

**ON A SYSTEM OF FRACTIONAL BOUNDARY VALUE  
PROBLEMS WITH  $p$ -LAPLACIAN OPERATOR**

RODICA LUCA

Department of Mathematics  
Gh. Asachi Technical University  
11 Blvd. Carol I, Iasi 700506, ROMANIA

**ABSTRACT:** We study the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for a system of nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with parameters and  $p$ -Laplacian operator, subject to coupled boundary conditions which contain intermediate points and fractional derivatives.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 34A08, 45G15

**Key Words:** Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations,  $p$ -Laplacian operator, coupled multi-point boundary conditions, positive solutions, existence, nonexistence

**Received:** April 14, 2019; **Revised:** July 8, 2019;

**Published (online):** July 9, 2019 **doi:** 10.12732/dsa.v28i3.10

Dynamic Publishers, Inc., Acad. Publishers, Ltd.

<https://acadsol.eu/dsa>

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the system of nonlinear ordinary fractional differential equations with  $r_1$ -Laplacian and  $r_2$ -Laplacian operators

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha_1}(\varphi_{r_1}(D_{0+}^{\beta_1}u(t))) + \lambda f(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ D_{0+}^{\alpha_2}(\varphi_{r_2}(D_{0+}^{\beta_2}v(t))) + \mu g(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

with the coupled multi-point boundary conditions

$$(BC) \quad \begin{cases} u^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 2; \quad D_{0+}^{\beta_1}u(0) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{p_1}u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i D_{0+}^{q_1}v(\xi_i), \\ v^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m - 2; \quad D_{0+}^{\beta_2}v(0) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{p_2}v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^M b_i D_{0+}^{q_2}u(\eta_i), \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\beta_1 \in (n - 1, n]$ ,  $\beta_2 \in (m - 1, m]$ ,  $n, m \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $n, m \geq 3$ ,

$p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{R}, p_1 \in [1, n - 2], p_2 \in [1, m - 2], q_1 \in [0, p_2], q_2 \in [0, p_1], \xi_i, a_i \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$  ( $N \in \mathcal{N}$ ),  $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_N \leq 1, \eta_i, b_i \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, M$  ( $M \in \mathcal{N}$ ),  $0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_M \leq 1, r_1, r_2 > 1, \varphi_{r_i}(s) = |s|^{r_i-2}s, \varphi_{r_i}^{-1} = \varphi_{\varrho_i}, \frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{1}{\varrho_i} = 1, i = 1, 2, \lambda, \mu > 0, f, g \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ , and  $D_{0+}^k$  denotes the Riemann-Liouville derivative of order  $k$  (for  $k = \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2$ ).

Under sufficient conditions on the functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we present intervals for the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that problem  $(S) - (BC)$  have positive solutions. By a positive solution of problem  $(S) - (BC)$  we mean a pair of functions  $(u, v) \in (C([0, 1], [0, \infty)))^2$ , satisfying  $(S)$  and  $(BC)$  with  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ , or  $v(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ . We also investigate the nonexistence of positive solutions for the above problem. The system  $(S)$  supplemented with the uncoupled boundary conditions

$$(BC_1) \quad \begin{cases} u^{(j)}(0) = 0, j = 0, \dots, n - 2; D_{0+}^{\beta_1} u(0) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{p_1} u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i D_{0+}^{q_1} u(\xi_i), \\ v^{(j)}(0) = 0, j = 0, \dots, m - 2; D_{0+}^{\beta_2} v(0) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{p_2} v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^M b_i D_{0+}^{q_2} v(\eta_i), \end{cases}$$

was investigated in paper [21]. We mention that the Green functions and the intervals for the parameters obtained in [21] are different than those studied in the present paper. Systems with fractional differential equations without  $p$ -Laplacian operator, subject to various multi-point or Riemann-Stieltjes integral boundary conditions were studied in the last years in [1], [2], [7], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [20], [22], [25], [28], [29], [30], [31]. For various applications of the fractional calculus in different disciplines we refer the reader to the books [6], [12], [18], [19], [24], [26], [27], and the papers [3], [4], [5], [8], [9], [10], [23].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we investigate a linear system of fractional differential equations with  $p$ -Laplacian subject to the boundary conditions  $(BC)$ , and we present some properties of the associated Green functions. In Section 3 we give two existence theorems for the positive solutions with respect to a cone for our problem  $(S) - (BC)$ , based on the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem (see [11]). Section 4 contains nonexistence results for the positive solutions of  $(S) - (BC)$ , and in Section 5, an example is given to illustrate our main results.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We consider the system of fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha_1}(\varphi_{r_1}(D_{0+}^{\beta_1} u(t))) + h(t) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \\ D_{0+}^{\alpha_2}(\varphi_{r_2}(D_{0+}^{\beta_2} v(t))) + k(t) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

with the coupled multi-point boundary conditions (BC), where  $h, k \in C[0, 1]$ .

If we denote by  $\varphi_{r_1}(D_{0^+}^{\beta_1}u(t)) = x(t)$  and  $\varphi_{r_2}(D_{0^+}^{\beta_2}v(t)) = y(t)$ , then problem (1) – (BC) is equivalent to the following three problems

$$(I) \quad \begin{cases} D_{0^+}^{\alpha_1}x(t) + h(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(II) \quad \begin{cases} D_{0^+}^{\alpha_2}y(t) + k(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ y(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^+}^{\beta_1}u(t) = \varphi_{\varrho_1}(x(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ D_{0^+}^{\beta_2}v(t) = \varphi_{\varrho_2}(y(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$(III) \quad \begin{cases} u^{(j)}(0) = 0, & j = 0, \dots, n - 2; & D_{0^+}^{p_1}u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i D_{0^+}^{q_1}v(\xi_i), \\ v^{(j)}(0) = 0, & j = 0, \dots, m - 2; & D_{0^+}^{p_2}v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^M b_i D_{0^+}^{q_2}u(\eta_i). \end{cases}$$

For the first two problems (I) and (II), the functions

$$x(t) = -I_{0^+}^{\alpha_1}h(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha_1-1}h(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{2}$$

and

$$y(t) = -I_{0^+}^{\alpha_2}k(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha_2-1}k(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{3}$$

are solutions for (I) and (II), respectively.

For the third problem (III), if

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta := & \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\beta_1 - p_1)\Gamma(\beta_2 - p_2)} - \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\beta_1 - q_2)\Gamma(\beta_2 - q_1)} \\ & \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \xi_i^{\beta_2 - q_1 - 1} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^M b_i \eta_i^{\beta_1 - q_2 - 1} \right) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and  $x, y \in C[0, 1]$ , then by {[14], Lemma 2.2}, we deduce that the pair of functions  $(u, v) \in C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$  given by

