

## SOME TOPOLOGICAL THEOREMS FOR COMPACT MULTIFUNCTIONS

DONAL O'REGAN

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics  
National University of Ireland, Galway, IRELAND

**ABSTRACT:** A simple theorem is presented which immediately yields the topological transversality theorem for a general class of maps.

**Key Words:** essential maps, homotopy

**Received:** October 6, 2019; **Revised:** November 6, 2019;

**Published (online):** November 17, 2019 **doi:** 10.12732/dsa.v28i4.3

Dynamic Publishers, Inc., Acad. Publishers, Ltd.

<https://acadsol.eu/dsa>

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The topological transversality theorem states that if  $F$  and  $G$  are continuous compact single valued maps and  $F \cong G$  then  $F$  is essential [3] if and only if  $G$  is essential. These concepts were extended to multimaps for general classes of maps in [1, 4, 5, 6]. In this paper we approach this differently and we present a very simple result which immediately yields the topological transversality theorem in a very general setting.

Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be subsets of Hausdorff topological spaces. We will consider maps  $F : X \rightarrow K(Z)$ ; here  $K(Z)$  denotes the family of nonempty compact subsets of  $Z$ . A nonempty topological space is said to be acyclic if all its reduced Čech homology groups over the rationals are trivial. Now  $F : X \rightarrow K(Z)$  is acyclic if  $F$  has acyclic values.

### 2. TOPOLOGICAL TRANSVERSALITY THEOREM

We will consider a class  $\mathbf{A}$  of maps. Let  $E$  be a completely regular space (i.e. a Tychonoff space) and  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say  $F \in A(\overline{U}, E)$  if  $F \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  and  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(E)$  is an upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) compact map; here  $\overline{U}$  denotes the closure of  $U$  in  $E$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Examples of  $F \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  might be that  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(E)$  has convex values or  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(E)$  has acyclic values.

**Definition 2.3.** We say  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  if  $F \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  and  $x \notin F(x)$  for  $x \in \partial U$ ; here  $\partial U$  denotes the boundary of  $U$  in  $E$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Two maps  $F, G \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  are said to be homotopic in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ , written  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ , if there exists a u.s.c. compact map  $\Psi : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$  with  $\Psi(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $x \notin \Psi_t(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $\Psi_t(x) = \Psi(x, t)$ ),  $\Psi_0 = F$  and  $\Psi_1 = G$ .

**Remark 2.5.** In our results below alternatively we could use the following definition for  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ :  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  if there exists a u.s.c. compact map  $\Psi : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$  with  $\Psi \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U} \times [0, 1], E)$ ,  $x \notin \Psi_t(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $\Psi_t(x) = \Psi(x, t)$ ),  $\Psi_0 = F$  and  $\Psi_1 = G$ . If we use this definition then we always assume for any map  $\Phi \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U} \times [0, 1], E)$  and any map  $f \in \mathbf{C}(\overline{U}, \overline{U} \times [0, 1])$  then  $\Phi \circ f \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$ ; here  $\mathbf{C}$  denotes the class of single valued continuous functions.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . We say  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  if for every map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  there exists a  $x \in U$  with  $x \in J(x)$ .

The proof of the topological transversality theorem and the generalized Leray–Schauder type alternative is based on the following simple theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $E$  be a completely regular topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  and  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . Also suppose*

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any map } J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E) \text{ with } J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U} \\ \text{we have } G \cong J \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E). \end{array} \right.$$

