differential equations.

Throughout the discussion, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}, D)$ represents the class of all continuous functions defined on a set \mathcal{H} with range in the set D . Let \mathbf{R} be the set of real numbers, \mathbb{T} be an arbitrary time scale, \mathfrak{R} the set of all regressive and right dense-continuous functions, $\mathfrak{R}^+ = \{p \in \mathfrak{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0, t \in \mathbb{T}\}$, $[\omega_0, \omega] \subset \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbb{T}_1 := [\omega_0, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$, D_{Δ, ω_0}^a the Riemann-Liouville fractional Δ -derivative of order $a > 0$.

Lemma 1. [8] Let $\mathfrak{s} \geq 0$; $\mathfrak{d}_1 \geq \mathfrak{d}_2 \geq 0$, with $\mathfrak{d}_1 \neq 0$. Then, for any $\mathfrak{a} > 0$

$$\mathfrak{s}^{\frac{\mathfrak{d}_2}{\mathfrak{d}_1}} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{d}_2}{\mathfrak{d}_1} \mathfrak{a}^{\frac{\mathfrak{d}_2 - \mathfrak{d}_1}{\mathfrak{d}_1}} \mathfrak{s} + \frac{\mathfrak{d}_1 - \mathfrak{d}_2}{\mathfrak{d}_1} \mathfrak{a}^{\frac{\mathfrak{d}_2}{\mathfrak{d}_1}}$$

Definition 2. [4] If $p \in \mathfrak{R}$, then the Δ -exponential function $e_p : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined as:

$$e_p(t, s) = \exp \left(\int_s^t \frac{1}{\mu(\omega)} \text{Log}(1 + \mu(\omega)p(\omega)) \Delta\omega \right).$$

Definition 3. [17] The fractional generalized Δ -power function $h_\alpha : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ on time scales is defined as:

$$h_\alpha(t, t_0) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{z^{\alpha+1}} \right\} (t)$$

for those suitable regressive $z \in \mathbf{R}/\{0\}$ such that \mathcal{L}^{-1} exist for $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $t \geq t_0$. Fractional generalized Δ -power function $h_\alpha(t, \omega)$ on time scales is defined as the shift of $h_\alpha(t, t_0)$, that is,

$$h_\alpha(t, \omega) = \widehat{h_\alpha(\cdot, t_0)}(t, \omega), \quad t \geq \omega \geq t_0.$$

Definition 4. [17] Let Ω be a finite interval on a time scale \mathbb{T} and $t_0, t \in \Omega$ such that $t > t_0$, then the Riemann-Liouville fractional Δ -integral of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, with order α is defined as:

$$(I_{\Delta, t_0}^\alpha f)(t) = \begin{cases} (h_{\alpha-1}(\cdot, t_0) * f)(t) = \int_{t_0}^t h_{\alpha-1}(t, \sigma(\omega))f(\omega)\Delta\omega, & \alpha > 0; \\ f(t), & \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 5. [17] Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$. The Δ -Mittag-Leffler function $\Delta F_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined as:

$$\Delta F_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t, t_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i h_{i\alpha+\beta-1}(t, t_0), \quad t \geq t_0$$

provided that right series is convergent.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 6. Let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is right dense-continuous on \mathbb{T} and $\alpha > 0$, then α -Delta integral of f is defined as:

$$\int_0^t f(\omega) (\Delta\omega)^\alpha = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \int_0^t h_{\alpha-1}(t, \sigma(\omega))f(\omega)\Delta\omega.$$

In particular for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the above definition coincides with [9, Definition 4.1].

Definition 7. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p > 1$ and $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_p\}$ a set of linearly independent time scales monitored by classical time scales \mathbb{T} . Let $f : \mathbb{T}_0^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be rd -continuous on \mathbb{T}_0^p defined by $f(t) = f(\tau_1(t), \tau_2(t), \dots, \tau_p(t))$. The Δ -multi-time scale integral of the function f over an interval $[t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_0$ is defined as:

$$(If)(t) = \int_{t_0}^t f(\omega)\Delta\omega = \sum_{i=1}^p (I_i f)(t)$$

provided that:

$$(I_i f)(t) = \int_{t_0}^t f(\omega)\Delta\tau_i(\omega), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

In particular for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{R}$, the above definition coincides with [11, Definition 3.2].

Definition 8. Let $W : [0, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote the canonical real valued Wiener process defined up to time $\tau > 0$ and $X : [0, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a stochastic process that is adapted to the natural filtration F_*^τ of the Wiener process. Then

$$E \left[\left(\int_0^\tau X_t \Delta W_t \right)^2 \right] = E \left[\int_0^\tau X_t^2 \Delta t \right].$$

In particular for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{R}$, the above definition coincides with definition of $It\hat{o}$ –Isometry.

Theorem 9. *Let $r, g_i : \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, be nonnegative, right dense-continuous functions which are defined on \mathbb{T}_1 . Moreover, let $g_j(t)$, $2 \leq j \leq 3$, be nondecreasing and bounded by a constant $\mathcal{M} > 0$ such that:*

$$r^{d_1}(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}r^{d_2}(t) + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha r^{d_2}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_1. \tag{1}$$

Then, for $d_1 \geq d_2 > 0$; $\alpha, \xi > 0$; $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$; $\theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$r(t) \leq \sqrt[d_1]{\sum_{\theta=0}^\infty \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} \tilde{g}_1(t)}, \tag{2}$$

provided that:

$$\tilde{g}_1(t) := g_1(t) + \left(\frac{d_1 - d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}\right) \{(t - \omega_0)g_2(t) + h_\alpha(t, \omega_0)g_3(t)\}.$$

Proof. On letting the right hand side of (1) by $s_1(t)$, we have

$$r(t) \leq \sqrt[d_1]{s_1(t)}. \tag{3}$$

Further,

$$s_1(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0} s_1^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}(t) + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha s_1^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}(t) \tag{4}$$