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = - \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)\varphi_{\varrho_1}(x(s)) ds - \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)\varphi_{\varrho_2}(y(s)) ds, \\ v(t) = - \int_0^1 G_3(t, s)\varphi_{\varrho_2}(y(s)) ds - \int_0^1 G_4(t, s)\varphi_{\varrho_1}(x(s)) ds, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is a solution of problem (III). Here the Green functions  $G_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$  (see [14]) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1(t, s) &= g_1(t, s) + \frac{t^{\beta_1-1}\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_2 - q_1)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \xi_i^{\beta_2 - q_1 - 1} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^M b_i g_2(\eta_i, s) \right), \\
 G_2(t, s) &= \frac{t^{\beta_1-1}\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_2 - p_2)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i g_3(\xi_i, s) \right), \\
 G_3(t, s) &= g_4(t, s) + \frac{t^{\beta_2-1}\Gamma(\beta_1)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_1 - q_2)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^M b_i \eta_i^{\beta_1 - q_2 - 1} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i g_3(\xi_i, s) \right), \\
 G_4(t, s) &= \frac{t^{\beta_2-1}\Gamma(\beta_1)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_1 - p_1)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^M b_i g_2(\eta_i, s) \right), \quad \forall t, s \in [0, 1],
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1)} \begin{cases} t^{\beta_1-1}(1-s)^{\beta_1-p_1-1} - (t-s)^{\beta_1-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\beta_1-1}(1-s)^{\beta_1-p_1-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\
 g_2(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1 - q_2)} \begin{cases} t^{\beta_1-q_2-1}(1-s)^{\beta_1-p_1-1} - (t-s)^{\beta_1-q_2-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\beta_1-q_2-1}(1-s)^{\beta_1-p_1-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \\
 g_3(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_2 - q_1)} \begin{cases} t^{\beta_2-q_1-1}(1-s)^{\beta_2-p_2-1} - (t-s)^{\beta_2-q_1-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\beta_2-q_1-1}(1-s)^{\beta_2-p_2-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \\
 g_4(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_2)} \begin{cases} t^{\beta_2-1}(1-s)^{\beta_2-p_2-1} - (t-s)^{\beta_2-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\beta_2-1}(1-s)^{\beta_2-p_2-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Therefore, by (2), (3) and (4) we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\Delta \neq 0$ , then the pair of functions  $(u, v) \in C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$  given by*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) \varphi_{\varrho_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} h(s)) ds + \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) \varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} k(s)) ds, \\ v(t) = \int_0^1 G_3(t, s) \varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} k(s)) ds + \int_0^1 G_4(t, s) \varphi_{\varrho_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} h(s)) ds, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is a solution for problem (1) – (BC).

For some properties of the functions  $g_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$  given by (6), we refer the reader to {[14], Lemma 2.3}. We present now some properties of the Green functions  $G_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$  that will be used in the next sections.

**Theorem 2.** *([14]) Assume that  $\Delta > 0, a_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , and  $b_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, M$ . Then the functions  $G_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ , given by (5) satisfy the inequalities*

- a)  $G_i : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  are continuous functions;
- b)  $G_1(t, s) \leq J_1(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , where

$$J_1(s) = h_1(s) + \frac{\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_2 - q_1)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \xi_i^{\beta_2 - q_1 - 1} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^M b_i g_2(\eta_i, s) \right),$$

and  $h_1(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1)}(1 - s)^{\beta_1 - p_1 - 1}(1 - (1 - s)^{p_1})$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ ;

- c)  $G_1(t, s) \geq t^{\beta_1 - 1} J_1(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ;
- d)  $G_2(t, s) \leq J_2(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , where

$$J_2(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_2 - p_2)} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i g_3(\xi_i, s), \quad \forall s \in [0, 1];$$

- e)  $G_2(t, s) = t^{\beta_1 - 1} J_2(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ;
- f)  $G_3(t, s) \leq J_3(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , where

$$J_3(s) = h_4(s) + \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_1 - q_2)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^M b_i \eta_i^{\beta_1 - q_2 - 1} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i g_3(\xi_i, s) \right),$$

and  $h_4(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_2)}(1 - s)^{\beta_2 - p_2 - 1}(1 - (1 - s)^{p_2})$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ ;

- g)  $G_3(t, s) \geq t^{\beta_2 - 1} J_3(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ;
- h)  $G_4(t, s) \leq J_4(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , where

$$J_4(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1)}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta_1 - p_1)} \sum_{i=1}^M b_i g_2(\eta_i, s), \quad \forall s \in [0, 1];$$

- i)  $G_4(t, s) = t^{\beta_2 - 1} J_4(s)$ ,  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ;

### 3. EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR THE POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF (S) – (BC)

In this section we investigate the existence of positive solutions of problem (S) – (BC) under some assumptions on the functions  $f$  and  $g$ , by establishing in the same time various intervals for the positive parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

We present the assumptions that we will use in the sequel.

- (H1)  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\beta_1 \in (n - 1, n]$ ,  $\beta_2 \in (m - 1, m]$ ,  $n, m \geq 3$ ,  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $p_1 \in [1, n - 2]$ ,  $p_2 \in [1, m - 2]$ ,  $q_1 \in [0, p_2]$ ,  $q_2 \in [0, p_1]$ ,  $\xi_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $a_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$  ( $N \in \mathcal{N}$ ),  $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_N \leq 1$ ,  $\eta_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $b_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, M$  ( $M \in \mathcal{N}$ ),  $0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_M \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ ,  $\Delta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\beta_1 - p_1)\Gamma(\beta_2 - p_2)} - \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\beta_1 - q_2)\Gamma(\beta_2 - q_1)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \xi_i^{\beta_2 - q_1 - 1} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^M b_i \eta_i^{\beta_1 - q_2 - 1} \right) > 0$ ,  $r_i > 1$ ,  $\varphi_{r_i}(s) = |s|^{r_i - 2} s$ ,  $\varphi_{r_i}^{-1} = \varphi_{\varrho_i}$ ,  $\varrho_i = \frac{r_i}{r_i - 1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

(H2) The functions  $f, g : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous.

For  $[c_1, c_2] \subset [0, 1]$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$ , we introduce the following extreme limits

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^s &= \limsup_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow 0^+ \\ u, v \geq 0}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_1-1}}, & g_0^s &= \limsup_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow 0^+ \\ u, v \geq 0}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{g(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_2-1}}, \\ f_0^i &= \liminf_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow 0^+ \\ u, v \geq 0}} \min_{t \in [c_1, c_2]} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_1-1}}, & g_0^i &= \liminf_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow 0^+ \\ u, v \geq 0}} \min_{t \in [c_1, c_2]} \frac{g(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_2-1}}, \\ f_\infty^s &= \limsup_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow \infty \\ u, v \geq 0}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_1-1}}, & g_\infty^s &= \limsup_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow \infty \\ u, v \geq 0}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{g(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_2-1}}, \\ f_\infty^i &= \liminf_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow \infty \\ u, v \geq 0}} \min_{t \in [c_1, c_2]} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_1-1}}, & g_\infty^i &= \liminf_{\substack{u+v \rightarrow \infty \\ u, v \geq 0}} \min_{t \in [c_1, c_2]} \frac{g(t, u, v)}{(u+v)^{r_2-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Theorem 1 (relations (7)), a solution of the following nonlinear system of integral equations

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} u(t) &= \lambda e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_1}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \mu e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_2}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ v(t) &= \mu e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_3(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_2}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_4(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_1}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned} \right.$$

is solution of problem (S) – (BC).

We consider the Banach space  $X = C[0, 1]$  with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and the Banach space  $Y = X \times X$  with the norm  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\|$ . We define the cones

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \{u \in X, u(t) \geq t^{\beta_1-1} \|u\|, \forall t \in [0, 1]\} \subset X, \\ P_2 &= \{v \in X, v(t) \geq t^{\beta_2-1} \|v\|, \forall t \in [0, 1]\} \subset X, \end{aligned}$$

and  $P = P_1 \times P_2 \subset Y$ .

We define now the operators  $Q_1, Q_2 : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_1}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \mu e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_2}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ Q_2(u, v)(t) &= \mu e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_3(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_2}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda e^{t-1} \int_0^1 G_4(t, s) \varphi_{\rho_1}(I_{0^+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

and  $Q(u, v) = (Q_1(u, v), Q_2(u, v))$ ,  $(u, v) \in Y$ . Then if  $(u, v)$  is a fixed point of operator  $Q$ , then  $(u, v)$  is a solution of problem (S) – (BC).

