

## HYERS-ULAM STABILITY FOR SEQUENTIAL FRACTIONAL ORDER $h$ -DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

XIANG LIU<sup>1,2</sup>, BAOGUO JIA<sup>2</sup>, DOUGLAS R. ANDERSON<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University,  
Guangzhou, 510275, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University,  
Shijiazhuang, 050024, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Concordia College  
Moorhead, MN 56562, U.S.A.

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, the Hyers-Ulam stability and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of sequential fractional order  $h$ -difference equations are investigated using the open mapping theorem and the direct method, respectively. Finally, we give an example to illustrate one of our main results.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification.** 39A12, 39A70

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [32] raised a problem: “when can we assert that the solution of the inequality is close to some solution of the strict equation”. In 1940, D. H. Hyers [13] first solved this question. Thereafter, T. Aoki [2], D. G. Bourgin [6] and Th. M. Rassias [30] improved the result of D. H. Hyers. For more details and further discussion about Hyers-Ulam stability of differential equations, we could refer to the book [18]; for ordinary differential equations [19, 20, 21, 24]; for fractional differential equations [14, 33]; for fractional difference equations [17]; for others [22, 12, 8, 26] and references cited therein.

In recent years, the discrete fractional calculus has attracted many researchers since Miller and Ross [25] introduced fractional difference operators in 1988. The basic theory of the discrete fractional calculus can be found in [11, 7, 4, 3, 9, 10, 1, 23, 5, 27, 28, 29, 34, 15, 16, 31] and other sources. The special case of fractional  $h$ -difference operators is the main concern of this paper. The basic theory about calculus of fractional  $h$ -differences can be found in [5, 27, 28, 29, 34, 15, 16, 31].

This paper is strongly motivated by [14], which uses the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Here, we will show the Hyers-Ulam stability and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of sequential fractional order  $h$ -difference equations using the open

mapping method and the direct method, respectively. Finally, an example is given to illustrate one of our main results.

## 2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{F}_D$  denote the set of real valued functions defined on a domain  $D$ . We use the notation  $(h\mathbb{N})_a := \{a, a+h, a+2h, \dots\}$ , where  $h > 0$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\rho(t) := t - h$  for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ . For the convenience of the readers, we recall some notation here. For a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{(h\mathbb{N})_a}$ , the backward  $h$ -difference operator is defined as

$$(\nabla_h f)(t) := \frac{f(t) - f(t-h)}{h}, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}.$$

For arbitrary  $t, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ , the  $h$ -factorial function is defined by

$$t_h^\nu := h^\nu \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h} + \nu)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h})},$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Euler gamma function with  $\frac{t}{h} \notin \mathbb{Z}_- \cup \{0\}$ , and we use the convention that  $t_h^\nu = 0$ , when  $\frac{t}{h} + \nu$  is a nonpositive integer and  $\frac{t}{h}$  is not a nonpositive integer. For any function  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , its nabla  $h$ -Laplace transform has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a\{x\}(z) &= \int_a^\infty E_{\Box z}(\rho(t), a) x(t) \nabla_h t \\ &= \int_a^\infty (1 - zh)^{\frac{t-h-a}{h}} x(t) \nabla_h t \\ &= h \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - zh)^{k-1} x(a + kh). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.1.** (See [16, Definition 2.4]). Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}}$ , and  $\nu > 0$  be given. The fractional  $h$ -sum  ${}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad ({}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f)(t) := \frac{h}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{\nu-1}} f(sh), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h},$$

and  $({}_a\nabla_h^0 f)(t) = f(t)$ ,  $\rho(sh) = (s-1)h$ .