*Then  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ .*

**Proof:** Without loss of generality assume  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  is as in Definition 2.4. Let  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ . From (2.1) we have a homotopy joining  $G$  and  $J$  i.e there exists a u.s.c. compact map  $H^J : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$  with  $H^J(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $x \notin H_t^J(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $H_t^J(x) = H^J(x, t)$ ),  $H_0^J = G$  and  $H_1^J = J$ . Let

$$K = \{x \in \overline{U} : x \in H^J(x, t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Now  $K \neq \emptyset$  since  $G$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . A standard argument (note  $H^J$  is u.s.c.) guarantees that  $K$  is closed and in fact it is compact (since  $K \subseteq H^J(K \times [0, 1])$  and  $H^J$  is a compact map). Also note  $K \cap \partial U = \emptyset$  (since  $x \notin H_t^J(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ) so since  $E$  is Tychonoff there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(K) = 1$ . Let  $R(x) = H^J(x, \mu(x))$ . Now  $R \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $R|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$  (note if  $x \in \partial U$  then  $R(x) = H^J(x, 0) = G(x)$ ) so the essentiality of  $G$  guarantees a  $x \in U$  with  $x \in R(x)$  (i.e.  $x \in H_{\mu(x)}^J(x)$ ). Thus  $x \in K$  so  $\mu(x) = 1$ . As a result  $x \in H_1^J(x) = J(x)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** (i). In the proof of Theorem 2.7 it is simple to adjust the proof if we use  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  from Remark 2.5 if we note  $H^J(x, \mu(x)) = H^J \circ g(x)$  where  $g: \overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{U} \times [0, 1]$  is given by  $g(x) = (x, \mu(x))$ .

(ii). Note Theorem 2.7 immediately yields a very general Leray–Schauder type alternative. Let  $E$  be a completely metrizable locally convex space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ ,  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ ,  $x \notin tF(x) + (1 - t)G(x)$  for  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\eta(\cdot)J(\cdot) + (1 - \eta(\cdot))G(\cdot) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta: \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$  for any map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ . Then  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ .

The proof is immediate from Theorem 2.7 since topological vector spaces are completely regular and note if  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  then with  $H^J(x, t) = tJ(x) + (1 - t)G(x)$  note  $H_0^J = G$ ,  $H_1^J = J$ ,  $H^J: \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$  is a u.s.c. compact (see [2, Theorem 4.18]) map, and  $H^J(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta: \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $x \notin H_t^J(x)$  for  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (if  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  then since  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  we have  $H_t^J(x) = tJ(x) + (1 - t)G(x) = tF(x) + (1 - t)G(x)$ ) so as a result  $G \cong J$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  (i.e. (2.1) holds). [Note  $E$  being a completely metrizable locally convex space can be replaced by any (Hausdorff) topological vector space  $E$  which has the property that the closed convex hull of a compact set in  $E$  is compact. In fact it is easy to see if we argue differently all we need to assume is that  $E$  is a topological vector space.]

We now present the topological transversality theorem in a general setting with this new approach. Assume

$$(2.2) \quad \cong \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E) \text{ is an equivalence relation}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \text{if } \Phi, \Psi \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E) \text{ with } \Phi|_{\partial U} = \Psi|_{\partial U} \text{ then } \Phi \cong \Psi \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E).$$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $E$  be a completely regular topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$  and assume (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Suppose  $F$  and  $G$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . Then  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  if and only if  $G$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ .*

**Proof:** Assume  $G$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . To show  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  let  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ . If we show  $G \cong J$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  (i.e. if we show (2.1)) then Theorem 2.7 guarantees that  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . Note  $G \cong J$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  is immediate since from (2.3) we have  $J \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  and since  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  then (2.2) guarantees that  $G \cong J$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . A similar argument shows that if  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  then  $G$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** (i). Suppose  $E$  is a completely metrizable locally convex space and  $F \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  means  $F: \overline{U} \rightarrow K(E)$  has convex values then immediately (2.2) and

(2.3) (take  $H(x, t) = t\Phi(x) + (1-t)\Psi(x)$ ) hold. [Note  $E$  being a completely metrizable locally convex space can be replaced by any (Hausdorff) topological vector space  $E$  which has the property that the closed convex hull of a compact set in  $E$  is compact. In fact it is easy to see if we argue differently all we need to assume is that  $E$  is a topological vector space.]