**Theorem 3.** *If (H1) – (H2) hold, then  $Q : P \rightarrow P$  is a completely continuous operator.*

**Proof.** Let  $(u, v) \in P$  be an arbitrary element. Because  $Q_1(u, v)$  and  $Q_2(u, v)$  satisfy the problem (1)-(BC) for  $h(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t), v(t))$  and  $k(t) = \mu g(t, u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then by Theorem 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_1(u, v)\| &\leq \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} \int_0^1 J_1(s)\varphi_{\varrho_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 J_2(s)\varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds, \\ \|Q_2(u, v)\| &\leq \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 J_3(s)\varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} \int_0^1 J_4(s)\varphi_{\varrho_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(u, v)(t) &\geq \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} \int_0^1 t^{\beta_1-1} J_1(s)\varphi_{\varrho_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 t^{\beta_1-1} J_2(s)\varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \geq t^{\beta_1-1} \|Q_1(u, v)\|, \\ Q_2(u, v)(t) &\geq \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 t^{\beta_2-1} J_3(s)\varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} \int_0^1 t^{\beta_2-1} J_4(s)\varphi_{\varrho_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \geq t^{\beta_2-1} \|Q_2(u, v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Q(u, v) = (Q_1(u, v), Q_2(u, v)) \in P$ , and then  $Q(P) \subset P$ . By the continuity of the functions  $f, g, G_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ , and the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, we can show that  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are completely continuous operators (compact operators, that is, they map bounded sets into relatively compact sets, and continuous), and then  $Q$  is a completely continuous operator. □

For  $[c_1, c_2] \subset [0, 1]$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$ , we denote by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1-1}} \int_0^1 s^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1-1)} J_1(s) ds, \\ B &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2-1}} \int_0^1 s^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2-1)} J_2(s) ds, \\ C &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2-1}} \int_0^1 s^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2-1)} J_3(s) ds, \\ D &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1-1}} \int_0^1 s^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1-1)} J_4(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1 - 1}} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1 - 1)} J_1(s) ds, \\ \tilde{B} &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2 - 1}} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2 - 1)} J_2(s) ds, \\ \tilde{C} &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2 - 1}} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2 - 1)} J_3(s) ds, \\ \tilde{D} &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1 - 1}} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1 - 1)} J_4(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $J_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$  are defined in Theorem 2.

First, for  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$  and numbers  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, 1], \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in (0, 1), a \in [0, 1]$  and  $b \in (0, 1)$ , we define the numbers

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{f_\infty^i} \left( \frac{a\gamma_1}{\theta\theta_1\tilde{A}} \right)^{r_1 - 1}, \frac{1}{f_\infty^i} \left( \frac{(1-a)\gamma_2}{\theta\theta_2\tilde{D}} \right)^{r_1 - 1} \right\}, \\ L_2 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_0^s} \left( \frac{b\gamma_3}{A} \right)^{r_1 - 1}, \frac{1}{f_0^s} \left( \frac{(1-b)\gamma_4}{D} \right)^{r_1 - 1} \right\}, \\ L_3 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{g_\infty^i} \left( \frac{a(1-\gamma_1)}{\theta\theta_1\tilde{B}} \right)^{r_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{g_\infty^i} \left( \frac{(1-a)(1-\gamma_2)}{\theta\theta_2\tilde{C}} \right)^{r_2 - 1} \right\}, \\ L_4 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{g_0^s} \left( \frac{b(1-\gamma_3)}{B} \right)^{r_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{g_0^s} \left( \frac{(1-b)(1-\gamma_4)}{C} \right)^{r_2 - 1} \right\}, \\ L'_2 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_0^s} \left( \frac{b}{A} \right)^{r_1 - 1}, \frac{1}{f_0^s} \left( \frac{1-b}{D} \right)^{r_1 - 1} \right\}, \\ L'_4 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{g_0^s} \left( \frac{b}{B} \right)^{r_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{g_0^s} \left( \frac{1-b}{C} \right)^{r_2 - 1} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_1 = c_1^{\beta_1 - 1}, \theta_2 = c_1^{\beta_2 - 1}, \theta = \min\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}$ .

**Theorem 4.** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold,  $[c_1, c_2] \subset [0, 1]$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, 1], \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in (0, 1), a \in [0, 1]$  and  $b \in (0, 1)$ .

1) If  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty), L_1 < L_2$  and  $L_3 < L_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

2) If  $f_0^s = 0, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$  and  $L_3 < L'_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, L'_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

3) If  $g_0^s = 0, f_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$  and  $L_1 < L'_2$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, L'_2)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

4) If  $f_0^s = g_0^s = 0, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

5) If  $f_0^s, g_0^s \in (0, \infty)$  and at least one of  $f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, L_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .



6) If  $f_0^s = 0, g_0^s \in (0, \infty)$  and at least one of  $f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, L'_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

7) If  $f_0^s \in (0, \infty), g_0^s = 0$  and at least one of  $f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, L'_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

8) If  $f_0^s = g_0^s = 0$  and at least one of  $f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

**Proof.** We consider the above cone  $P \subset Y$  and the operators  $Q_1, Q_2$  and  $Q$ . Because the proofs of the above cases are similar, in what follows we will prove two of them, namely Cases 1) and 7).

Case 1). We have  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty), L_1 < L_2$  and  $L_3 < L_4$ . Let  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$ . We consider  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < f_\infty^i, \varepsilon < g_\infty^i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \frac{1}{f_\infty^i - \varepsilon} \left( \frac{a\gamma_1}{\theta\theta_1\tilde{A}} \right)^{r_1-1}, \frac{1}{f_\infty^i - \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1-a)\gamma_2}{\theta\theta_2\tilde{D}} \right)^{r_1-1} \right\} \leq \lambda \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_0^s + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{b\gamma_3}{A} \right)^{r_1-1}, \frac{1}{f_0^s + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1-b)\gamma_4}{D} \right)^{r_1-1} \right\}, \\ & \max \left\{ \frac{1}{g_\infty^i - \varepsilon} \left( \frac{a(1-\gamma_1)}{\theta\theta_1\tilde{B}} \right)^{r_2-1}, \frac{1}{g_\infty^i - \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1-a)(1-\gamma_2)}{\theta\theta_2\tilde{C}} \right)^{r_2-1} \right\} \leq \mu \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{g_0^s + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{b(1-\gamma_3)}{B} \right)^{r_2-1}, \frac{1}{g_0^s + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1-b)(1-\gamma_4)}{C} \right)^{r_2-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (H2) and the definition of  $f_0^s$  and  $g_0^s$ , we deduce that there exists  $R_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(t, u, v) \leq (f_0^s + \varepsilon)(u + v)^{r_1-1}, \quad g(t, u, v) \leq (g_0^s + \varepsilon)(u + v)^{r_2-1},$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $u, v \geq 0, u + v \leq R_1$ .