**Definition 2.2.** (See [16, Definition 2.5]). Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{(h\mathbb{N})_a}$ ,  $\nu \in (n-1, n)$  and  $\mu = n - \nu$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ . The Riemann-Liouville like fractional  $h$ -difference  ${}_a\nabla_h^\nu f$  is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad ({}_a\nabla_h^\nu f)(t) := (\nabla_h^n ({}_a\nabla_h^{-\mu} f))(t) = \frac{h}{\Gamma(\mu)} \nabla_h^n \left( \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{\mu-1}} f(sh) \right), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+nh}.$$

**Remark 2.3.** Clearly, the above definition is also true for  $\nu = n$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\nu \in (n-1, n]$  and  $\mu = n - \nu$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ . The following formula is equivalent to (2.2):*

$$(2.3) \quad ({}_a\nabla_h^\nu f)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{h}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{-\nu-1}} f(sh), & \nu \in (n-1, n), t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+nh}, \\ (\nabla_h^n f)(t), & \nu = n, t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+nh}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $\nu = n$ , we have

$$({}_a\nabla_h^\nu f)(t) = (\nabla_h^n({}_a\nabla_h^{-(n-\nu)} f))(t) = (\nabla_h^n({}_a\nabla_h^{-0} f))(t) = (\nabla_h^n f)(t).$$

If  $\nu \in (n-1, n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_a\nabla_h^\nu f)(t) &= (\nabla_h^n({}_a\nabla_h^{-(n-\nu)} f))(t) \\ &= \nabla_h^{n-1} \left( \nabla_h \left( \frac{h}{\Gamma(n-\nu)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{n-\nu-1}} f(sh) \right) \right) \\ &= \nabla_h^{n-1} \left( \frac{h}{\Gamma(n-\nu-1)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{n-\nu-2}} f(sh) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the similar procedure  $n-1$  times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_a\nabla_h^\nu f)(t) &= (\nabla_h^n({}_a\nabla_h^{-(n-\nu)} f))(t) \\ &= \frac{h}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{-\nu-1}} f(sh). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 2.5.** (See [16, Definition 2.3]). Let  $\nu \neq -1, -2, \dots$ . Then we define the  $\nu$ -th order nabla fractional  $h$ -Taylor monomial  $\hat{H}_\nu(t, a)$  by

$$\hat{H}_\nu(t, a) := \frac{(t-a)_h^{\overline{\nu}}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} = h^\nu \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t-a}{h} + \nu)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\frac{t-a}{h})},$$

where  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_a$ .

**Definition 2.6.** For  $|p| < h^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define the discrete Mittag-Leffler function by

$$(2.4) \quad E_{p,\alpha,\beta}^h(t, a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k \hat{H}_{\alpha k + \beta}(t, a), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_a.$$

**Remark 2.7.** It is easy to see that the above series is convergent for  $|p| < h^{-\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** (See [16, Lemma 2.1]). Assume  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) = h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-zh)^{k-1} f(a+kh)$$

for those values of  $z$  such that this infinite series converges.

**Definition 2.9.** A function  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of exponential order  $r > 0$  if there exists a constant  $M$  and a number  $T \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq Mr^t, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_T.$$

**Lemma 2.10.** If  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function of exponential order  $r > 0$ , then its Laplace transform exists for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ .

*Proof.* If  $f$  is a function of exponential order  $r$ , then there is a constant  $M > 0$  and a number  $T \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$  such that  $|f(t)| \leq Mr^t$  for all  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_T$ . If we pick  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $T = a + Kh$ , then we have

$$|f(a + kh)| \leq Mr^{a+kh}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_K.$$

Now, we show (2.13) converges for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(1 - zh)^{k-1} f(a + kh)| &= h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(1 - zh)^{k-1}| |f(a + kh)| \\ &\leq h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(1 - zh)^{k-1}| Mr^{a+kh} \\ &= hMr^{a+h} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|1 - zh|r^h)^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges when  $|1 - zh|r^h < 1$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** Assume that  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of exponential order  $r > 0$ , and let  $\nu > 0$ ,  $N - 1 < \nu \leq N$  be given. Then for each fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  ${}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  ${}_a\nabla_h^{\nu} f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+Nh} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are of exponential order  $r + \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of [11, Theorem 2.65], we omit the details.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** Assume that  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of exponential order  $r > 0$ , and let  $\nu > 0$  be given with  $N - 1 < \nu \leq N$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_a\{{}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f\}(z)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_a\{{}_a\nabla_h^{\nu} f\}(z)$  converge for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of [11, Corollary 2.66], we omit the details.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** (Linearity) Assume  $f, g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the Laplace transforms of  $f$  and  $g$  converge for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ , where  $r > 0$ , and let  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the Laplace transform of  $c_1f + c_2g$  converges for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$  and