(ii). Suppose  $E$  is a (Hausdorff) topological vector space,  $U$  is convex and  $F \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  means  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(E)$  has acyclic values then immediately (2.2) holds. Suppose

$$(2.4) \quad \text{there exists a retraction } r : \overline{U} \rightarrow \partial U.$$

[Note if  $E$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space and  $U$  is convex then [1] we know (2.4) holds].

Then (2.3) holds. To see this let  $r$  be in (2.4) and consider the map  $\Phi^*$  given by  $\Phi^*(x) = \Phi(r(x))$ ,  $x \in \overline{U}$ . Note  $\Phi^*(x) = \Psi(r(x))$ ,  $x \in \overline{U}$  since  $\Phi|_{\partial U} = \Psi|_{\partial U}$ . With

$$H(x, \lambda) = \Psi(2\lambda r(x) + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = \Psi \circ j(x, \lambda) \quad \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \overline{U} \times \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

(here  $j : \overline{U} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \overline{U}$  (note  $\overline{U}$  is convex) is given by  $j(x, \lambda) = 2\lambda r(x) + (1 - 2\lambda)x$ ) it is easy to see that

$$\Psi \cong \Phi^* \quad \text{in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E);$$

note if there exists  $x \in \partial U$  and  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  with  $x \in H_\lambda(x)$  then  $x \in \Psi(2\lambda x + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = \Psi(x)$ , a contradiction, and it is easy to see that  $H : \overline{U} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow K(E)$  is a u.s.c. compact map and for any fixed  $x \in \overline{U}$  note  $H(x, \mu(x)) = \Psi(j(x, \mu(x)))$  has acyclic values and so  $H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ . Similarly with

$$Q(x, \lambda) = \Phi((2 - 2\lambda)r(x) + (2\lambda - 1)x) \quad \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \overline{U} \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$$

it is easy to see that

$$\Phi^* \cong \Phi \quad \text{in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E).$$

Consequently  $\Phi \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  so (2.3) holds.

Note in (i) and (ii) above we used  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  from Definition 2.4 and also notice in Definition 2.4 one could replace here (if one wishes)  $\Psi(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$  with  $\Psi_t \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$  since for fixed  $x \in \overline{U}$  note  $\Psi(x, \mu(x)) = \Psi_{\mu(x)}(x) = \Psi_t(x)$  with  $t = \mu(x) \in [0, 1]$ .

Now we consider a generalization of essential maps, namely the  $d$ -essential maps. Let  $E$  be a completely regular topological space and  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ . For any map  $F \in A(\overline{U}, E)$  let  $F^* = I \times F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$ , with  $I : \overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{U}$  given by  $I(x) = x$ , and let

$$(2.5) \quad d : \left\{ (F^*)^{-1}(B) \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \Omega$$

be any map with values in the nonempty set  $\Omega$ ; here  $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \overline{U}\}$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $F^* = I \times F$ . We say  $F^* : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$  is  $d$ -essential if for every map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J^* = I \times J$  and with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  we have that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ .

**Remark 2.12.** If  $F^*$  is  $d$ -essential then

$$\emptyset \neq (F^*)^{-1}(B) = \{x \in \overline{U} : (x, F(x)) \cap (x, x) \neq \emptyset\},$$

so there exists a  $x \in U$  with  $(x, x) \in F^*(x)$  (i.e.  $x \in F(x)$ ).

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $E$  be a completely regular topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \overline{U}\}$ ,  $d$  is defined in (2.5),  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ ,  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $F^* = I \times F$  and  $G^* = I \times G$ . Suppose  $G^*$  is  $d$ -essential and

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for any map } J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E) \text{ with } J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U} \\ \text{we have } G \cong J \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E) \text{ and} \\ d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right). \end{cases}$$

Then  $F^*$  is  $d$ -essential.