From (4), by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(t) &\leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} s_1(t) + \frac{d_1 - d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}\right) \\ &\quad + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} s_1(t) + \frac{d_1 - d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}\right) \\ &= \tilde{g}_1(t) + \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right) g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0} s_1(t) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right) g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha s_1(t) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Consider

$$\mathfrak{A}_1\phi(t) := \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right) g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}\phi(t) + \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right) g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha\phi(t),$$

for right dense-continuous function $\phi(t)$ such that $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$. Then, in this case (5) is reshaped as:

$$s_1(t) \leq \tilde{g}_1(t) + \mathfrak{A}_1s_1(t)$$

Iterating the inequality for some $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$s_1(t) \leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta-1} \mathfrak{A}_1^\vartheta \tilde{g}_1(t) + \mathfrak{A}_1^\theta s_1(t) \tag{6}$$

We claim that the following inequality holds:

$$\mathfrak{A}_1^\theta s_1(t) \leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} s_1(t) \tag{7}$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof follows the induction criteria on θ . For $\theta = 1$, the result trivially holds. Suppose it holds for some $\theta = m$. Furthermore, if $g_2(t), g_3(t)$ are non-negative and non-decreasing, then, for $\theta = m + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_1^{m+1} s_1(t) &= \mathfrak{A}_1(\mathfrak{A}_1^m s_1(t)) \\ &\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^m \binom{m}{\vartheta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t)\right)^{m-\vartheta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t)\right)^\vartheta \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t) I_{\Delta, \omega_0} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+m} s_1(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t) I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+m} s_1(t) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\vartheta=0}^m \binom{m}{\vartheta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t)\right)^{m-\vartheta+1} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t)\right)^\vartheta \\ &\quad \times I_{\Delta, \omega_0} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+m} s_1(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\vartheta=0}^m \binom{m}{\vartheta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t)\right)^{m-\vartheta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t)\right)^{\vartheta+1} \\ &\quad \times I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+m} s_1(t) \\ &= \binom{m}{0} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t)\right)^{m+1} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{m+1} s_1(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\vartheta=1}^m \binom{m}{\vartheta} g_2^{m-\vartheta+1}(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^{m+1} g_3^\vartheta(t) \\ &\quad \times I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+m+1} s_1(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\vartheta=1}^m \binom{m}{\vartheta-1} g_2^{m-\vartheta+1}(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^{m+1} g_3^\vartheta(t) \\ &\quad \times I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+m+1} s_1(t) \\ &\quad + \binom{m}{m} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t)\right)^{m+1} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{(m+1)\alpha} s_1(t) \\ &= \binom{m}{0} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t)\right)^{m+1} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{m+1} s_1(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\vartheta=1}^m \left[\binom{m}{\vartheta-1} + \binom{m}{\vartheta} \right] g_2^{m-\vartheta+1}(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^{m+1} \\ &\quad \times g_3^\vartheta(t) I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+m+1} s_1(t) + \binom{m}{m} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t)\right)^{m+1} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{(m+1)\alpha} s_1(t) \end{aligned}$$

which is no more than inequality (7) for $\theta = m + 1$.

We further, claim that $\mathfrak{A}_1^\theta s_1(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$. Consider

$$\mathfrak{J}_\theta(t) := \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} s_1(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_1. \tag{8}$$

Case-I: For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, let $\zeta_\vartheta = \vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta + 1$. Then (ζ_ϑ) is a decreasing sequence on $[0, \theta]$ over $\vartheta \in [0, \theta]$. It may be easily seen that $\max(\zeta_\vartheta) = \theta + 1$; $\min(\zeta_\vartheta) = \theta\alpha + 1$. Furthermore, for a fixed α , there exists a large enough θ_0 such that for any $\theta > \theta_0$, we have $\theta \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}$. So, the sequence satisfies $\zeta_\vartheta \geq 2$ for $\vartheta \in [0, \theta]$. Let $\mathfrak{M}(t) = \sup\{s_1(\tau) : \tau \leq t, t \in \mathbb{T}_1\}$. Then, without loss of generality and by [3, Theorem 4.2], the equation (8) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_\theta(t) &\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta \mathfrak{M}(t) \\ &\quad \times \frac{(t - \omega_0)^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta}}{\Gamma(\vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta + 1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta \mathfrak{M}(t) \frac{(t - \omega_0)^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta}}{\Gamma(\theta\alpha + 1)} \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{M}(t)}{\Gamma(\theta\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta \{ (t - \omega_0)g_2(t) + (t - \omega_0)^\alpha g_3(t) \}^\theta \\ &\leq \frac{\mathfrak{M}(t)}{\Gamma(\theta\alpha + 1)} \left[\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \{ (\omega - \omega_0)g_2(t) + (\omega - \omega_0)^\alpha g_3(t) \} \right]^\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since $g_2(t), g_3(t)$ are bounded and $\Gamma(\theta\alpha + 1)$ is growing rapidly for sufficiently large θ , so $\mathfrak{J}_\theta(t) \rightarrow 0$ for sufficiently large θ and hence, $\mathfrak{A}_1^\theta s_1(t) \rightarrow 0$. In this case, the inequality (6) is reshaped as:

$$s_1(t) \leq \sum_{\theta=0}^\infty \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} \tilde{g}_1(t) \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_1(t; \beta) &:= \sum_{\theta=0}^\infty \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta h_{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta}(\beta, \omega_0) \\ &\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\infty \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t)\right)^\vartheta h_{\vartheta\alpha}(\beta, \omega_0) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\theta=\vartheta}^\infty \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t)\right)^{\theta-\vartheta} h_{\theta-\vartheta}(\beta, \omega_0) \\ &\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\infty \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t)\right)^\vartheta h_{\vartheta\alpha}(\beta, \omega_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\infty} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t) \right)^{\theta-\vartheta} \frac{(\beta - \omega_0)^{\theta-\vartheta}}{(\theta - \vartheta)!} \\
 = & \Delta F_{\alpha,1} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(t), \beta, \omega_0 \right) \sum_{\mathfrak{p}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}!} \binom{\vartheta + \mathfrak{p}}{\vartheta} \\
 & \times \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(t)(\beta - \omega_0) \right)^{\mathfrak{p}} \\
 \leq & \Delta F_{\alpha,1} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \mathcal{M}, \beta, \omega_0 \right) \exp \left(\frac{d_2}{\alpha d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \mathcal{M}(\beta - \omega_0) \right) \\
 =: & \mathfrak{L}_1(\mathcal{M}; \beta), \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \binom{\vartheta + \mathfrak{p}}{\vartheta} &= \frac{(\vartheta + \mathfrak{p})!}{\vartheta! \mathfrak{p}!} \\
 &\leq \frac{(\vartheta + \mathfrak{p})(\vartheta + \mathfrak{p} - 1) \cdots (\vartheta + 1)}{(\mathfrak{p} - \vartheta\alpha)(\mathfrak{p} - \vartheta\alpha - 1) \cdots (1 - \vartheta\alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{\mathfrak{p}}}. \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove the finiteness of the right hand side of (2), consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{L}_1(\tilde{g}_1; t) &:= \tilde{g}_1(t) + \sum_{\theta=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^{\vartheta}(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \right)^{\theta} \\
 &\quad \times I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta} \tilde{g}_1(t) \\
 &\leq \tilde{g}_1(t) + \sum_{\theta=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} \mathcal{M}^{\theta} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \right)^{\theta} \\
 &\quad \times I_{\Delta, \omega_0} (D_{\Delta, \omega_0} (h_{\vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta}(t, \omega_0))) \tilde{g}_1(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\mathfrak{L}_1(\tilde{g}_1; t) \leq \tilde{g}_1(t) + I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \tilde{g}_1(t) D_{\Delta, \omega_0} (\mathfrak{L}_1(\mathcal{M}; t)).$$

Δ -Mittag-Leffler function $\Delta F_{\alpha,1}$ is an entire function and the exponential function, $\exp(t)$ is uniformly continuous in t ; both $h_{\alpha-1}(t, \omega_0)$ and $\tilde{g}_1(t)$ are right dense-continuous for $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$. Therefor $\mathfrak{L}_1(\tilde{g}_1; t) < \infty$. A combination of (3) and (10) yields the desired result (2).

Case-II: For $\alpha \geq 1$, let $\eta_{\vartheta} = \vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta + 1$. Then (η_{ϑ}) is non-decreasing sequence on $[0, \theta]$ over $\vartheta \in [0, \theta]$. It may be easily seen that $\max(\eta_{\vartheta}) = \theta\alpha + 1$; $\min(\eta_{\vartheta}) = \theta + 1$ and $\eta_{\vartheta} \in [2, \infty)$. Moreover, from inequality (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(t) &\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^{\vartheta}(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \right)^{\theta} \mathfrak{M}(t) \frac{(t - \omega_0)^{\vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta}}{\Gamma(\vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta + 1)} \\
 &\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^{\vartheta}(t) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \right)^{\theta} \mathfrak{M}(t) \frac{(t - \omega_0)^{\vartheta\alpha - \vartheta + \theta}}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{t})}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} \left[\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \{(\omega - \omega_0)g_2(\mathbf{t}) + (\omega - \omega_0)^\alpha g_3(\mathbf{t})\} \right]^\theta.$$

Since $g_2(\mathbf{t}), g_3(\mathbf{t})$ are bounded and $\Gamma(\theta + 1)$ is growing rapidly for sufficiently large θ , so $\mathfrak{I}_\theta(\mathbf{t}) \rightarrow 0$ for sufficiently large θ and hence, $\mathfrak{A}_1^\theta s_1(\mathbf{t}) \rightarrow 0$. Again, in this case the inequality (6) reduces to inequality (10). Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_2(\mathbf{t}; \beta) &:= \sum_{\theta=0}^\infty \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(\mathbf{t}) g_3^\vartheta(\mathbf{t}) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \right)^\theta h_{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta}(\beta, \omega_0) \\ &\leq \Delta F_{\alpha,1} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_3(\mathbf{t}), \beta, \omega_0 \right) \sum_{\mathbf{p}=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}!} \binom{\vartheta + \mathbf{p}}{\vartheta} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_2(\mathbf{t})(\beta - \omega_0) \right)^\mathbf{p} \\ &\leq \Delta F_{\alpha,1} \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \mathcal{M}, \beta, \omega_0 \right) \exp \left(\frac{\alpha d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \mathcal{M}(\beta - \omega_0) \right) \\ &=: \mathfrak{L}_2(\mathcal{M}; \beta), \end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{\vartheta + \mathbf{p}}{\vartheta} &= \frac{(\vartheta + \mathbf{p})!}{\vartheta! \mathbf{p}!} \\ &\leq \frac{(\vartheta + \mathbf{p})(\vartheta + \mathbf{p} - 1) \cdots (\vartheta + 1)}{(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\vartheta}{\alpha})(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\vartheta}{\alpha} - 1) \cdots (1 - \frac{\vartheta}{\alpha})} \leq \alpha^\mathbf{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same steps as in Case-I, the finiteness of the right hand side of (2) can be proved. □

Remark 10. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{R}$; $d_1 = 1 = d_2$; $\alpha \in (0, 1)$; $\omega_0 = 0$; $g_3(\mathbf{t}) = g(\mathbf{t})\Gamma(\alpha)$, Theorem 9 coincides with [15, Theorem 2.1].

The following result is the discretization of the Theorem 9.