$$\mathcal{L}_a\{c_1f + c_2g\}(z) = c_1\mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) + c_2\mathcal{L}_a\{g\}(z)$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f, g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the Laplace transforms of  $f$  and  $g$  converge for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ ,  $r > 0$ , we have the Laplace transform of  $c_1f + c_2g$  converges for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ . Further, using (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1\mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) + c_2\mathcal{L}_a\{g\}(z) \\ &= c_1h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} f(a + kh) + c_2h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} g(a + kh) \\ &= h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} (c_1f + c_2g)(a + kh) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_a\{c_1f + c_2g\}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.14.** (*Uniqueness*) Assume  $f, g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f(t) = g(t)$ ,  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ , if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) = \mathcal{L}_a\{g\}(z)$$

for some  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ ,  $r > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since we have shown that  $\mathcal{L}_a$  is a linear operator in Lemma 2.13 it suffices to show that  $f(t) = 0$  for some  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ ,  $r > 0$ . If  $f(t) = 0$  for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) = 0$ . Conversely, assume that  $\mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) = 0$  for some  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ ,  $r > 0$ . In this case we have

$$h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} f(a + kh) = 0, \quad |1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}.$$

This implies that

$$f(t) = 0, \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.15.** (See [16, Lemma 2.7]). Assume  $\nu \neq -1, -2, \dots$ . Then

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{\hat{H}_\nu(\cdot, a)\}(z) = \frac{1}{z^{\nu+1}}$$

for  $|zh - 1| < 1$ .

**Lemma 2.16.** (See [16, Lemma 2.10]). Assume  $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$  and  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$(2.7) \quad ({}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f)(t) = (\hat{H}_{\nu-1}(\cdot, a) * f)(t)$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ .

**Lemma 2.17.** Assume  $|p| < h^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{E_{p,\alpha,\beta}^h(\cdot, a)\}(z) = \frac{z^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{z^\alpha - p}$$

for  $|zh - 1| < 1$ ,  $|z^\alpha| > |p|$ .

*Proof.* From (2.4), (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_a\{E_{p,\alpha,\beta}^h(\cdot, a)\}(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k \mathcal{L}_a\{\hat{H}_{\alpha k+\beta}(\cdot, a)\}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^{\beta+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{z^{\alpha}}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{z^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{z^{\alpha} - p}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

For  $\alpha = \nu$ ,  $\beta = \nu - 1$ , we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.18.** *Assume  $|p| < h^{-\nu}$  and  $\nu > 0$ . Then*

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{E_{p,\nu,\nu-1}^h(\cdot, a)\}(z) = \frac{1}{z^{\nu} - p}$$

for  $|zh - 1| < 1$ ,  $|z^{\nu}| > |p|$ .

**Definition 2.19.** (See [16, Definition 2.8]). For  $f, g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the nabla convolution product of  $f$  and  $g$  by

$$\begin{aligned}(2.10) \quad (f * g)(t) &:= \int_a^t f(t - \rho(\tau) + a)g(\tau)\nabla_h \tau \\ &= h \sum_{k=1}^n f((n - k + 1)h + a)g(a + kh),\end{aligned}$$

where  $t = a + nh$ , and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Lemma 2.20.** *Assume  $f, g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are of exponential order  $r > 0$ . Then*