We define the set  $\Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in Y, \|(u, v)\|_Y < R_1\}$ . Now let  $(u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , that is  $(u, v) \in P$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = R_1$ , or equivalently  $\|u\| + \|v\| = R_1$ . Then  $u(t) + v(t) \leq R_1$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and by Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(u, v)(t) & \leq \lambda^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 J_1(s)\varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} f(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ & + \mu^{e_2-1} \int_0^1 J_2(s)\varphi_{e_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} g(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ & \leq \lambda^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 J_1(s)\varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} (f_0^s + \varepsilon)(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ & + \mu^{e_2-1} \int_0^1 J_2(s)\varphi_{e_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} (g_0^s + \varepsilon)(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_2-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ & \leq \lambda^{e_1-1} (f_0^s + \varepsilon)^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 J_1(s)\varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} (\|u\| + \|v\|)^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \mu^{\varrho_2-1}(g_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 J_2(s) \varphi_{\varrho_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} (\|u\| + \|v\|)^{\varrho_2-1} d\tau \right) ds \\
 = & \lambda^{\varrho_1-1}(f_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \int_0^1 J_1(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1-1}} s^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1-1)} ds \\
 & + \mu^{\varrho_2-1}(g_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \int_0^1 J_2(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2-1}} s^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2-1)} ds \\
 = & [\lambda^{\varrho_1-1}(f_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} A + \mu^{\varrho_2-1}(g_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} B] \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 \leq & [b\gamma_3 + b(1 - \gamma_3)] \|(u, v)\|_Y = b \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|Q_1(u, v)\| \leq b \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

In a similar manner we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_2(u, v)(t) & \leq \mu^{\varrho_2-1}(g_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \int_0^1 J_3(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2-1}} s^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2-1)} ds \\
 & + \lambda^{\varrho_1-1}(f_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \int_0^1 J_4(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1-1}} s^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1-1)} ds \\
 = & [\mu^{\varrho_2-1}(g_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} C + \lambda^{\varrho_1-1}(f_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} D] \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 \leq & [(1 - b)(1 - \gamma_4) + (1 - b)\gamma_4] \|(u, v)\|_Y = (1 - b) \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|Q_2(u, v)\| \leq (1 - b) \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

Then for  $(u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , we deduce

$$\|Q(u, v)\|_Y = \|Q_1(u, v)\| + \|Q_2(u, v)\| \leq b \|(u, v)\|_Y + (1 - b) \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y. \tag{9}$$

By the definition of  $f_\infty^i$  and  $g_\infty^i$ , there exists  $\overline{R}_2 > 0$  such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(u + v)^{r_1-1}, \quad g(t, u, v) \geq (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(u + v)^{r_2-1},$$

for all  $t \in [c_1, c_2]$  and  $u, v \geq 0, u + v \geq \overline{R}_2$ .

We consider  $R_2 = \max\{2R_1, \overline{R}_2/\theta\}$  and we define the set  $\Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in Y, \|(u, v)\|_Y < R_2\}$ . Then for  $(u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) + v(t) & \geq \min_{t \in [c_1, c_2]} t^{\beta_1-1} \|u\| + \min_{t \in [c_1, c_2]} t^{\beta_2-1} \|v\| = c_1^{\beta_1-1} \|u\| + c_1^{\beta_2-1} \|v\| \\
 & = \theta_1 \|u\| + \theta_2 \|v\| \geq \theta \|(u, v)\|_Y = \theta R_2 \geq \overline{R}_2, \quad \forall t \in [c_1, c_2].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1(u, v)(c_1) & \geq \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_1-1} J_1(s) \varphi_{\varrho_1} (I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\
 & + \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_1-1} J_2(s) \varphi_{\varrho_2} (I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\
 \geq & \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_1(s) \\
 & \times \varphi_{\varrho_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} f(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \mu^{\varrho_2-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_2(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \varphi_{\varrho_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_{c_2^1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} g(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) ds \\
 & \geq \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_2^1}^{c_1} J_1(s) \\
 & \quad \times \varphi_{\varrho_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_2^1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_1-1} \, d\tau \right) ds \\
 & \quad + \mu^{\varrho_2-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_2^1}^{c_1} J_2(s) \\
 & \quad \times \varphi_{\varrho_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_{c_2^1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_2-1} \, d\tau \right) ds \\
 & \geq \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_2^1}^{c_1} J_1(s) \\
 & \quad \times \varphi_{\varrho_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_2^1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(\theta\|(u, v)\|_Y)^{r_1-1} \, d\tau \right) ds \\
 & \quad + \mu^{\varrho_2-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_2^1}^{c_1} J_2(s) \\
 & \quad \times \varphi_{\varrho_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(\theta\|(u, v)\|_Y)^{r_2-1} \, d\tau \right) ds \\
 & = \theta\theta_1 \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 & \quad \times \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_1(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1-1}} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1-1)} ds \\
 & \quad + \theta\theta_1 \mu^{\varrho_2-1} (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 & \quad \times \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_2(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2-1}} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2-1)} ds \\
 & = [\theta\theta_1 \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} \tilde{A} + \theta\theta_1 \mu^{\varrho_2-1} (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} \tilde{B}] \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 & \geq [a\gamma_1 + a(1 - \gamma_1)] \|(u, v)\|_Y = a \|(u, v)\|_Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|Q_1(u, v)\| \geq Q_1(u, v)(c_1) \geq a \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

In a similar manner, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_2(u, v)(c_1) & \geq \theta\theta_2 \mu^{\varrho_2-1} (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 & \quad \times \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_3(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2-1}} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2-1)} ds \\
 & \quad + \theta\theta_2 \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 & \quad \times \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_4(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1))^{\varrho_1-1}} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_1(\varrho_1-1)} ds \\
 & = [\theta\theta_2 \mu^{\varrho_2-1} (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_2-1} \tilde{C} + \theta\theta_2 \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1} \tilde{D}] \|(u, v)\|_Y \\
 & \geq [(1 - a)(1 - \gamma_2) + (1 - a)\gamma_2] \|(u, v)\|_Y = (1 - a) \|(u, v)\|_Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|Q_2(u, v)\| \geq Q_2(u, v)(c_1) \geq (1 - a) \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

Hence for  $(u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$  we obtain

$$\|Q(u, v)\|_Y = \|Q_1(u, v)\| + \|Q_2(u, v)\| \geq a \|(u, v)\|_Y + (1 - a) \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y. \tag{10}$$

By using (9), (10), Theorem 3 and the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, we deduce that  $Q$  has a fixed point  $(u, v) \in P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$  such that  $R_1 \leq \|u\| + \|v\| \leq R_2$ ,

$u(t) \geq t^{\beta_1-1}\|u\|$ ,  $v(t) \geq t^{\beta_2-1}\|v\|$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\|u\| > 0$  then  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$  and if  $\|v\| > 0$  then  $v(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ . So  $(u, v)$  is a positive solution for problem (S)-(BC).

*Case 7).* We consider here  $g_0^s = 0$ ,  $f_0^s \in (0, \infty)$  and  $g_\infty^i = \infty$ . Let  $\lambda \in (0, L'_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ . Instead of the numbers  $\gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in (0, 1)$  used in the first case, we choose  $\tilde{\gamma}_3 \in ((\lambda f_0^s)^{\varrho_1-1} \frac{A}{b}, 1)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_4 \in ((\lambda f_0^s)^{\varrho_1-1} \frac{D}{1-b}, 1)$ . The choice of  $\tilde{\gamma}_3$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_4$  is possible because  $\lambda < \frac{1}{f_0^s} \left(\frac{b}{A}\right)^{r_1-1}$  and  $\lambda < \frac{1}{f_0^s} \left(\frac{1-b}{D}\right)^{r_1-1}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_0^s + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{b\tilde{\gamma}_3}{A}\right)^{r_1-1}, \frac{1}{f_0^s + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{(1-b)\tilde{\gamma}_4}{D}\right)^{r_1-1} \right\}, \\ \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\theta\theta_1\bar{B}}\right)^{r_2-1} &\leq \mu \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{b(1-\tilde{\gamma}_3)}{B}\right)^{r_2-1}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{(1-b)(1-\tilde{\gamma}_4)}{C}\right)^{r_2-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (H2) and the definition of  $f_0^s$  and  $g_0^s$  we deduce that there exists  $R_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(t, u, v) \leq (f_0^s + \varepsilon)(u+v)^{r_1-1}, \quad g(t, u, v) \leq \varepsilon(u+v)^{r_2-1}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $u, v \geq 0$ ,  $u+v \leq R_1$ .

