

Quenching of the Solution for a Degenerate Semilinear Parabolic Equation

W. Y. Chan

Department of Mathematics, Southeast Missouri State University
Cape Girardeau, MO 63701

Abstract: Let $\Lambda \leq \infty$, q and b be nonnegative constants, and a and c be positive constants. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of the following degenerate semilinear parabolic problem are studied:

$$\xi^q u_\tau = u_{\xi\xi} - \frac{b}{\xi^2} u + f(u) \text{ in } (0, a) \times (0, \Lambda),$$

$$u(\xi, 0) = 0 \text{ on } [0, a], u(0, \tau) = 0 = u(a, \tau) \text{ for } 0 < \tau < \Lambda,$$

where $f(u)$ is a given function such that $\lim_{u \rightarrow c^-} f(u) = \infty$. Furthermore, we prove that u quenches in a finite time. Also, we investigate the critical length of u .

AMS Subject Classification: 35K55, 35K57, 35K60, 35K65

1 Introduction

Let $\Lambda \leq \infty$, q and b be nonnegative constants, and a and c be positive constants. We study the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the following degenerate semilinear parabolic problem:

$$\xi^q u_\tau = u_{\xi\xi} - \frac{b}{\xi^2} u + f(u) \text{ in } (0, a) \times (0, \Lambda), \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(\xi, 0) = 0 \text{ on } [0, a], u(0, \tau) = 0 = u(a, \tau) \text{ for } 0 < \tau < \Lambda, \tag{1.2}$$

where $f \in C^2([0, c))$, $f(0) > 0$, $f'(0) > 0$, $f''(s) > 0$ for $s \in [0, c)$, and $\lim_{u \rightarrow c^-} f(u) = \infty$. Furthermore, we prove that u quenches in a finite time. Also, we investigate the critical length of u . Let $\xi = ax$, $\tau = a^{q+2}t$, $\Lambda = a^{q+2}T$, $D = (0, 1)$, $\Omega = D \times (0, T)$, $\bar{D} = [0, 1]$, $\bar{\Omega} = \bar{D} \times [0, T]$, and $Lu = x^q u_t - u_{xx} + bu/x^2$. The problem (1.1)-(1.2) is transformed to

$$Lu = a^2 f(u) \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0 \text{ on } \bar{D}, u(0, t) = 0 = u(1, t) \text{ for } 0 < t < T. \tag{1.4}$$

When $T < \infty$, a solution u to the problem (1.3)-(1.4) is said to quench at time T if

$$\max \{u(x, t) : x \in \bar{D}\} \rightarrow c^- \text{ when } t \rightarrow T^-.$$

The length a^* is called the critical length if there exists a global solution u for $a < a^*$, and if u quenches for $a > a^*$.

When $b = 0$ and $q = 1$, the operator L is used to describe the temperature u of the channel flow of a fluid with a temperature-dependent viscosity in the boundary layer (cf. Ockendon [10]).

In the n -dimensional case and $q = 0$, Zhang [16] calculated the lower bound of the fundamental solution of the problem $Lu = 0$ for $b > 0$. On the other hand, Baras and Goldstein [2] studied the existence of the solution of the problem for $b \leq 0$.

When $q \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, and the forcing term is u^p where $p > 1$, Chan and Chan [4] studied the blow-up for the problem (1.3)-(1.4). They showed that $x = 0$ is the only blow-up point if $1 < p \leq 1 + 2q / (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4b})$. If the forcing term is $\int_0^1 F(u(\zeta, t)) d\zeta$ where $F(s) \geq s^p$ with $p > 1$ for $s \geq 0$, Chan [7] showed that u blows up for every $x \in \bar{D}$.

In Section 2, we shall study the existence and uniqueness of the solution u . Under some conditions, we shall prove that u quenches in a finite time. In Section 3, we shall determine an upper bound of the critical length by constructing a lower solution. Also, we shall use a numerical method to determine the approximated value of a^* . An example will be provided when $f(u) = 1/(1 - u)$.