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{f * g\}(z) = \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) \cdot \mathcal{L}_a\{g\}(z)$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f, g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are of exponential order  $r > 0$ , by Lemma 2.10, we have the Laplace transform of  $f, g : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  converge for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ . It

follows from (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_a\{f * g\}(z) \\
&= h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} (f * g)(a + kh) \\
&= h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} \left( h \sum_{j=1}^k f(a + kh - jh + h) g(a + jh) \right) \\
&= h^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} f(a + kh - jh + h) g(a + jh) \\
&= \left( h \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{j-1} g(a + jh) \right) \left( h \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - zh)^{k-1} f(a + kh) \right) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_a\{g\}(z) \cdot \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z)
\end{aligned}$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.21.** Assume  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of exponential order  $r > 0$ . Then

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{\nabla_h^n f\}(z) = z^n \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^n z^{n-k} (\nabla_h^{k-1} f)(a)$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is of exponential order  $r > 0$ , it is easy to show that  $\nabla_h^n f$  is of exponential order  $r > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.10, we have  $\mathcal{L}_a\{\nabla_h^n f\}(z)$  converges for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ . We will prove (2.12) holds by mathematical induction on  $n$ . For the case  $n = 1$ , we could see [16, Lemma 2.11]. Now, we assume (2.12) holds for  $|1 - zh| < r$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_a\{\nabla_h^{n+1} f\}(z) &= \mathcal{L}_a\{\nabla_h(\nabla_h^n f)\}(z) \\
&= z \mathcal{L}_a\{\nabla_h^n f\}(z) - (\nabla_h^n f)(a) \\
&= z \left[ z^n \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^n z^{n-k} (\nabla_h^{k-1} f)(a) \right] - (\nabla_h^n f)(a) \\
&= z^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} z^{(n+1)-k} (\nabla_h^{k-1} f)(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.12) holds for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.22.** Assume  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of exponential order  $r$  for some  $r > 0$ , and let  $\nu > 0$ . Then

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{ {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f \}(z) = \frac{1}{z^\nu} \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z)$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \min\{1, \frac{1}{r^h}\}$ .

*Proof.* Note that since  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of exponential order  $r$  for some  $r > 0$ , by Corollary 2.12 we obtain that  $\mathcal{L}_a\{ {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f \}(z)$  converges for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ . Also, since  $\mathcal{L}_a\{\hat{H}_{\nu-1}(\cdot, a)\}(z)$  converges for  $|1 - zh| < 1$ , it follows from (2.6), (2.7), (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_a\{ {}_a\nabla_h^{-\nu} f \}(z) &= \mathcal{L}_a\{\hat{H}_{\nu-1}(\cdot, a) * f\}(z) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_a\{\hat{H}_{\nu-1}(\cdot, a)\}(z) \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^\nu} \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z)\end{aligned}$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \min\{1, \frac{1}{r^h}\}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.23.** *Assume  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of exponential order  $r > 0$  and  $\nu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ ,  $n\nu \in (m - 1, m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$ . Then*

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{L}_a\{ {}_a\nabla_h^{n\nu} f \}(z) = z^{n\nu} \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z)$$

for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of exponential order  $r > 0$ , by Corollary 2.12, we have  $\mathcal{L}_a\{ {}_a\nabla_h^{n\nu} f \}(z)$  converges for  $|1 - zh| < \frac{1}{r^h}$ . Then using (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_a\{ {}_a\nabla_h^{n\nu} f \}(z) &= \mathcal{L}_a\{ \nabla_h^m ({}_a\nabla_h^{-(m-n\nu)} f) \}(z) \\ &= z^m \mathcal{L}_a\{ {}_a\nabla_h^{-(m-n\nu)} f \}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^m z^{m-k} \nabla_h^{k-1} [({}_a\nabla_h^{-(m-n\nu)} f)(a)] \\ &= \frac{z^m}{z^{m-n\nu}} \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z) \\ &= z^{n\nu} \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z),\end{aligned}$$