We define the set  $\Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in Y, \|(u, v)\|_Y < R_1\}$ . In a similar manner as in the proof of Case 1), for any  $(u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(u, v)(t) &\leq [\lambda^{\varrho_1-1}(f_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1}A + \mu^{\varrho_2-1}\varepsilon^{\varrho_2-1}B]\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &\leq [b\tilde{\gamma}_3 + b(1-\tilde{\gamma}_3)]\|(u, v)\|_Y = b\|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \\ Q_2(u, v)(t) &\leq [\mu^{\varrho_2-1}\varepsilon^{\varrho_2-1}C + \lambda^{\varrho_1-1}(f_0^s + \varepsilon)^{\varrho_1-1}D]\|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &\leq [(1-b)(1-\tilde{\gamma}_4) + (1-b)\tilde{\gamma}_4]\|(u, v)\|_Y = (1-b)\|(u, v)\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and so  $\|Q(u, v)\|_Y \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

For the second part of the proof, by the definition of  $g_\infty^i$ , there exists  $\bar{R}_2 > 0$  such that

$$g(t, u, v) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(u+v)^{r_2-1}, \quad \forall t \in [c_1, c_2], \quad u, v \geq 0, \quad u+v \geq \bar{R}_2.$$

We consider  $R_2 = \max\{2R_1, \bar{R}_2/\theta\}$  and we define  $\Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in Y, \|(u, v)\|_Y < R_2\}$ . Then for  $(u, v) \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , we deduce as in Case 1) that  $u(t) + v(t) \geq \theta R_2 \geq \bar{R}_2$  for all  $t \in [c_1, c_2]$ .

Then by Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1(u, v)(c_1) &\geq \lambda^{\varrho_1-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_1-1} J_1(s) \varphi_{\varrho_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\
 &+ \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_1-1} J_2(s) \varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\
 &\geq \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_1-1} J_2(s) \varphi_{\varrho_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\
 &\geq \mu^{\varrho_2-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_2(s) \\
 &\quad \times \varphi_{\varrho_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} g(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 &\geq \mu^{\varrho_2-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_2(s) \\
 &\quad \times \varphi_{\varrho_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_2-1} d\tau \right) ds \\
 &\geq \mu^{\varrho_2-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_2(s) \\
 &\quad \times \varphi_{\varrho_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\theta \| (u, v) \|_Y)^{r_2-1} d\tau \right) ds \\
 &= \theta \theta_1 \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\varrho_2-1} \| (u, v) \|_Y \\
 &\quad \times \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_2(s) \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1))^{\varrho_2-1}} (s - c_1)^{\alpha_2(\varrho_2-1)} ds \\
 &= \theta \theta_1 \mu^{\varrho_2-1} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\varrho_2-1} \| (u, v) \|_Y \tilde{B} \geq \| (u, v) \|_Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that  $\|Q_1(u, v)\| \geq Q_1(u, v)(c_1) \geq \| (u, v) \|_Y$  and  $\|Q(u, v)\|_Y \geq \|Q_1(u, v)\| \geq \| (u, v) \|_Y$ .

Therefore we deduce the conclusion of the theorem. □

In what follows, for  $f_0^i, g_0^i, f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$  and numbers  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, 1], \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in (0, 1), a \in [0, 1]$  and  $b \in (0, 1)$ , we define the numbers

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{L}_1 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{f_0^i} \left( \frac{a\gamma_1}{\theta\theta_1\tilde{A}} \right)^{r_1-1}, \frac{1}{f_0^i} \left( \frac{(1-a)\gamma_2}{\theta\theta_2\tilde{D}} \right)^{r_1-1} \right\}, \\
 \tilde{L}_2 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_\infty^s} \left( \frac{b\gamma_3}{A} \right)^{r_1-1}, \frac{1}{f_\infty^s} \left( \frac{(1-b)\gamma_4}{D} \right)^{r_1-1} \right\}, \\
 \tilde{L}_3 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{g_0^i} \left( \frac{a(1-\gamma_1)}{\theta\theta_1\tilde{B}} \right)^{r_2-1}, \frac{1}{g_0^i} \left( \frac{(1-a)(1-\gamma_2)}{\theta\theta_2\tilde{C}} \right)^{r_2-1} \right\}, \\
 \tilde{L}_4 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{g_\infty^s} \left( \frac{b(1-\gamma_3)}{B} \right)^{r_2-1}, \frac{1}{g_\infty^s} \left( \frac{(1-b)(1-\gamma_4)}{C} \right)^{r_2-1} \right\}, \\
 \tilde{L}'_2 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_\infty^s} \left( \frac{b}{A} \right)^{r_1-1}, \frac{1}{f_\infty^s} \left( \frac{1-b}{D} \right)^{r_1-1} \right\}, \\
 \tilde{L}'_4 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{g_\infty^s} \left( \frac{b}{B} \right)^{r_2-1}, \frac{1}{g_\infty^s} \left( \frac{1-b}{C} \right)^{r_2-1} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using some similar arguments from the proof of Theorem 4, we also obtain the next result.

**Theorem 5.** *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold,  $[c_1, c_2] \subset [0, 1]$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $a \in [0, 1]$  and  $b \in (0, 1)$ .*

1) *If  $f_0^i, g_0^i, f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\tilde{L}_1 < \tilde{L}_2$  and  $\tilde{L}_3 < \tilde{L}_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2)$  and  $\mu \in (\tilde{L}_3, \tilde{L}_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

2) *If  $f_0^i, g_0^i, f_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $g_\infty^s = 0$  and  $\tilde{L}_1 < \tilde{L}'_2$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}'_2)$  and  $\mu \in (\tilde{L}_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

3) *If  $f_0^i, g_0^i, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_\infty^s = 0$  and  $\tilde{L}_3 < \tilde{L}'_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (\tilde{L}_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (\tilde{L}_3, \tilde{L}'_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

4) *If  $f_0^i, g_0^i \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_\infty^s = g_\infty^s = 0$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (\tilde{L}_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (\tilde{L}_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

5) *If  $f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$  and at least one of  $f_0^i, g_0^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{L}_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{L}_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

6) *If  $f_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $g_\infty^s = 0$  and at least one of  $f_0^i, g_0^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{L}'_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

7) *If  $f_\infty^s = 0$ ,  $g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$  and at least one of  $f_0^i, g_0^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{L}'_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

8) *If  $f_\infty^s = g_\infty^s = 0$  and at least one of  $f_0^i, g_0^i$  is  $\infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .*

#### 4. NONEXISTENCE RESULTS FOR THE POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF $(S) - (BC)$

In this section we present intervals for  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  for which our problem  $(S) - (BC)$  has no positive solutions viewed as fixed points of operator  $Q$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If there exist positive numbers  $M_1, M_2$  such that*

$$f(t, u, v) \leq M_1(u + v)^{r_1 - 1}, \quad g(t, u, v) \leq M_2(u + v)^{r_2 - 1}, \tag{11}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u, v \geq 0$ , then there exist positive constants  $\lambda_0$  and  $\mu_0$  such that for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$  the boundary value problem  $(S) - (BC)$  has no positive solution.

**Proof.** We define  $\lambda_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1(4A)^{r_1 - 1}}, \frac{1}{M_1(4D)^{r_1 - 1}} \right\}$  and  $\mu_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{M_2(4B)^{r_2 - 1}}, \frac{1}{M_2(4C)^{r_2 - 1}} \right\}$ , where  $A, B, C, D$  are given in (8).

We will prove that for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ , problem  $(S) - (BC)$  has no positive solution.