**Proof:** Without loss of generality assume  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  is as in Definition 2.4. Consider any map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J^* = I \times J$  and  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ . We must show  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . From (2.6) there exists a u.s.c. compact map  $H^J : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$  with  $H^J(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $x \notin H_t^J(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $H_t^J(x) = H^J(x, t)$ ),  $H_0^J = G$ ,  $H_1^J = J$  and  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Let  $(H^J)^* : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$  be given by  $(H^J)^*(x, t) = (x, H^J(x, t))$  and let

$$K = \{x \in \overline{U} : (x, x) \in (H^J)^*(x, t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Now  $K \neq \emptyset$  is closed, compact and  $K \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ . Thus there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(K) = 1$ . Let  $R(x) = H^J(x, \mu(x))$  and  $R^* = I \times R$ . Now  $R \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $R|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$ . Since  $G^*$  is  $d$ -essential then

$$(2.7) \quad d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((R^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

Now since  $\mu(K) = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R^*)^{-1}(B) &= \{x \in \overline{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, H^J(x, \mu(x))) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{x \in \overline{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, H^J(x, 1)) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= (J^*)^{-1}(B), \end{aligned}$$

so from above and (2.7) we have  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ .  $\square$

Note again it is simple to adjust the proof in Theorem 2.13 if we use  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  from Remark 2.5.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $E$  be a completely regular topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \overline{U}\}$ ,  $d$  is defined in (2.5) and assume (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Suppose  $F$  and  $G$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $F^* = I \times F$ ,  $G^* = I \times G$  and  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . Then  $F^*$  is  $d$ -essential if and only if  $G^*$  is  $d$ -essential.*

**Proof:** Without loss of generality assume  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  is as in Definition 2.4. Assume  $G^*$  is  $d$ -essential. Let  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $J^* = I \times J$  and  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ . If we show (2.6) then  $F^*$  is  $d$ -essential from Theorem 2.13. Now (2.3) implies  $J \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  and this together with  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  and (2.2) guarantees that  $G \cong J$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ . To complete (2.6) we need to show  $d\left(\left(F^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left(\left(G^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . We will show this by following the argument in Theorem 2.13. Note since  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  let  $H : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$  be a u.s.c. compact map with  $H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $x \notin H_t(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ ),  $H_0 = G$  and  $H_1 = F$ . Let  $H^* : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$  be given by  $H^*(x, t) = (x, H(x, t))$  and let

$$D = \{x \in \overline{U} : (x, x) \in H^*(x, t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Now  $D \neq \emptyset$  and there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(D) = 1$ . Define the map  $R$  by  $R(x) = H(x, \mu(x))$  and  $R^* = I \times R$ . Now  $R \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  with  $R|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$  so since  $G^*$  is  $d$ -essential then  $d\left(\left(G^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left(\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . Now since  $\mu(D) = 1$  we have (see Theorem 2.13) that  $\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B) = \left(F^*\right)^{-1}(B)$  and as a result we have  $d\left(\left(F^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left(\left(G^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .  $\square$

Note again it is simple to adjust the proof in Theorem 2.14 if we use  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$  from Remark 2.5.

It is also easy to extend the above ideas to other natural situations. Let  $X$  be a (Hausdorff) topological vector space (so automatically completely regular),  $Y$  a topological vector space, and  $U$  an open subset of  $X$ . Also let  $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear (not necessarily continuous) single valued map; here  $\text{dom } L$  is a vector subspace of  $X$ . Finally  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  will be a linear, continuous single valued map with  $L + T : \text{dom } L \rightarrow Y$  an isomorphism (i.e. a linear homeomorphism); for convenience we say  $T \in H_L(X, Y)$ .

A map  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be  $(L, T)$  upper semicontinuous if  $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T) : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(X)$  is an upper semicontinuous map. Also  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be  $(L, T)$  compact if  $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T) : \overline{U} \rightarrow 2^X$  is a compact map.

**Definition 2.15.** We let  $F \in A(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  if  $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T) \in A(\overline{U}, X)$ .

**Definition 2.16.** We say  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  if  $F \in A(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $Lx \notin F(x)$  for  $x \in \partial U \cap \text{dom } L$ .