Corollary 11. Let $g_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, and r be non-negative real valued functions defined on \mathbb{N}_0 . Furthermore, if $g_j, 2 \leq j \leq 3$, is nondecreasing and bounded such that

$$r^{d_1}(\mathbf{t}) \leq g_1(\mathbf{t}) + g_2(\mathbf{t}) \Delta_0^{-1} r^{d_2}(\mathbf{t}) + g_3(\mathbf{t}) \Delta_0^{-n} r^{d_2}(\mathbf{t}), \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then, for $d_1 \geq d_2 > 0, \xi > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{t}, \theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$r(\mathbf{t}) \leq \sqrt[d_1]{\sum_{\theta=0}^\infty \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(\mathbf{t}) g_3^\vartheta(\mathbf{t}) \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} \right)^\theta \Delta_0^{-\vartheta n + \vartheta - \theta} \tilde{g}_2(\mathbf{t})},$$

provided that

$$\tilde{g}_2(\mathbf{t}) := g_1(\mathbf{t}) + \left(\frac{d_1 - d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}} \right) \left\{ \mathbf{t} g_2(\mathbf{t}) + \frac{\mathbf{t}^n}{\Gamma(n + 1)} g_3(\mathbf{t}) \right\}.$$

Theorem 12. *Let the conditions of Theorem 9 be satisfied, for $d_1 \geq 1$. Let $L : \mathbb{T}_0 \times \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be nonnegative, right dense-continuous on \mathbb{T}_0 and continuous on \mathbf{R}^+ , with $0 \leq L(t, r) - L(t, s) \leq \mathcal{K}(r - s)$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, where \mathcal{K} is the Lipschitz constant such that*

$$r^{d_1}(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L(t, r(t)) + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha L(t, r(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_1. \tag{13}$$

Then

$$r(t) \leq \sqrt[d_1]{\sum_{\theta=0}^\infty \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} \tilde{g}_3(t)}, \tag{14}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_3(t) &:= g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \\ &\quad + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. On letting the right hand side of (13) by $s_2(t)$, we have

$$r(t) \leq \sqrt[d_1]{s_2(t)}. \tag{15}$$

Further,

$$s_2(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L\left(t, \sqrt[d_1]{s_2(t)}\right) + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha L\left(t, \sqrt[d_1]{s_2(t)}\right) \tag{16}$$

From (16), by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(t) &\leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L\left(t, \frac{1}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} s_2(t) + \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \\ &\quad + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha L\left(t, \frac{1}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} s_2(t) + \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \\ &= g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \left\{ L\left(t, \frac{1}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} s_2(t) + \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \right\} \\ &\quad + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha \left\{ L\left(t, \frac{1}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} s_2(t) + \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From (17), by Lipschitz continuity on L we get

$$s_2(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} s_2(t) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+g_3(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}s_2(t) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1}d_1\sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \right\} \\
 s_2(t) &\leq \tilde{g}_3(t) + \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)g_2(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}s_2(t) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)g_3(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}^\alpha s_2(t). \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\mathfrak{A}_2\phi(t) := \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)g_2(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}\phi(t) + \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)g_3(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}^\alpha\phi(t),$$

for right dense-continuous function $\phi(t)$ such that $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$. Then, in this case (18) is reshaped as:

$$s_2(t) \leq \tilde{g}_3(t) + \mathfrak{A}_2s_2(t)$$

Iterating the inequality for some $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$s_2(t) \leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta-1} \mathfrak{A}_2^\vartheta \tilde{g}_3(t) + \mathfrak{A}_2^\theta s_2(t)$$

We claim that the following inequality holds:

$$\mathfrak{A}_2^\theta s_2(t) \leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t)g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta I_{\Delta,\omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} s_2(t)$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be proved by following the parallel steps beyond the inequality (7). Ultimately, we get the inequality (14). □

Remark 13. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{R}$; $\omega_0 = 0$; $g_2(t) \equiv 0$, Theorem 12 coincides with [5, Theorem 2].

Corollary 14. Let g_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and r be non-negative real valued functions defined on \mathbb{N}_0 . Let L be non-negative real valued function defined on $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $0 \leq L(t, r) - L(t, s) \leq \mathcal{K}(r - s)$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Moreover, if $g_2(t)$ and $g_3(t)$ are nondecreasing and bounded such that

$$r^{d_1}(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)\Delta_0^{-1}L(t, r(t)) + g_3(t)\Delta_0^{-n}L(t, r(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

then, for $d_1 \geq 1$, $\xi > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t, \theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$r(t) \leq \sqrt[d_1]{\sum_{\theta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} g_2^{\theta-\vartheta}(t)g_3^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta \Delta_0^{-\vartheta n+\vartheta-\theta} \tilde{g}_4(t)},$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_4(t) &:= g_1(t) + g_2(t)\Delta_0^{-1}L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} {}^{d_1}\sqrt{\xi}\right) \\ &\quad + g_3(t)\Delta_0^{-n}L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} {}^{d_1}\sqrt{\xi}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 15. *Let the conditions of Theorem 12 be satisfied. Moreover, if g_1 is non-decreasing; $g_4 : \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is nonnegative and right dense-continuous on \mathbb{T}_1 , with $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} r^{d_1}(t) &\leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L(t, r(t)) + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha L(t, r(t)) \\ &\quad + I_{\Delta, \omega_0}g_4(t)r^{d_2}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_1 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &\leq {}^{d_1}\sqrt{e^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}\xi} g_4(t, \omega_0)} \times \\ &\quad {}^{d_1}\sqrt{\sum_{\theta=0}^\infty \sum_{\vartheta=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} \tilde{g}_{4,2}^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) \tilde{g}_{4,3}^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} \tilde{g}_5(t)} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_5(t) &:= g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} {}^{d_1}\sqrt{\xi}\right) \\ &\quad + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} {}^{d_1}\sqrt{\xi}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{d_1-d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}g_4(t). \\ \tilde{g}_{4,j}(t) &:= e^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}\xi} g_4(t, \omega_0) g_j(t), \quad 2 \leq j \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. On letting the right hand side of (19) by $s_3(t)$, we have

$$r(t) \leq {}^{d_1}\sqrt{s_3(t)}. \tag{21}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} s_3(t) &\leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L\left(t, {}^{d_1}\sqrt{s_3(t)}\right) + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha L\left(t, {}^{d_1}\sqrt{s_3(t)}\right) \\ &\quad + I_{\Delta, \omega_0}g_4(t) (s_3(t))^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