2 Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution

To establish the existence and uniqueness of u , we study the steady state solution v of the problem (1.3)-(1.4) first. v satisfies the following boundary value problem:

$$v'' - \frac{b}{x^2}v = -a^2 f(v) \text{ in } D, v(0) = 0 = v(1). \quad (2.1)$$

As $f(v) > 0$, from (2.1)

$$v'' - \frac{b}{x^2}v < 0 \text{ in } D, v(0) = 0 = v(1).$$

According to Theorem 1.3 of Protter and Weinberger [12, p. 6], $v > 0$ in D . Let $Mv = v'' + (1 - b/x^2)v$. The general solution of $Mv = 0$ is given by (cf. Weisstein [15, p. 197])

$$y(x) = x^{1/2} (AJ_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) + BY_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)),$$

where $J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)$ and $Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)$ are Bessel functions of the first and second kind with degree $\sqrt{1+4b}/2$, and A and B are arbitrary constants. The solution $y(x)$ satisfying $y(0) = 0$ is denoted by

$$y_1(x) = x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x).$$

The solution $y(x)$ satisfying $y(1) = 0$ is given by

$$y_2(x) = x^{1/2} \left(J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - \frac{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right).$$

The Green's function $G(x, s)$ for the operator M is

$$G(x, s) = \begin{cases} -\tilde{A}x^{1/2}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)\hat{A}s^{1/2}\left(J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) - \frac{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s)\right) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq s, \\ -\tilde{A}s^{1/2}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s)\hat{A}x^{1/2}\left(J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - \frac{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)\right) & \text{if } s \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where \tilde{A} and \hat{A} are constants. According to (9.1.16) of Abramowitz and Stegun [1, p. 360],

$$J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)\frac{d}{dx}Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)\frac{d}{dx}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) = 2/(\pi x). \tag{2.2}$$

We follow the method of Simmons and Krantz [13, pp. 143-144] and set

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow x^-} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}G(x, s) - \lim_{s \rightarrow x^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}G(x, s) = -1,$$

it gives

$$\tilde{A}\hat{A} = \frac{-\pi Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{2 J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}.$$

Hence, the Green's function for the operator M and satisfying the boundary conditions of (2.1) is

$$G(x, s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi}{2}x^{1/2}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)s^{1/2}\left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s)\right) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq s, \\ -\frac{\pi}{2}s^{1/2}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s)x^{1/2}\left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)\right) & \text{if } s \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Follow the proof of Lemma 3 of Chan and Chen [5], $G(x, s) > 0$ for x and s in D .

Lemma 1. *If $R(x)$ is a nonpositive function in D and negative over an interval I where $I \subset D$, then the solution to the boundary value problem,*

$$Mv = R(x) \text{ in } D, v(0) = 0 = v(1), \tag{2.4}$$

is positive in D .

Proof. The solution v of (2.4) satisfies the integral equation

$$v(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, s)(-R(s))ds.$$

To each $x \in D$, $G(x, s) > 0$ for $s \in D$. By the assumption, $v(x) > 0$ in D . □

Lemma 2. *If $a^2 f'(0) \geq 1$, then the boundary value problem (2.1) has the minimal solution $V (< c)$.*

Proof. To establish the existence of the minimal solution, we construct a sequence $\{v_n\}$ as follows: $v_0 = 0$, and for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\frac{d^2 v_n}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) v_n = v_{n-1} - a^2 f(v_{n-1}) \text{ in } D, v_n(0) = 0 = v_n(1). \quad (2.5)$$

When $n = 1$, (2.5) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 v_1}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) v_1 = -a^2 f(0). \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from $f(0) > 0$,

$$\frac{d^2 v_1}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) v_1 < 0.$$

By Lemma 1, $v_1 > v_0$ in D . Subtracting (2.6) from (2.1) and by the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2 (v - v_1)}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) (v - v_1) \\ &= v - a^2 f(v) + a^2 f(0) \\ &= (1 - a^2 f'(\psi_1)) v, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_1 \in (0, v)$. Since $f''(s) > 0$, it implies $1 - a^2 f'(\psi_1) < 1 - a^2 f'(0)$. Then,

$$\frac{d^2 (v - v_1)}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) (v - v_1) < (1 - a^2 f'(0)) v \leq 0.$$

At $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, $v_1 = v$. By Lemma 1, $v_1 < v$ in D . Suppose that $v_0 < v_k < v$ in D for some positive integer k . When $n = k + 1$, by the mean value theorem, there exists a function $\psi_2 \in (0, v_k)$ such that

$$\frac{d^2 v_{k+1}}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) v_{k+1} = (1 - a^2 f'(\psi_2)) v_k - a^2 f(0) < 0.$$