where  $m - 1 < n\nu < m$ ,  $m$  is a positive integer. Hence, (2.14) holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will demonstrate Hyers-Ulam stability of the sequential fractional order  $h$ -difference equation using the open mapping theorem method and the direct method, respectively.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume  $x, f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy*

$$(3.1) \quad ({}_a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)} x)(t) + a_1 ({}_a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)} x)(t) + \cdots + a_n x(t) = f(t)$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ , where  $a_i$  are constants for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ , and  $n\nu \in (0, 1)$ . Then the solution  $x : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of equation (3.1) is given by

$$x(t) = f(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h},$$

where  $\prod_{i=1}^{n*} E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a) = E_{\alpha_1, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a) * E_{\alpha_2, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a) * \cdots * E_{\alpha_n, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a)$ .



*Proof.* Taking the  $h$ -Laplace transform on both sides of the first equality of equation (3.1), we have

$$P(z^\nu)\mathcal{L}_a\{x\}(z) = \mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z),$$

where  $P(z^\nu) = z^{n\nu} + a_1z^{(n-1)\nu} + \cdots + a_n = (z^\nu - \alpha_1)(z^\nu - \alpha_2)\cdots(z^\nu - \alpha_n)$ . So, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_a\{x\}(z) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_a\{f\}(z)}{P(z^\nu)}.$$

Finally, taking the inverse  $h$ -Laplace transform, and using (2.9) yields

$$x(t) = f(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a).$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume the conditions of Lemma 3.1 hold. Then the equation (3.1) has a unique solution on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 3.1, we could see the existence of solutions for the equation (3.1). Now, we show the uniqueness of solutions for equation (3.1). Suppose there exist two solutions  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  of equation (3.1). If  $u(t) := x_1(t) - x_2(t)$ , then  $u(t)$  satisfies  $({}_a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}u)(t) + a_1({}_a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}u)(t) + \cdots + a_nu(t) = 0$ ,  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ . Taking the  $h$ -Laplace transform of the above equation, we obtain  $\mathcal{L}_a\{u\}(z) = 0$ . So, by the uniqueness lemma for Laplace transforms, Lemma 2.14, we conclude  $u(t) = 0$ , that is, the solution of equation (3.1) is unique on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** Equation (3.1) has Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_1$ , if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$|({}_a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1({}_a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_nx(t) - f(t)| \leq \epsilon$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ , then there exists a solution  $x_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of equation (3.1) such that

$$|x - x_0| \leq K(\epsilon),$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ .

If the above definition is also true when we replace  $\epsilon$  and  $K(\epsilon)$  with  $\phi(t)$  and  $\Phi(t)$ , respectively, where  $\phi : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Phi : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are functions not depending on  $x$  and  $x_0$  explicitly, then we say that the corresponding differential equation has generalized Hyers-Ulam stability (or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability).

Now, we give the following known results, which will be useful for proving the Hyers-Ulam stability of equation (3.1).

**Definition 3.4.** (See [12, Definition 2.1]). Let  $T : A \rightarrow B$  be an operator from a space  $A$  to another space  $B$ . We say that  $T$  has Hyers-Ulam stability if for any  $g \in T(A)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in A$  satisfying  $\|Tf - g\| \leq \epsilon$ , there exists  $f_0 \in A$  such that  $Tf_0 = g$  and  $\|f - f_0\| \leq K\epsilon$ , where  $K$  is called a Hyers-Ulam stability constant of operator  $T$ .

**Definition 3.5.** (See [12, Definition 2.2]). Let  $T : A \rightarrow B$  be an operator from a space  $A$  to another space  $B$ . We say that the equation  $Tf = g$  has Hyers-Ulam stability if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in A$  satisfying  $\|Tf - g\| \leq \epsilon$ , there exists  $f_0 \in A$  such that  $Tf_0 = g$  and  $\|f - f_0\| \leq K\epsilon$ , where  $K$  is called a Hyers-Ulam stability constant of equation  $Tf = g$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** (See [12, Theorem 2.4]). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach spaces and  $T$  be a bounded operator from  $A$  into  $B$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  has the Hyers-Ulam stability;
- (b) the range of  $T$  is closed;
- (c)  $\tilde{T}^{-1}$  is a bounded linear operator.