Let  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ . We suppose that  $(S) - (BC)$  has a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= Q_1(u, v)(t) \leq \lambda^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 J_1(s) \\ &\times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} f(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ \mu^{e_2-1} \int_0^1 J_2(s) \\ &\times \varphi_{e_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} g(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \lambda^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 J_1(s) \\ &\times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} M_1(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ \mu^{e_2-1} \int_0^1 J_2(s) \\ &\times \varphi_{e_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} M_2(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_2-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \lambda^{e_1-1} M_1^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 J_1(s) \\ &\times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} (\|u\| + \|v\|)^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ \mu^{e_2-1} M_2^{e_2-1} \int_0^1 J_2(s) \\ &\times \varphi_{e_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_2-1} (\|u\| + \|v\|)^{r_2-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \lambda^{e_1-1} M_1^{e_1-1} A \|(u, v)\|_Y + \mu^{e_2-1} M_2^{e_2-1} B \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as before we also find

$$v(t) \leq \mu^{e_2-1} M_2^{e_2-1} C \|(u, v)\|_Y + \lambda^{e_1-1} M_1^{e_1-1} D \|(u, v)\|_Y, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &\leq \lambda^{e_1-1} M_1^{e_1-1} A \|(u, v)\|_Y + \mu^{e_2-1} M_2^{e_2-1} B \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &< \lambda_0^{e_1-1} M_1^{e_1-1} A \|(u, v)\|_Y + \mu_0^{e_2-1} M_2^{e_2-1} B \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y + \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y = \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \\ \|v\| &\leq \mu^{e_2-1} M_2^{e_2-1} C \|(u, v)\|_Y + \lambda^{e_1-1} M_1^{e_1-1} D \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &< \mu_0^{e_2-1} M_2^{e_2-1} C \|(u, v)\|_Y + \lambda_0^{e_1-1} M_1^{e_1-1} D \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y + \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_Y = \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\| < \|(u, v)\|_Y$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore the boundary value problem  $(S) - (BC)$  has no positive solution. □

**Remark 1.** If  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s < \infty$ , then there exist positive constants  $M_1, M_2$  such that relation (11) holds, and then we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 6.

**Theorem 7.** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If there exist positive numbers  $c_1, c_2$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$  and  $m_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq m_1(u + v)^{r_1-1}, \quad \forall t \in [c_1, c_2], \quad u, v \geq 0, \tag{12}$$

then there exists a positive constant  $\tilde{\lambda}_0$  such that for every  $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}_0$  and  $\mu > 0$ , the boundary value problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution.

**Proof.** We define  $\tilde{\lambda}_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{m_1(\theta\theta_1 A)^{r_1-1}}, \frac{1}{m_1(\theta\theta_2 D)^{r_1-1}} \right\}$ , where  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are given by (8).

We will show that for every  $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}_0$  and  $\mu > 0$  problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution. Let  $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}_0$  and  $\mu > 0$ . We suppose that (S) – (BC) has a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

If  $\theta_1 \tilde{A} \geq \theta_2 \tilde{D}$ , then  $\tilde{\lambda}_0 = \frac{1}{m_1(\theta\theta_1 \tilde{A})^{r_1-1}}$ , and therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(c_1) &= Q_1(u, v)(c_1) \\ &\geq \lambda^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_1-1} J_1(s) \varphi_{e_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \mu^{e_2-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_1-1} J_2(s) \varphi_{e_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\geq \lambda^{e_1-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_1(s) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} f(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \lambda^{e_1-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_1(s) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} m_1(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \lambda^{e_1-1} c_1^{\beta_1-1} m_1^{e_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_1(s) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} (\theta \|(u, v)\|_Y)^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &= (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{A} \|(u, v)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| \geq u(c_1) &\geq (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{A} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &> (\tilde{\lambda}_0 m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{A} \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\| \geq \|u\| > \|(u, v)\|_Y$ , which is a contradiction.



If  $\theta_1 \tilde{A} < \theta_2 \tilde{D}$ , then  $\tilde{\lambda}_0 = \frac{1}{m_1(\theta\theta_2\tilde{D})^{r_1-1}}$ , and therefore, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} v(c_1) &= Q_2(u, v)(c_1) \\ &\geq \mu^{e_2-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_2-1} J_3(s) \varphi_{e_2}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_2} g(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda^{e_1-1} \int_0^1 c_1^{\beta_2-1} J_4(s) \varphi_{e_1}(I_{0+}^{\alpha_1} f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \\ &\geq \lambda^{e_1-1} c_1^{\beta_2-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_4(s) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} f(\tau, u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \lambda^{e_1-1} c_1^{\beta_2-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_4(s) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} m_1(u(\tau) + v(\tau))^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &\geq \lambda^{e_1-1} c_1^{\beta_2-1} m_1^{e_1-1} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} J_4(s) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{e_1} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_{c_1}^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} (\theta \| (u, v) \|_Y)^{r_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &= (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{D} \| (u, v) \|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| &\geq v(c_1) \geq (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{D} \| (u, v) \|_Y \\ &> (\tilde{\lambda}_0 m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{D} \| (u, v) \|_Y = \| (u, v) \|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\| (u, v) \|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\| \geq \|v\| > \| (u, v) \|_Y$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore the boundary value problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** If for  $c_1, c_2$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$ , we have  $f_0^i, f_\infty^i > 0$  and  $f(t, u, v) > 0$  for all  $t \in [c_1, c_2]$  and  $u, v \geq 0$  with  $u + v > 0$ , then the relation (12) holds, and we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 7.

In a similar manner as we proved Theorem 7 we obtain the next theorem.

**Theorem 8.** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If there exist positive numbers  $c_1, c_2$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$  and  $m_2 > 0$  such that

$$g(t, u, v) \geq m_2(u + v)^{r_2-1}, \quad \forall t \in [c_1, c_2], \quad u, v \geq 0, \tag{13}$$

then there exists a positive constant  $\tilde{\mu}_0$  such that for every  $\mu > \tilde{\mu}_0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the boundary value problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution.

In the proof of Theorem 8 we define  $\tilde{\mu}_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{m_2(\theta\theta_1\tilde{B})^{r_2-1}}, \frac{1}{m_2(\theta\theta_2\tilde{C})^{r_2-1}} \right\}$ , where  $\tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{C}$  are given by (8).

**Remark 3.** If for  $c_1, c_2$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$ , we have  $g_0^i, g_\infty^i > 0$  and  $g(t, u, v) > 0$  for all  $t \in [c_1, c_2]$  and  $u, v \geq 0$  with  $u + v > 0$ , then the relation (13) holds, and we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 8.

**Theorem 9.** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If there exist positive numbers  $c_1, c_2$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$  and  $m_1, m_2 > 0$  such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq m_1(u + v)^{r_1-1}, \quad g(t, u, v) \geq m_2(u + v)^{r_2-1}, \tag{14}$$

for all  $t \in [c_1, c_2]$ ,  $u, v \geq 0$ , then there exist positive constants  $\hat{\lambda}_0$  and  $\hat{\mu}_0$  such that for every  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}_0$  and  $\mu > \hat{\mu}_0$ , the boundary value problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution.

**Proof.** We define  $\hat{\lambda}_0 = \frac{1}{m_1(2\theta\theta_1\tilde{A})^{r_1-1}}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_0 = \frac{1}{m_2(2\theta\theta_2\tilde{C})^{r_2-1}}$ , where  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{C}$  are given by (8). Then for every  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}_0$  and  $\mu > \hat{\mu}_0$ , problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution. Indeed, let  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}_0$  and  $\mu > \hat{\mu}_0$ . We suppose that (S) – (BC) has a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In a similar manner as that used in the proofs of Theorems 7 and 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &\geq u(c_1) \geq (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{A} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \\ \|v\| &\geq v(c_1) \geq (\mu m_2)^{e_2-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{C} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v)\|_Y &= \|u\| + \|v\| \\ &\geq (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{A} \|(u, v)\|_Y + (\mu m_2)^{e_2-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{C} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &> (\hat{\lambda}_0 m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{A} \|(u, v)\|_Y + (\hat{\mu}_0 m_2)^{e_2-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{C} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y + \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore the boundary value problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution.