From (22), by Lemma 1 we have

$$s_3(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}L\left(t, \frac{1}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} s_3(t) + \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} {}^{d_1}\sqrt{\xi}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+g_3(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}^\alpha L\left(t, \frac{1}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}s_3(t) + \frac{d_1-1}{d_1}d_1\sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right) \\
 &+I_{\Delta,\omega_0}\left\{g_4(t)\left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}s_3(t) + \frac{d_1-d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}\right)\right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From (23), by Lipschitz continuity on L we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_3(t) &\leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}s_3(t) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1}d_1\sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right)\right\} \\
 &+g_3(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}^\alpha\left\{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}s_3(t) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1}d_1\sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right)\right\} \\
 &+\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}I_{\Delta,\omega_0}g_4(t)s_3(t) + \frac{d_1-d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}I_{\Delta,\omega_0}g_4(t) \\
 &= \mathfrak{Z}(t) + \frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}I_{\Delta,\omega_0}g_4(t)s_3(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{Z}(t) &:= g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}s_3(t) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1}d_1\sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right)\right\} \\
 &+g_3(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}^\alpha\left\{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}s_3(t) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1}d_1\sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right)\right\} \\
 &+\frac{d_1-d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}I_{\Delta,\omega_0}g_4(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

An application of [4, Theorems 6.4] on (24) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_3(t) &\leq \mathfrak{Z}(t) + \int_{\omega_0}^t e^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4}(t, \sigma(w))\mathfrak{Z}(w)\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4(w)\Delta w \\
 &\leq \mathfrak{Z}(t) + \mathfrak{Z}(t) \int_{\omega_0}^t e^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4}(t, \sigma(w))\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4(w)\Delta w
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

An application of [4, Theorems 2.39] on (25) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_3(t) &\leq \mathfrak{Z}(t) + \mathfrak{Z}(t)\left\{e^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4}(t, \omega_0) - e^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4}(t, t)\right\} \\
 &= \mathfrak{Z}(t) + \mathfrak{Z}(t)\left(e^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4}(t, \omega_0) - 1\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{Z}(t)e^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4}(t, \omega_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\mathfrak{Z}(t) \leq g_1(t) + g_2(t)I_{\Delta,\omega_0}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\mathfrak{Z}(t)e^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}\xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}}g_4}(t, \omega_0)\right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right)\} + g_3(t)I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} \mathfrak{Z}(t) \right. \\
 & \times e^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1} \xi} g_4(t, \omega_0) + L\left(t, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi}\right)\} \\
 & + \frac{d_1-d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}} I_{\Delta, \omega_0} g_4(t) \\
 \leq & \tilde{g}_5(t) + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} \tilde{g}_{4,2}(t) I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \mathfrak{Z}(t) + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} \tilde{g}_{4,3}(t) \\
 & \times I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha \mathfrak{Z}(t). \tag{26}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\mathfrak{A}_3 \phi(t) := \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} \right) \tilde{g}_{4,2}(t) I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \phi(t) + \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} \right) \tilde{g}_{4,3}(t) I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^\alpha \phi(t),$$

for right dense-continuous function $\phi(t)$ such that $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$. Then, in this case (26) is reshaped as:

$$\mathfrak{Z}(t) \leq \tilde{g}_5(t) + \mathfrak{A}_3 \mathfrak{Z}(t)$$

Iterating the inequality for some $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\mathfrak{Z}(t) \leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta-1} \mathfrak{A}_3^\vartheta \tilde{g}_5(t) + \mathfrak{A}_3^\theta \mathfrak{Z}(t)$$

We claim that the following inequality holds:

$$\mathfrak{A}_3^\theta \mathfrak{Z}(t) \leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} \tilde{g}_{4,2}^{\theta-\vartheta}(t) \tilde{g}_{4,3}^\vartheta(t) \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}} \right)^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta\alpha-\vartheta+\theta} \mathfrak{Z}(t)$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be proved by following the parallel steps beyond the inequality (7). Ultimately, we get the inequality (20). □

Remark 16. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{R}$; $\omega_0 = 0$; $g_2(t) \equiv 0$, Theorem 15 coincides with [5, Theorem 4].

Corollary 17. Let $g_k, 1 \leq k \leq 4$, and r be non-negative real valued function defined on \mathbb{N}_0 . Let L be non-negative real valued function defined on $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $0 \leq L(t, r) - L(t, s) \leq \mathcal{K}(r - s)$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Moreover, if $g_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, is nondecreasing such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{d_1}(t) \leq & g_1(t) + g_2(t) \Delta_0^{-1} L(t, r(t)) + g_3(t) \Delta_0^{-n} L(t, r(t)) \\
 & + \Delta_0^{-1} g_4(t) r^{d_2}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq 1, \xi > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, t, x, \theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$r(t) \leq \sqrt[d_1]{\prod_{x=0}^{t-1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_4(x) \right\}}$$