The following theorem will be proved using the open mapping method. Further, a corollary is obtained using this theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** The homogeneous sequential fractional  $h$ -difference equation

$$(3.2) \quad ({}_a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1({}_a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_n x(t) = 0$$

has Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $Z$  be the space of real-valued functions defined on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ , we define a norm by  $\|x\| := \max\{|x(t)| : t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}\}$  so that  $(Z, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space. Now, we define an operator  $T : Z \rightarrow Z$  by

$$Tx(t) := ({}_a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1({}_a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_n x(t).$$

Clearly,  $T$  is well defined and is a linear operator. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\| &= \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| \\
&= \max_{\|x\|=1} \max_{t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+n_0h}^{a+n_0h}} |(a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1(a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_n x(t)| \\
&\leq \max_{\|x\|=1} \max_{t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+n_0h}^{a+n_0h}} (|(a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t)| + |a_1(a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t)| + \cdots + |a_n x(t)|) \\
&\leq |(a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}1)(t)| + |a_1(a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}1)(t)| + \cdots + |a_n| \\
&= \left| \frac{h}{\Gamma(-n\nu)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{-n\nu-1}} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| a_1 \frac{h}{\Gamma(-(n-1)\nu)} \sum_{s=\frac{a}{h}+1}^{\frac{t}{h}} (t - \rho(sh))_h^{\overline{-(n-1)\nu-1}} \right| + \cdots + |a_n| \\
&= \left| -\frac{(t-a)_h^{\overline{-n\nu}}}{\Gamma(-n\nu+1)} \right| + \left| -a_1 \frac{(t-a)_h^{\overline{-(n-1)\nu}}}{\Gamma(-(n-1)\nu+1)} \right| + \cdots + |a_n| \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ . So, we obtain  $T$  is a bounded linear operator.

Next, we will show that the range of  $T$  is closed. Clearly,  $Tx \in Z$  for  $x \in Z$ . Conversely, for  $y \in Z$ , there is some  $x \in Z$  such that  $Tx = y$  (see [35]). Moreover,  $Z$  is a Banach space, it follows that the range of  $T$  is closed. By Lemma 3.6, we obtain  $T$  has the Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ . Noting that  $0 \in Z$ , we have that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $x \in Z$  satisfying

$$\|(a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1(a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_n x(t)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ , then there exists a solution  $x_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of equation (3.2) with the property

$$\|x - x_0\| \leq K\epsilon$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ . By the definition of norm in  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ , we have  $\max_{t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}} |x(t) - x_0(t)| = \|x - x_0\| \leq K\epsilon$ , which implies that the equation (3.2) has the Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *The nonhomogeneous sequential fractional  $h$ -difference equation also has Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ .*

*Proof.* We define an operator  $F : Z \rightarrow Z$  by

$$Fx(t) := (a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1(a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_n x(t) - f(t).$$

Since  $f : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and the operator  $T$  is Hyers-Ulam stable on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ , we obtain that for any  $g_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\epsilon \geq 0$  and  $x : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$\|Tx(t) - g_0(t) - f(t)\| \leq \epsilon$ , there exists an  $x_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Tx_0(t) - g_0(t) - f(t) = 0$  with a Hyers-Ulam constant  $K$ .