**Definition 2.17.** Two maps  $F, G \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  are homotopic in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ , written  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ , if there exists a  $(L, T)$  upper semicontinuous,

$(L, T)$  compact mapping  $N : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  with  $(L + T)^{-1}(N(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) + T(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, X)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $Lx \notin N_t(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U \cap \text{dom } L$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $N_t(x) = N(x, t)$ ),  $N_0 = F$  with  $N_1 = G$ .

**Remark 2.18.** In our results below alternatively we could use the following definition for  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ :  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ , if there exists a  $(L, T)$  upper semicontinuous,  $(L, T)$  compact mapping  $N : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  with  $N \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U} \times [0, 1], Y; L, T)$ ,  $Lx \notin N_t(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U \cap \text{dom } L$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $N_t(x) = N(x, t)$ ),  $N_0 = F$  with  $N_1 = G$ . In addition here we always assume for any map  $\Phi \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U} \times [0, 1], Y; L, T)$  and any map  $f \in \mathbf{C}(\overline{U}, \overline{U} \times [0, 1])$  then  $(L+T)^{-1}(\Phi \circ f + T) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, X)$  (i.e.  $\Phi \circ f \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ ).

**Definition 2.19.** A map  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  is said to be  $L$ -essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  if for every map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  we have that there exists  $x \in U \cap \text{dom } L$  with  $Lx \in J(x)$ .

**Theorem 2.20.** Let  $X, Y, U, L$  and  $T$  be as above,  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  and  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  is  $L$ -essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Also suppose

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for any map } J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ with } J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U} \\ \text{we have } G \cong J \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T). \end{cases}$$

Then  $F$  is  $L$ -essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ .

**Proof:** Without loss of generality assume  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  is as in Definition 2.17. Consider any map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ . We must show there exists a  $x \in U \cap \text{dom } L$  with  $Lx \in J(x)$ . Let  $H^J : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a  $(L, T)$  upper semicontinuous,  $(L, T)$  compact mapping with  $(L+T)^{-1}(H^J(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) + T(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\overline{U}, X)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $Lx \notin H_t^J(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U \cap \text{dom } L$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $H_t^J(x) = H^J(x, t)$ ),  $H_0^J = G$  with  $H_1^J = J$  (this is guaranteed from (2.8)). Let

$$K = \{x \in \overline{U} \cap \text{dom } L : Lx \in H^J(x, t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

and notice

$$K = \{x \in \overline{U} : (L + T)^{-1}(H_t^J + T)(x) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Now  $K \neq \emptyset$  is closed, compact and  $K \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is Tychonoff there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \overline{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(K) = 1$ . Let  $R(x) = H^J(x, \mu(x))$ . Now  $R \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $R|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$ . Since  $G$  is  $L$ -essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  there exists a  $x \in U \cap \text{dom } L$  with  $Lx \in R(x) = H_{\mu(x)}^J(x)$ . Thus  $x \in K$ ,  $\mu(x) = 1$  and so  $Lx \in H_1^J(x) = J(x)$ .  $\square$

Note again it is simple to adjust the proof in Theorem 2.20 if we use  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  from Remark 2.18.

Next assume

$$(2.9) \quad \cong \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ is an equivalence relation}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \text{if } \Phi, \Psi \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ with } \Phi|_{\partial U} = \Psi|_{\partial U} \text{ then } \Phi \cong \Psi \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T).$$

Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.9 (with an obvious modification) yields:

**Theorem 2.21.** *Let  $X, Y, U, L$  and  $T$  be as above and assume (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Suppose  $F$  and  $G$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Then  $F$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  if and only if  $G$  is essential in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$ .*

Finally we discuss  $d$ - $L$ -essential maps. For any map  $F \in A(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  let  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (F + T) : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times X)$ , with  $I : \overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{U}$  given by  $I(x) = x$ , and let

$$(2.11) \quad d : \left\{ (F^*)^{-1} (B) \right\} \cup \{ \emptyset \} \rightarrow \Omega$$

be any map with values in the nonempty set  $\Omega$ ; here  $B = \{ (x, x) : x \in \overline{U} \}$ .