By $v_{k+1}(0) = 0 = v_{k+1}(1)$ and Lemma 1, $v_{k+1} > v_0$ in D . We subtract (2.5) from (2.1)

$$\frac{d^2 (v - v_{k+1})}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) (v - v_{k+1}) = (1 - a^2 f'(\psi_3)) (v - v_k) < 0,$$

where $\psi_3 \in (v_k, v)$. At $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, $v_{k+1} = v$. By Lemma 1, $v_{k+1} < v$ in D . Hence, by the mathematical induction, $v_0 < v_n < v$ in D for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Now, suppose that $v_{k-1} < v_k$ in D for some positive integer k . Substituting $n = k + 1$ and $n = k$ respectively in (2.5), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 v_{k+1}}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) v_{k+1} = v_k - a^2 f(v_k), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{d^2 v_k}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right) v_k = v_{k-1} - a^2 f(v_{k-1}). \quad (2.8)$$

Subtract (2.8) from (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2(v_{k+1} - v_k)}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{b}{x^2}\right)(v_{k+1} - v_k) \\ &= (v_k - v_{k-1}) - a^2 f(v_k) + a^2 f(v_{k-1}), \\ &= (1 - a^2 f'(\psi_4))(v_k - v_{k-1}) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_4 \in (v_{k-1}, v_k)$. At $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, $v_{k+1} = v_k$. By Lemma 1, $v_k < v_{k+1}$ in D . Hence, $v_0 < v_k < v_{k+1} < v < c$ in D . By the mathematical induction, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ is increasing and converges strictly monotonically. For $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$v_{n+1}(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, s) (a^2 f(v_n(s)) - v_n(s)) ds. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n+1} = V$. By the construction, $V (< c)$ is the minimal solution to the problem (2.1). As the integrand of the above expression is increasing with respect to v_n , by the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$V(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, s) (a^2 f(V(s)) - V(s)) ds. \tag{2.10}$$

□

In the sequel, let k_i denote appropriate positive constants for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$. It is noted that the term $a^2 f(v) - bv/x^2$ in (2.1) is not a bounded function in x for $x \in D$, this term does not satisfy the one-side Lipschitz condition (cf. Pao [11, p. 99]).

Lemma 3. $V \in C(\bar{D}) \cap C^2((0, 1])$, and V is the unique solution to (2.1).

Proof. From (2.10) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & V(x) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2} x^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right) \int_0^x s^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \\ & \quad \times (a^2 f(V) - V) ds \\ & \quad - \frac{\pi}{2} x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \int_x^1 s^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right) \\ & \quad \times (a^2 f(V) - V) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Obviously, $V(1) = 0$. Since $V < c$ and $f \in C^2([0, c])$, there exists a positive constant k_1 such that

$$|a^2 f(V) - V| \leq k_1 \tag{2.12}$$

for $x \in \bar{D}$.

For each fixed $x \in (0, 1]$,

$$s^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s)$$

is an integrable function over the interval $[0, x]$, and

$$s^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) - Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1) J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) / J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1) \right)$$

is integrable over $[x, 1]$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\int_0^x s^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) (a^2 f(V) - V) ds,$$

$$\int_x^1 s^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right) (a^2 f(V) - V) ds,$$

are continuous at x . Also, $J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)$ is continuous on \bar{D} and $Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)$ is continuous in $(0, 1]$. Thus, $V(x)$ is continuous in $(0, 1]$. To show that $V(x)$ is continuous at $x = 0$, it necessary to prove that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} V(x) = 0$. Let ρ be a positive constant such that $\rho \ll 1$. From (2.11) and (2.12),

$$\left| \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} V(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi}{2} k_1 x^{1/2} \left(\left| Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right| + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| \left| J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right| \right) \int_0^x s^{1/2} \left| J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right| ds$$

$$+ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi}{2} k_1 x^{1/2} \left| J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right| \int_x^1 s^{1/2} \left(\left| Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right| + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| \left| J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right| \right) ds.$$

When $x \ll 1$, by (9.1.7) and (9.1.9) of Abramowitz and Stegun [1, p. 360], $\left| J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right| \leq k_2 x^{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}$ and $\left| Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right| \leq k_3 x^{-\sqrt{1+4b}/2}$. For $x < \rho$, we have

$$\left| \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} V(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi}{2} k_1 x^{1/2} \left(k_3 x^{-\sqrt{1+4b}/2} + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| k_2 x^{\sqrt{1+4b}/2} \right) k_2 \frac{2x^{(3+\sqrt{1+4b})/2}}{3 + \sqrt{1+4b}}$$

$$+ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi}{2} k_1 k_2 x^{(1+\sqrt{1+4b})/2} \int_x^\rho s^{1/2} \left(k_3 s^{-\sqrt{1+4b}/2} + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| k_2 s^{\sqrt{1+4b}/2} \right) ds$$

$$+ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi}{2} k_1 k_2 x^{(1+\sqrt{1+4b})/2} \int_\rho^1 s^{1/2} \left(\left| Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right| + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| \left| J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right| \right) ds.$$