The above statement is equivalent to the following:

For  $g_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\epsilon \geq 0$  and  $x : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\|Fx(t) - g_0(t)\| \leq \epsilon$ , there exists an  $x_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Fx_0(t) - g_0(t) = 0$  with a Hyers-Ulam constant  $K$ . Namely,  $F$  has the Hyers-Ulam stability. Clearly, the nonhomogeneous equation (3.1) has the Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

In the following theorem, we will show the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the nonhomogeneous sequential fractional order  $h$ -difference equation using the direct method.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Assume  $x : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(3.3) \quad |({}_a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1({}_a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_nx(t) - f(t)| \leq \phi(t)$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ . Then there exists a solution  $x_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of equation (3.1) satisfying

$$|x(t) - x_0(t)| \leq \phi(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} |E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a)|$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that (3.3) holds. Define a function  $r : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(3.4) \quad r(t) := ({}_a\nabla_h^{(n\nu)}x)(t) + a_1({}_a\nabla_h^{((n-1)\nu)}x)(t) + \cdots + a_nx(t).$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$|r(t) - f(t)| \leq \phi(t)$$

for  $t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}$ . By Lemma 3.1, the general solution of (3.4) is given by

$$x(t) = r(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a), \quad t \in (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h}.$$

Now, we define  $x_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$x_0(t) = f(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $x_0 : (h\mathbb{N})_{a+h}^{a+n_0h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a solution of equation (3.1). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - x_0(t)| &= \left| r(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a) - f(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a) \right| \\ &\leq \phi(t) * \prod_{i=1}^{n*} |E_{\alpha_i, \nu, \nu-1}^h(t, a)|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. NUMERICAL RESULT

Now, we give a numerical example to illustrate one of the established results.

**Example 4.1.** Consider the following sequential fractional order  $h$ -difference equation

$$(4.1) \quad ({}_0\nabla_1^{\frac{1}{2}}x)(t) + \frac{1}{2}x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_1^4.$$

If  $x : \mathbb{N}_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the inequality

$$|({}_0\nabla_1^{\frac{1}{2}}x)(t) + \frac{1}{2}x(t) - f(t)| \leq \phi(t)$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_1^4$ , it is easy to verify that the conditions of Theorem 3.9 hold, then according to Theorem 3.9, there exists a solution  $x_0 : \mathbb{N}_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of equation (4.1) such that

$$|x(t) - x_0(t)| \leq \phi(t) * E_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}^1(t, 0)$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_1^4$ , where  $x_0(t) = f(t) * E_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}^1(t, 0)$ . Thus, the equation (4.1) is generalized Hyers-Ulam stability on  $\mathbb{N}_1^4$ .

If we set  $\phi(t) \equiv \epsilon$ , then there exists a solution  $x_0 : \mathbb{N}_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of equation (4.1) such that

$$|x(t) - x_0(t)| \leq \epsilon * E_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}^1(t, 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_1^4.$$

Hence, the equation (4.1) is Hyers-Ulam stability on  $\mathbb{N}_1^4$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is supported by the Nature Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2019A1515010609), Guangdong Province Key Laboratory of Computational Science, the Science Foundation of Hebei Normal University (L2020B01), and the International Program for Ph.D. Candidates, Sun Yat-Sen University.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, On Riemann and Caputo fractional differences, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 2011; 62:1602–1611.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 1950; 2:64–66.
- [3] F. M. Atici and P. W. Elloe, Two-point boundary value problems for finite fractional difference equations, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 2011; 17(4):445–456.
- [4] F. M. Atici and F. Wu, Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional difference equations with initial conditions, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 2014; 23(2-3):265–276.
- [5] N. R. O. Bastos, R. A. C. Ferreira, and D. F. M. Torres, Discrete time fractional variational problems, *Signal Processing* 2011; 91:513–524. DOI:10.1016/j.sigpro.2010.05.001