We can also define  $\hat{\lambda}'_0 = \frac{1}{m_1(2\theta\theta_2\tilde{D})^{r_1-1}}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}'_0 = \frac{1}{m_2(2\theta\theta_1\tilde{B})^{r_2-1}}$ , where  $\tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are given by (8). Then for every  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}'_0$  and  $\mu > \hat{\mu}'_0$ , problem (S) – (BC) has no positive solution. Indeed, let  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}'_0$  and  $\mu > \hat{\mu}'_0$ . We suppose that (S) – (BC) has a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In a similar manner as that used in the proofs of Theorems 7 and 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| &\geq v(c_1) \geq (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{D} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \\ \|u\| &\geq u(c_1) \geq (\mu m_2)^{e_2-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{B} \|(u, v)\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v)\|_Y &= \|u\| + \|v\| \\ &\geq (\lambda m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{D} \|(u, v)\|_Y + (\mu m_2)^{e_2-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{B} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &> (\hat{\lambda}'_0 m_1)^{e_1-1} \theta \theta_2 \tilde{D} \|(u, v)\|_Y + (\hat{\mu}'_0 m_2)^{e_2-1} \theta \theta_1 \tilde{B} \|(u, v)\|_Y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y + \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore the boundary value problem  $(S) - (BC)$  has no positive solution.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** If for  $c_1, c_2$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq 1$ , we have  $f_0^i, f_\infty^i, g_0^i, g_\infty^i > 0$  and  $f(t, u, v) > 0, g(t, u, v) > 0$  for all  $t \in [c_1, c_2]$  and  $u, v \geq 0$  with  $u + v > 0$ , then the relation (14) holds, and we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 9.

### 5. AN EXAMPLE

Let  $\alpha_1 = 1/3, \alpha_2 = 1/4, \beta_1 = 7/2, n = 4, \beta_2 = 14/3, m = 5, p_1 = 4/3, p_2 = 5/2, q_1 = 5/4, q_2 = 2/3, N = 2, \xi_1 = 1/4, \xi_2 = 3/5, a_1 = 2, a_2 = 1/3, M = 1, \eta_1 = 1/2, b_1 = 4, r_1 = 5, \varrho_1 = 5/4, \varphi_{r_1}(s) = s|s|^3, \varphi_{\varrho_1}(s) = s|s|^{-3/4}, r_2 = 3, \varrho_2 = 3/2, \varphi_{r_2}(s) = s|s|, \varphi_{\varrho_2}(s) = s|s|^{-1/2}$ .

We consider the system of fractional differential equations

$$(S_0) \quad \begin{cases} D_{0+}^{1/3} \left( \varphi_5 \left( D_{0+}^{7/2} u(t) \right) \right) + \lambda(t+1)^{\tilde{a}}(u^5(t) + v^5(t)) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{1/4} \left( \varphi_3 \left( D_{0+}^{14/3} v(t) \right) \right) + \mu(2-t)^{\tilde{b}} \left( e^{(u(t)+v(t))^2} - 1 \right) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , with the coupled multi-point boundary conditions

$$(BC_0) \quad \begin{cases} u(0) = u'(0) = u''(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{7/2} u(0) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{4/3} u(1) = 2D_{0+}^{5/4} v \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{3} D_{0+}^{5/4} v \left( \frac{3}{5} \right), \\ v(0) = v'(0) = v''(0) = v'''(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{14/3} v(0) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{5/2} u(1) = 4D_{0+}^{2/3} v \left( \frac{1}{2} \right), \end{cases}$$

where  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} > 0$ .

Here we have  $f(t, u, v) = (t+1)^{\tilde{a}}(u^5 + v^5), g(t, u, v) = (2-t)^{\tilde{b}}(e^{(u+v)^2} - 1)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $u, v \geq 0$ . Then we obtain  $\Delta \approx 39.98272963 > 0$ , and so the assumptions  $(H1)$  and  $(H2)$  are satisfied. In addition, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(7/2)} \begin{cases} t^{5/2}(1-s)^{7/6} - (t-s)^{5/2}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{5/2}(1-s)^{7/6}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ g_2(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(17/6)} \begin{cases} t^{11/6}(1-s)^{7/6} - (t-s)^{11/6}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{11/6}(1-s)^{7/6}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ g_3(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(41/12)} \begin{cases} t^{29/12}(1-s)^{7/6} - (t-s)^{29/12}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{29/12}(1-s)^{7/6}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ g_4(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(14/3)} \begin{cases} t^{11/3}(1-s)^{7/6} - (t-s)^{11/3}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{11/3}(1-s)^{7/6}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1(t, s) &= g_1(t, s) + \frac{4t^{5/2}\Gamma(14/3)}{\Delta\Gamma(41/12)} \left[ 2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{29/12} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{29/12} \right] g_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right), \\
G_2(t, s) &= \frac{t^{5/2}\Gamma(14/3)}{\Delta\Gamma(13/6)} \left[ 2g_3\left(\frac{1}{4}, s\right) + \frac{1}{3}g_3\left(\frac{3}{5}, s\right) \right], \\
G_3(t, s) &= g_4(t, s) + \frac{4t^{11/3}\Gamma(7/2)(1/2)^{11/6}}{\Delta\Gamma(17/6)} \left[ 2g_3\left(\frac{1}{4}, s\right) + \frac{1}{3}g_3\left(\frac{3}{5}, s\right) \right], \\
G_4(t, s) &= \frac{4t^{11/3}\Gamma(7/2)}{\Delta\Gamma(13/6)} g_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right), \quad \forall t, s \in [0, 1].
\end{aligned}$$