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (F + T)$ . We say  $F^* : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times X)$  is  $d$ - $L$ -essential if for every map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $J^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (J + T)$  and with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  we have that  $d \left( (F^*)^{-1} (B) \right) = d \left( (J^*)^{-1} (B) \right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ .

**Remark 2.23.** If  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ -essential then

$$\emptyset \neq (F^*)^{-1} (B) = \{ x \in \overline{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1} (F + T)(x)) \cap (x, x) \neq \emptyset \},$$

and this together with  $Lx \notin F(x)$  for  $x \in \partial U \cap \text{dom } L$  implies that there exists  $x \in U \cap \text{dom } L$  with  $(x, x) \in F^*(x)$  (i.e.  $Lx \in F(x)$ ).

**Theorem 2.24.** *Let  $X, Y, U, L$  and  $T$  be as above,  $B = \{ (x, x) : x \in \overline{U} \}$ ,  $d$  is defined in (2.11),  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  and  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (F + T)$  and  $G^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (G + T)$ . Suppose  $G^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ -essential and in addition assume*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for any map } J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ with } J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U} \\ \text{we have } G \cong J \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ and} \\ d \left( (F^*)^{-1} (B) \right) = d \left( (G^*)^{-1} (B) \right). \end{cases}$$

*Then  $F$  is  $d$ - $L$ -essential.*

**Proof:** Without loss of generality assume  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  is as in Definition 2.17. Consider any map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $J^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (J + T)$  and

with  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ . We must show  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . Let  $H^J : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a  $(L, T)$  upper semicontinuous,  $(L, T)$  compact mapping with  $(L + T)^{-1}(H^J(\cdot, \eta(\cdot) + T(\cdot)) \in \mathbf{A}(\bar{U}, X)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $Lx \notin H_t^J(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial U \cap \text{dom } L$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  (here  $H_t^J(x) = H^J(x, t)$ ),  $H_0^J = G$ ,  $H_1^J = J$  and  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$  (this is guaranteed from (2.12)). Let  $(H^J)^* : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\bar{U} \times X)$  be given by  $(H^J)^*(x, t) = (x, (L + T)^{-1}(H_t^J + T)(x))$  and let

$$K = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \in (H^J)_t^*(x) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Now there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(K) = 1$ . Let  $R(x) = H^J(x, \mu(x))$  and  $R^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(R + T)$ . Now  $R \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  and  $R|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$ . Since  $G^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ -essential then  $d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((R^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . Now since  $\mu(K) = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R^*)^{-1}(B) &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, (L + T)^{-1}(H_{\mu(x)}^J + T)(x)) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, (L + T)^{-1}(H_1^J + T)(x)) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= (J^*)^{-1}(B), \end{aligned}$$

and this together with the above yields  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ .  $\square$

Note again it is simple to adjust the proof in Theorem 2.24 if we use  $\cong$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  from Remark 2.18.

Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.14 (with an obvious modification) yields:

**Theorem 2.25.** *Let  $X, Y, U, L$  and  $T$  be as above,  $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $d$  is defined in (2.11) and assume (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Suppose  $F$  and  $G$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)$ ,  $G^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(G + T)$  and with  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Then  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ -essential if and only if  $G^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ -essential.*

### REFERENCES

[1] R.P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, A note on the topological transversality theorem for acyclic maps, *Appl. Math. Letters*, **18**(2005), 17–22.  
 [2] C.D. Aliprantis and K.C. Border, Infinite-Dimensional Analysis, Studies in Economic Theory, Volume 4, *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, 1994.  
 [3] A. Granas, Sur la méthode de continuité de Poincaré, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **282**(1976), 983–985.

- [4] D. O'Regan, Homotopy principles for  $d$ -essential maps, *Jour. Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, **14**(2013), 415–422.
- [5] D. O'Regan, A note on the topological transversality theorem for the admissible maps of Gorniewicz, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **12**(2019), 345–348.
- [6] R. Precup, On the topological transversality principle, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **20**(1993), 1–9.