- [6] D. G. Bourgin, Classes of transformations and bordering transformations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1951; 57:223–237.
- [7] J. F. Cheng, *Fractional Difference Equations*, Xiamen:Xiamen University Press; 2010.
- [8] M. Gachpazan and O. Baghani, Hyers-Ulam stability of Volterra integral equation, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 2010; 1(2):19–25.
- [9] C. S. Goodrich, Some new existence results for fractional difference equations, *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.* 2011; 3(1-2):145–162.
- [10] C. S. Goodrich, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a fractional difference equation with nonlocal conditions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 2011; 61:191–202.
- [11] G. S. Goodrich and A. C. Peterson, *Discrete Fractional Calculus*. New York:Springer; 2015.
- [12] J. H. Huang and Y. J. Li, Hyers-Ulam stability of linear functional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2015; 426:1192–1200.
- [13] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1941; 27:222–224.
- [14] R. W. Ibrahim, Stability of sequential fractional differential equation, *Appl. Comput. Math.* 2015; 14(2):1–9.
- [15] B. G. Jia, X. Li, F. F. Du, and M. Wang, The solution of a new Caputo-like fractional  $h$ -difference equation, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 2018; 48(5):1607–1630.
- [16] B. G. Jia, F. F. Du, L. Erbe, and A. C. Peterson, Asymptotic behavior of nabla half order  $h$ -difference equations, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* 2018; 8:1707–1726.
- [17] J. M. Jonnalagadda, Hyers-Ulam stability of fractional nabla difference equations, *Int. J. Anal.* 2016; 2016:1–5.
- [18] S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer Optimization and Its Applications, New York: Springer; 2011; 48.
- [19] S. M. Jung, Hyers-Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2004; 17:1135–1140.
- [20] S. M. Jung, Hyers-Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order II, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2006; 19:854–858.
- [21] S. M. Jung, Hyers-Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order III, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2005; 311:139–146.
- [22] S. M. Jung and J. Brzdek Hyers-Ulam stability of the delay equation  $y'(t) = \lambda y(t - \tau)$ , *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2010; 2010:1–10.
- [23] J. Jonnalagadda, Solutions of perturbed linear nabla fractional difference equations, *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* 2014; 22(3):281–292.
- [24] T. X. Li, A. Zada, and S. Faisal, Hyers-Ulam stability of  $n$ th order linear differential equations, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 2016; 9:2070–2075.
- [25] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, Fractional difference calculus, In:Proceedings International Symposium on Univalent Functions, *Fractional Calculus and their Applications*. Nihon University, Kōriyama. 1988; 139–152.
- [26] J. R. Morales and E. M. Rojas, Hyers-Ulam and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of nonlinear integral equations with delay, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 2015; 2(2):1–6.
- [27] D. Mozyrska and E. Girejko, Overview of fractional  $h$ -difference operators, In:Advances in Harmonic Analysis and Operator Theory: The Stefan Samko Anniversary Volume (A. Almeida, L. Castro, F. O. Speak, eds.). Operator Theory:Advances and Applications. 2013; 253–268. DOI:10.1007/978-3-0348-0516-2\_14

- [28] D. Mozyrska, E. Girejko, and M. Wyrwas, Comparison of  $h$ -difference fractional operators, In:Advances in the Theory and Applications of Non-integer Order Systems (W. Mitkowski, J. Kacprzyk, and J. Baranowski, eds.). Lect. Notes Electr. Engrg. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland. 2013; 257:191–197. DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-00933-9\_17
- [29] D. Mozyrska and M. Wyrwas, The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform method and delta type fractional difference operators, *Discret. Dyn. Nat. Soc.* 2015; 2015:1–12. DOI:10.1155/2015/852734
- [30] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1978; 72:297–300.
- [31] I. Suwan, S. Owies, and T. Abdeljawad, Monotonocity results for  $h$ -discrete fractional operators and application, *Adv. Anal. Equ.* 2018; 2018(207):1–17. DOI:10.1186/s13662-018-1660-5
- [32] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, New York: Interscience Publishers; 1961.
- [33] J. R. Wang, L. L. Lv, and Y. Zhou, Ulam stability and data dependence for fractional differential equations with Caputo derivative, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2011; 63:1–10.
- [34] M. Wyrwas, E. Pawluszewicz, and E. Girejko, Stability of nolinear  $h$ -difference systems with  $n$  fractional orders, *Kybernetika* 2015; 51(1):112–136.
- [35] Z. Zheng, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Anhui Education Press; 1994 (in Chinese).