$$\times \sqrt[d_1]{\sum_{\theta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} \tilde{g}_{4,2}^{\theta-\vartheta}(\mathfrak{t}) \tilde{g}_{4,3}^{\vartheta}(\mathfrak{t}) \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^{\theta} \Delta_0^{-\vartheta n + \vartheta - \theta} \tilde{g}_6(\mathfrak{t})},$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_6(\mathfrak{t}) &:= g_1(\mathfrak{t}) + g_2(\mathfrak{t}) \Delta_0^{-1} \mathbb{L} \left(\mathfrak{t}, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi} \right) \\ &\quad + g_3(\mathfrak{t}) \Delta_0^{-n} \mathbb{L} \left(\mathfrak{t}, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{d_1-d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}} \Delta_0^{-1} g_4(\mathfrak{t}). \\ \tilde{g}_{4,j}(\mathfrak{t}) &:= \prod_{x=0}^{\mathfrak{t}-1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_4(x) \right\} g_j(\mathfrak{t}), \quad 2 \leq j \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 18. *Let the conditions of Theorem 12 be satisfied. Moreover, if g_1 is non-decreasing; $g_4, g_5 : \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ are nonnegative and right dense-continuous on \mathbb{T}_1 , with $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq 1$, $d_1 \geq d_3 \geq 1$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} r^{d_1}(\mathfrak{t}) &\leq g_1(\mathfrak{t}) + g_2(\mathfrak{t}) I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \mathbb{L}(\mathfrak{t}, r(\mathfrak{t})) + g_3(\mathfrak{t}) I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\alpha} \mathbb{L}(\mathfrak{t}, r(\mathfrak{t})) \\ &\quad + I_{\Delta, \omega_0} g_4(\mathfrak{t}) r^{d_2}(\mathfrak{t}) + I_{\Delta, \omega_0} g_5(\mathfrak{t}) r^{d_3}(\mathfrak{t}), \quad \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{T}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mathfrak{t}) &\leq \sqrt[d_1]{e^{\left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_4 + \frac{d_3}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_3-d_1}{d_1}} g_5\right)}(\mathfrak{t}, \omega_0)} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt[d_1]{\sum_{\theta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} \tilde{g}_{5,2}^{\theta-\vartheta}(\mathfrak{t}) \tilde{g}_{5,3}^{\vartheta}(\mathfrak{t}) \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{1-d_1}{d_1}}\right)^{\theta} I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta \alpha - \vartheta + \theta} \tilde{g}_7(\mathfrak{t})} \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{T}_1$, provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_7(\mathfrak{t}) &:= g_1(\mathfrak{t}) + g_2(\mathfrak{t}) I_{\Delta, \omega_0} \mathbb{L} \left(\mathfrak{t}, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi} \right) \\ &\quad + g_3(\mathfrak{t}) I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\alpha} \mathbb{L} \left(\mathfrak{t}, \frac{d_1-1}{d_1} \sqrt[d_1]{\xi} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{d_1-d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}} I_{\Delta, \omega_0} g_4(\mathfrak{t}) + \frac{d_1-d_3}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_3}{d_1}} I_{\Delta, \omega_0} g_5(\mathfrak{t}). \\ \tilde{g}_{5,j}(\mathfrak{t}) &:= e^{\left(\frac{d_2}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_2-d_1}{d_1}} g_4 + \frac{d_3}{d_1} \xi^{\frac{d_3-d_1}{d_1}} g_5\right)}(\mathfrak{t}, \omega_0) g_j(\mathfrak{t}), \quad , \quad 2 \leq j \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

3. APPLICATIONS

Consider the following Cauchy type problem with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and nonlinear fractional Δ -stochastic differential equation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} D_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a y(t) &= f(t, y(t)); \\ D_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{a-1} y(\omega_0) &= \mathfrak{b}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{27}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta f(x(t)) &= b(t, f(x(t))) \Delta t + \sigma_1(t, f(x(t))) \Delta t^a \\ &\quad + \sigma_2(t, f(x(t))) \Delta B_t; \\ f(x(\omega_0)) &= f(x_0), \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{28}$$

where $a \in (0, 1)$, B_t is the standard Brownian motion.

The following result gives us the estimation of the solution of the Cauchy type initial value problem (27).

Theorem 19. *Let $a \in (0, 1)$, $\omega_0, t \in \mathbb{T}_1$ and $G \in \mathbf{R}$ an open set. Let $f : \mathbb{T}_1 \times G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function such that $f(t, y) \in L_\Delta[\omega_0, \omega]$ for any $y \in G$. If $y(t) \in L_\Delta^a[\omega_0, \omega]$ such that $|f(t, y)| \leq |y|^b$, $b \in (0, 1)$. Then the cauchy type problem (27) has the following explicit bound*

$$|y(t)| \leq \sum_{\theta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{\vartheta} (b\xi^{b-1})^\theta I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^{\vartheta a - \vartheta + \theta} |\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}|_{h_{a-1}}(t, \omega_0), \tag{29}$$

provided that

$$|\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}|_{h_{a-1}}(t, \omega_0) := |\mathfrak{b}|_{h_{a-1}}(t, \omega_0) + (1 - b)\xi^b \{(t - \omega_0) + h_a(t, \omega_0)\}.$$

Here $L_\Delta[\omega_0, \omega] := L_{\Delta, 1}[\omega_0, \omega]$ be the space of Δ -Lebesgue integrable functions in a finite interval $[\omega_0, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $L_\Delta^a[\omega_0, \omega] := \{y \in L_\Delta[\omega_0, \omega] : D_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a y \in L_\Delta[\omega_0, \omega]\}$.

Proof. The equivalent integral form of the initial value problem (27) is

$$y(t) = \mathfrak{b}h_{a-1}(t, \omega_0) + I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a f(t, y(t)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq |\mathfrak{b}|_{h_{a-1}}(t, \omega_0) + I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a |f(t, y(t))| \\ &\leq |\mathfrak{b}|_{h_{a-1}}(t, \omega_0) + I_{\Delta, \omega_0} |y(t)|^b + I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a |y(t)|^b \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

An application of Theorem 9 to (30), yields the desired result. □

Theorem 20. *Let the conditions of Theorem 19 be satisfied. Moreover, if*

$$|f(x, r) - f(x, s)| \leq |r - s|^b,$$

then (27) has at most one solution.