For the functions  $h_i$  and  $J_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(7/2)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{4/3}), \\
h_2(s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(17/6)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{2/3}), \\
h_3(s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(41/12)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{5/4}), \\
h_4(s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(14/3)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{5/2}), \\
J_1(s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(7/2)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{4/3}) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(14/3)}{\Delta\Gamma(41/12)} \left[ 2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{29/12} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{29/12} \right] \\ \times \frac{4}{\Gamma(17/6)} \left[ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{11/6} (1-s)^{7/6} - \left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right)^{11/6} \right], & 0 \leq s < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(7/2)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{4/3}) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(14/3)}{\Delta\Gamma(41/12)} \left[ 2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{29/12} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{29/12} \right] \\ \times \frac{4}{\Gamma(17/6)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{11/6} (1-s)^{7/6}, & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\
J_2(s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(14/3)}{\Delta\Gamma(13/6)\Gamma(41/12)} \left\{ \frac{2}{4^{29/12}} [(1-s)^{7/6} - (1-4s)^{29/12}] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 5^{29/12}} [3^{29/12}(1-s)^{7/6} - (3-5s)^{29/12}] \right\}, & 0 \leq s < \frac{1}{4}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(14/3)}{\Delta\Gamma(13/6)\Gamma(41/12)} \left\{ \frac{2}{4^{29/12}}(1-s)^{7/6} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 5^{29/12}} [3^{29/12}(1-s)^{7/6} \right. \\ \left. - (3-5s)^{29/12}] \right\}, & \frac{1}{4} \leq s < \frac{3}{5}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(14/3)}{\Delta\Gamma(13/6)\Gamma(41/12)} \left[ 2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{29/12} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{29/12} \right] (1-s)^{7/6}, & \frac{3}{5} \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\
J_3(s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(14/3)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{5/2}) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(7/2)2^{1/6}}{\Delta\Gamma(17/6)\Gamma(41/12)} \left\{ \frac{2}{4^{29/12}} [(1-s)^{7/6} - (1-4s)^{29/12}] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 5^{29/12}} [3^{29/12}(1-s)^{7/6} - (3-5s)^{29/12}] \right\}, & 0 \leq s < \frac{1}{4}, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(14/3)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{5/2}) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(7/2)2^{1/6}}{\Delta\Gamma(17/6)\Gamma(41/12)} \left\{ \frac{2}{4^{29/12}}(1-s)^{7/6} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 5^{29/12}} [3^{29/12}(1-s)^{7/6} - (3-5s)^{29/12}] \right\}, & \frac{1}{4} \leq s < \frac{3}{5}, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(14/3)}(1-s)^{7/6}(1-(1-s)^{5/2}) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(7/2)2^{1/6}}{\Delta\Gamma(17/6)\Gamma(41/12)} \left( \frac{2}{4^{29/12}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{29/12} \right) (1-s)^{7/6}, & \frac{3}{5} \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\
J_4(s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(7/2)2^{1/6}}{\Delta\Gamma(13/6)\Gamma(17/6)} [(1-s)^{7/6} - (1-2s)^{11/6}], & 0 \leq s < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(7/2)2^{1/6}}{\Delta\Gamma(13/6)\Gamma(17/6)} (1-s)^{7/6}, & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose  $c_1 = 1/4$  and  $c_2 = 3/4$ , and then we deduce  $\theta_1 = (1/4)^{5/2}$ ,  $\theta_2 = (1/4)^{11/3}$  and  $\theta = \theta_2$ . In addition, we have  $f_0^s = 0$ ,  $f_\infty^i = \infty$ ,  $g_0^s = 2^{\tilde{b}}$ ,  $g_\infty^i = \infty$ ,  $B \approx 0.00564278$ ,  $\tilde{B} \approx 0.00325593$ ,  $C \approx 0.01653798$ ,  $\tilde{C} \approx 0.0102111$ .

By Theorem 4 6), if we consider  $b = 1/2$ , then for any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, L'_4)$  with  $L'_4 = \frac{1}{2^b} \left(\frac{1}{2C}\right)^2$ , the problem  $(S_0) - (BC_0)$  has a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . For example, if  $\tilde{b} = 1$  we obtain  $L'_4 \approx 457.0303$ .

We can also use Theorem 8, because  $g(t, u, v) \geq m_2(u + v)^2$  for all  $t \in [1/4, 3/4]$  and  $u, v \geq 0$ , with  $m_2 = (5/4)^{\tilde{b}}$ . If  $\tilde{b} = 1$ , we deduce  $\tilde{\mu}_0 = \frac{4}{5(\theta\theta_1\tilde{B})^2} \approx 2.0097701 \times 10^{12}$ , and then we conclude that for every  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu > \tilde{\mu}_0$ , the boundary value problem  $(S_0) - (BC_0)$  has no positive solution.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ahmad, R. Luca, Existence of solutions for a sequential fractional integro-differential system with coupled integral boundary conditions, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **104** (2017), 378-388.
- [2] B. Ahmad, S. Ntouyas, A. Alsaedi, On a coupled system of fractional differential equations with coupled nonlocal and integral boundary conditions, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **83** (2016), 234-241.
- [3] A.A.M. Arafa, S.Z. Rida, M. Khalil, Fractional modeling dynamics of HIV and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells during primary infection, *Nonlinear Biomed. Phys.*, **6** (1) (2012), 1-7.
- [4] J. Caballero, I. Cabrera, K. Sadarangani, Positive solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary value conditions, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **2012** Art. ID 303545 (2012), 1-11.
- [5] K. Cole, Electric conductance of biological systems, In: *Proc. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.*, Col Springer Harbor Laboratory Press, New York (1993), 107-116.
- [6] S. Das, *Functional Fractional Calculus for System Identification and Controls*, Springer, New York (2008).
- [7] Y. Ding, H. Ye, A fractional-order differential equation model of HIV infection of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells, *Math. Comp. Model.*, **50** (2009), 386-392.
- [8] V. Djordjevic, J. Jaric, B. Fabry, J. Fredberg, D. Stamenovic, Fractional derivatives embody essential features of cell rheological behavior, *Ann. Biomed. Eng.*, **31** (2003), 692-699.
- [9] Z.M. Ge, C.Y. Ou, Chaos synchronization of fractional order modified Duffing systems with parameters excited by a chaotic signal, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **35** (2008), 705-717.

- [10] J.R. Graef, L. Kong, Q. Kong, M. Wang, Uniqueness of positive solutions of fractional boundary value problems with non-homogeneous integral boundary conditions, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **15** (3) (2012), 509-528.
- [11] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York (1988).
- [12] J. Henderson, R. Luca, *Boundary Value Problems for Systems of Differential, Difference and Fractional Equations. Positive Solutions*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2016).
- [13] J. Henderson, R. Luca, Existence of positive solutions for a singular fractional boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **22** (1) (2017), 99-114.
- [14] J. Henderson, R. Luca, Systems of Riemann-Liouville fractional equations with multi-point boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **309** (2017), 303-323.
- [15] J. Henderson, R. Luca, A. Tudorache, Positive solutions for a fractional boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Stud.*, **22** (1) (2015), 1-13.
- [16] J. Henderson, R. Luca, A. Tudorache, On a system of fractional differential equations with coupled integral boundary conditions, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **18** (2) (2015), 361-386.
- [17] J. Henderson, R. Luca, A. Tudorache, Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for coupled Riemann-Liouville fractional boundary value problems, *Discrete Dyn. Nature Soc.*, **2016** Article ID 2823971 (2016), 1-12.
- [18] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam (2006).
- [19] J. Klafter, S.C. Lim, R. Metzler (Eds.), *Fractional Dynamics in Physics*, Singapore, World Scientific (2011).
- [20] R. Luca, Positive solutions for a system of Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with multi-point fractional boundary conditions, *Bound. Value Prob.*, **2017** (102) (2017), 1-35.
- [21] R. Luca, Positive solutions for a system of fractional differential equations with p-Laplacian operator and multi-point boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **23** (5) (2018), 771-801.
- [22] R. Luca, A. Tudorache, Positive solutions to a system of semipositone fractional boundary value problems, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2014** (179) (2014), 1-11.
- [23] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, The random walks guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach, *Phys. Rep.*, **339** (2000), 1-77.
- [24] Y.Z. Povstenko, *Fractional Thermoelasticity*, New York, Springer (2015).

- [25] T. Qi, Y. Liu, Y. Cui, Existence of solutions for a class of coupled fractional differential systems with nonlocal boundary conditions, *J. Funct. Spaces*, **2017** Article ID 6703860 (2017), 1-9.
- [26] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal, J.A.T. Machado (Eds.), *Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering*, Springer, Dordrecht (2007).
- [27] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon (1993).
- [28] C. Shen, H. Zhou, L. Yang, Positive solution of a system of integral equations with applications to boundary value problems of differential equations, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2016** (260) (2016), 1-26.
- [29] Y. Wang, L. Liu, Y. Wu, Positive solutions for a class of higher-order singular semipositone fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions and parameters, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2014** (268) (2014), 1-24.
- [30] C. Yuan, Two positive solutions for  $(n-1, 1)$ -type semipositone integral boundary value problems for coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, **17** (2) (2012), 930-942.
- [31] C. Yuan, D. Jiang, D. O'Regan, R.P. Agarwal, Multiple positive solutions to systems of nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations with coupled boundary conditions, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **2012** (13) (2012), 1-17.