Proof. Suppose that initial value problem (27) has two solutions $r_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$. We have

$$r_i(t) = \mathfrak{b}h_{a-1}(t, \omega_0) + I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a f(t, r_i(t)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2.$$

Hence,

$$r_1(t) - r_2(t) = I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a [f(t, r_1(t)) - f(t, r_2(t))]$$

or

$$|r_1(t) - r_2(t)| \leq I_{\Delta, \omega_0}^a |r_1(t) - r_2(t)|^b + I_{\Delta, \omega_0} |r_1(t) - r_2(t)|^b \tag{31}$$

Considering $|r_1(t) - r_2(t)|$ as one independent function and applying Theorem 9 to inequality (31), we get $|r_1(t) - r_2(t)| \leq 0$. Therefore, $r_1(t) = r_2(t)$. \square

Theorem 21. *Let (Ω, F, P) be a complete probability space with an m -dimensional Brownian motion $B(t) := (B_1(t), \dots, B_m(t))^T$ defined on the space \mathbf{R}^n , $t > 0$ and $a \in (0, 1)$; let w_0 be a random variable such that $E|w_0|^2 < \infty$. Let $b, \sigma_1 : [0, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\sigma_2 : [0, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$ be right dense-continuous on $[0, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$, continuous on \mathbf{R}^n and measurable. Let $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be continuous on \mathbf{R}^n such that:*

$$|b(t, f(x))|^2 + |\sigma_1(t, f(x))|^2 + |\sigma_2(t, f(x))|^2 \leq K^2 (1 + |f(x)|^2) \tag{32}$$

$$|b(t, f(x)) - b(t, f(y))| + |\sigma_1(t, f(x)) - \sigma_1(t, f(y))| + |\sigma_2(t, f(x)) - \sigma_2(t, f(y))| \leq L|f(x) - f(y)| \tag{33}$$

for some constants $K, L > 0$. Then the Δ -stochastic differential equation (28) has a t -continuous solution with a filtration $F_t^{w_0}$ such that

$$E \left[\int_0^\omega |f(x)|^2 \Delta t \right] < \infty.$$

Proof. The integral form of the Δ -stochastic differential equation (28) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x(t)) &= f(w_0) + I_{\Delta, 0} b(t, f(x(t))) + \Gamma(a + 1) I_{\Delta, 0}^a \sigma_1(t, f(x(t))) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sigma_2(p, f(x(p))) \Delta B_p \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

By the method of Picard-Lindelöf iteration, define iteratively $f(x^{(0)}(t)) = f(w_0)$, for some $\vartheta \in \mathbb{N}$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(t)) &= f(w_0) + I_{\Delta, 0} b(t, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t))) \\ &\quad + \Gamma(a + 1) I_{\Delta, 0}^a \sigma_1(t, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t))) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sigma_2(p, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(p))) \Delta B_p. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Using the inequality $|x + y + z|^2 \leq 3|x|^2 + 3|y|^2 + 3|z|^2$ and applying the Cauchy Schwartz inequality on the first two integral and Itô's Isometry on the third integral, yield the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left| f \left(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \\
 & \leq 3\mathfrak{t}EI_{\Delta,0} \left[b \left(\mathfrak{t}, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t})) \right) - b \left(\mathfrak{t}, f(x^{(\vartheta-1)}(\mathfrak{t})) \right) \right]^2 \\
 & + 3 \left(\Gamma(a + 1) \right)^2 h_a(\mathfrak{t}, 0) \\
 & \times EI_{\Delta,0}^a \left[\sigma_1 \left(\mathfrak{t}, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t})) \right) - \sigma_1 \left(\mathfrak{t}, f(x^{(\vartheta-1)}(\mathfrak{t})) \right) \right]^2 \\
 & + 3EI_{\Delta,0} \left[\sigma_2 \left(\mathfrak{t}, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t})) \right) - \sigma_2 \left(\mathfrak{t}, f(x^{(\vartheta-1)}(\mathfrak{t})) \right) \right]^2. \tag{36}
 \end{aligned}$$

An application of the Lipschitz condition (33), yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left| f \left(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \\
 & \leq 3L^2(\mathfrak{t} + 1)I_{\Delta,0} \left\{ E \left| f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(\vartheta-1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \right\} \\
 & + 3L^2 \left(\Gamma(a + 1) \right)^2 h_a(\mathfrak{t}, 0) \\
 & \times I_{\Delta,0}^a \left\{ E \left| f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(\vartheta-1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \right\}. \tag{37}
 \end{aligned}$$

For continuous function $\Psi(\mathfrak{t})$, we define an operator \mathfrak{C} as follows:

$$\mathfrak{C}\Psi(\mathfrak{t}) := 3L^2(\mathfrak{t} + 1)I_{\Delta,0}\Psi(\mathfrak{t}) + 3L^2 \left(\Gamma(a + 1) \right)^2 h_a(\mathfrak{t}, 0)I_{\Delta,0}^a\Psi(\mathfrak{t}) \tag{38}$$

Repeated iterations on (37) for (38), yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left| f \left(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{C} \left(E \left| f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(\vartheta-1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \right) \\
 & \leq \dots \leq \mathfrak{C}^{\vartheta-1} \left(E \left| f \left(x^{(2)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \right) \\
 & \leq \mathfrak{C}^\vartheta \left(E \left| f \left(x^{(1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(0)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \right) \tag{39}
 \end{aligned}$$

Again, from (35), applying the inequality $|x + y + z|^2 \leq 3|x|^2 + 3|y|^2 + 3|z|^2$, the Cauchy Schwartz inequality, the Itô's Isometry, the linear growth condition yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left| f \left(x^{(1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(0)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \\
 & \leq 3K^2 \left(1 + E|f(w_0)|^2 \right) \left\{ \mathfrak{t}^2 + \mathfrak{t} + \left(\Gamma(a + 1) \right)^2 \left(h_a(\mathfrak{t}, 0) \right)^2 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\sup \left(E \left| f \left(x^{(1)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) - f \left(x^{(0)}(\mathfrak{t}) \right) \right|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq 3K^2 (1 + E|f(w_0)|^2) \left\{ \omega^2 + \omega + (\Gamma(a + 1))^2 (h_a(\omega, 0))^2 \right\} \tag{40}$$

As, $E |f(x^{(1)}(t)) - f(x^{(0)}(t))|^2$ is continuous, the application of (7), (9), (39) and (40) yield:

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| f(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(t)) - f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t)) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \mathfrak{e}^\vartheta \left(E \left| f(x^{(1)}(t)) - f(x^{(0)}(t)) \right|^2 \right) \\ & \leq 3K^2 (1 + E|f(w_0)|^2) \left\{ \omega^2 + \omega + (\Gamma(a + 1))^2 (h_a(\omega, 0))^2 \right\} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta a + 1)} \left[3L^2 \omega \left\{ t + 1 + \omega^{a-1} (\Gamma(a + 1))^2 h_a(t, 0) \right\} \right]^\vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\omega_0 \leq t \leq \omega} \left(E \left| f(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(t)) - f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t)) \right|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{M_0}{\Gamma(\vartheta a + 1)} \left[3L^2 \omega \left\{ \omega + 1 + \omega^{a-1} (\Gamma(a + 1))^2 h_a(\omega, 0) \right\} \right]^\vartheta \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

provided that

$$M_0 := 3K^2 (1 + E|f(w_0)|^2) \left\{ \omega^2 + \omega + (\Gamma(a + 1))^2 (h_a(\omega, 0))^2 \right\},$$

Thus, for any $\phi, \theta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi > \theta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x^{(\phi)}(t)) - f(x^{(\theta)}(t)) \right\|_{L^2_{\Delta}(\mathbb{P})}^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{\vartheta=\theta}^{\phi} \left\| f(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(t)) - f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t)) \right\|_{L^2_{\Delta}(\mathbb{P})}^2 \\ & = \sum_{\vartheta=\theta}^{\phi} \int_0^\omega E \left| f(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(t)) - f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t)) \right|^2 \Delta t \\ & \leq M_0 \sum_{\vartheta=\theta}^{\phi} \frac{(3L^2 \omega)^\vartheta}{(\vartheta + 1)\Gamma(\vartheta a + 1)} \\ & \quad \times \left[\omega + 1 + \omega^{a-1} (\Gamma(a + 1))^2 h_a(\omega, 0) \right]^{\vartheta+1} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large ϕ, θ .

From Doob’s maximal inequality for martingales, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\vartheta=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{\omega_0 \leq t \leq \omega} \left| f(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(t)) - f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t)) \right| > \frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \right] \\ & \leq M_0 \sum_{\vartheta=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[3L^2 \omega \left\{ \omega + 1 + \omega^{a-1} (\Gamma(a + 1))^2 h_a(\omega, 0) \right\} \right]^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta a + 1)} \vartheta^4 < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

The Borel’s cantelli Lemma yields:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{\omega_0 \leq t \leq \omega} \left| f \left(x^{(\vartheta+1)}(t) \right) - f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(t) \right) \right| > \frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \text{ for infinitely many } \vartheta \right\} = 0,$$

so there exist a random variable $f(x(t))$ which is almost surely uniformly continuous on $[\omega_0, \omega]$, such that:

$$f \left(x^{(\vartheta)}(t) \right) = f \left(x^{(0)}(t) \right) + \sum_{\theta=0}^{\vartheta-1} \left[f \left(x^{(\theta+1)}(t) \right) - f \left(x^{(\theta)}(t) \right) \right] \xrightarrow{\vartheta \rightarrow \infty} f(x(t)).$$

Since $f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t))$ is continuous in t for any $\vartheta \in \mathbb{N}$, so $f(x(t))$ is also t -continuous. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(w_0) + I_{\Delta,0} b \left(t, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t)) \right) + \Gamma(a + 1) I_{\Delta,0}^a \sigma_1 \left(t, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(t)) \right) \\ & + \int_0^t \sigma_2 \left(p, f(x^{(\vartheta)}(p)) \right) \Delta B_p \xrightarrow{\vartheta \rightarrow \infty} f(x(t)), \end{aligned}$$

for a stochastic process $f(x(t))$ satisfying (34). □

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Atici, S. Sengül, Modeling with fractional difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **369** (2010), 1-9.
- [2] N. R. O. Bastos, Fractional calculus on time scales, *Ph.D thesis*, The university of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal (2012).
- [3] M. Bohner, G. Sh. Guseinov, The convolution on time scales, *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, Article ID 58373, **2007** (2007), 1-24.
- [4] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, Dynamic equations on time scales, *An introduction with applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA (2001).
- [5] Q. Feng, F. Meng, Some new Gronwall-type inequalities arising in the research of fractional differential equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **429** (2013), 1-8.
- [6] B. Guo, Q. Li, Existence of the global smooth solution to a fractional nonlinear Schrodinger system in atomic Bose-Einstein condensates, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.*, **5** (4) (2015), 793-808.
- [7] S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains-a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results in Math.*, **18** (1-2) (1990), 18-56.
- [8] F. Jiang, F. Meng, Explicit bounds on some new nonlinear integral inequality with delay, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **205** (2007), 479-486.

- [9] G. Jumarie, On the representation of fractional Brownian motion as an integral with respect to $(dt)^\alpha$, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **18** (2005), 739-748.
- [10] T. Kisela, Power functions and essentials of fractional calculus on isolated time scales, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **259** (2013), 1-18.
- [11] J.-C. Pedjeu, G. S. Ladde, Stochastic fractional differential equations: Modeling, method and analysis, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **45 (3)** (2012), 279-293.
- [12] M. Rafi Segi Rahmat, Integral transform methods for solving fractional dynamic equations on time scales, *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, **2014** (2014), 1-11.
- [13] R. Sakthivel, P. Revathi, Y. Ren, Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional stochastic differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **81** (2013), 70-86.
- [14] P. A. Williams, Unifying fractional calculus with times scales, *Ph.D thesis*, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia (2012).
- [15] Q. Wu, A new type of the Gronwall-Bellman inequality and its application to fractional stochastic differential equations, *Cogent Math.*, **4** (2017), 1-13.
- [16] Z. Yan, F. Lu, Existence results for a new class of fractional impulsive partial neutral stochastic integro-differential equations with infinite delay, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.*, **5 (3)** (2015), 329-346.
- [17] J. Zhu, Y. Zhu, Fractional Cauchy problem with Riemann-Liouville fractional delta derivative on time scales, *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, **2013** (2013), Article ID 401596, 1-19.