Simplify the right-hand side,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} V(x) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\pi k_1 k_2}{3 + \sqrt{1 + 4b}} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{1/2} \left(k_3 x^{3/2} + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| k_2 x^{(3+2\sqrt{1+4b})/2} \right) \\ & + \frac{\pi}{2} k_1 k_2 \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{(1+\sqrt{1+4b})/2} \left(k_3 \rho^{1/2} x^{-\sqrt{1+4b}/2} + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| k_2 \rho^{(1+\sqrt{1+4b})/2} \right) (\rho - x) \\ & + \frac{\pi}{2} k_1 k_2 \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{(1+\sqrt{1+4b})/2} \int_{\rho}^1 s^{1/2} \left(\left| Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right| + \left| \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} \right| \left| J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right| \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the right-hand side tends to zero when $x \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} V(x) = 0$. Hence, $V(x)$ is continuous on \bar{D} .

From (2.11), the derivative of $V(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & V'(x) \\ & = -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left[x^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right) \right] \int_0^x s^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \\ & \quad \times (a^2 f(V) - V) ds \\ & - \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d}{dx} (x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)) \int_x^1 s^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right) \\ & \quad \times (a^2 f(V) - V) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the second derivative of $V(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & V''(x) \\ & = -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[x^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right) \right] \int_0^x s^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \\ & \quad \times (a^2 f(V) - V) ds \\ & - \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)) \int_x^1 s^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(s) \right) \\ & \quad \times (a^2 f(V) - V) ds \\ & - \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d}{dx} (x^{1/2} Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)) x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) (a^2 f(V(x)) - V(x)) \\ & + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d}{dx} (x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)) x^{1/2} Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) (a^2 f(V(x)) - V(x)). \tag{2.13} \end{aligned}$$

Since the second derivative of $x^{1/2}$, $J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)$, and $Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x)$ are continuous in $(0, 1]$, the right-hand side of the above equation is continuous in $(0, 1]$. Hence, $V \in C(\bar{D}) \cap C^2((0, 1])$.

From (2.11), (2.13), $My_1 = 0$, $My_2 = 0$, and (2.2), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} MV &= -\frac{\pi}{2}x \left(a^2 f(V(x)) - V(x) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \frac{d}{dx} Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) - Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \frac{d}{dx} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x) \right) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}x \left(a^2 f(V(x)) - V(x) \right) \frac{2}{\pi x} \\ &= V(x) - a^2 f(V(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, V is the unique solution to (2.1). □

Let ε be a positive number less than 1, $D_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon, 1)$, $\bar{D}_\varepsilon = [\varepsilon, 1]$, $\Omega_\varepsilon = D_\varepsilon \times (0, T)$, $\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon = \bar{D}_\varepsilon \times [0, T)$, and w be the solution of the following semilinear parabolic problem:

$$Lw = a^2 f(w) \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \tag{2.14}$$

$$w(x, 0) = 0 \text{ on } \bar{D}_\varepsilon, w(\varepsilon, t) = 0 = w(1, t) \text{ for } 0 < t < T. \tag{2.15}$$

Now, we prove the existence of the solution of the problem (1.3)-(1.4).

Theorem 4. *The problem (1.3)-(1.4) has a solution $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,1}((0, 1] \times [0, T))$.*

Proof. Since 0 and V are the lower and upper solutions to the problem (2.14)-(2.15) and $V \in C^2(\bar{D}_\varepsilon)$, by Theorem 4.2.2 of Ladde, Lakshmikantham, and Vatsala [8, p. 143], there exists a solution $w \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ of the problem (2.14)-(2.15) such that $0 \leq w \leq V$ on $\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. By the maximum principle (cf. Protter and Weinberger [12, p. 175]), $w > 0$ in Ω_ε and is unique. Let ε_1 and ε_2 be positive real numbers such that $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < 1$. We want to show that $\hat{w} \geq \tilde{w}$ on $\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_2}$, where \hat{w} and \tilde{w} are solutions to the problem (2.14)-(2.15) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_2$ respectively. By the mean value theorem,

$$x^q (\hat{w} - \tilde{w})_t - (\hat{w} - \tilde{w})_{xx} = \left[a^2 f'(\psi_5) - \frac{b}{x^2} \right] (\hat{w} - \tilde{w}),$$

where ψ_5 is between \hat{w} and \tilde{w} . $\hat{w}(1, t) = \tilde{w}(1, t) = 0$ and $\hat{w}(\varepsilon_2, t) > \tilde{w}(\varepsilon_2, t) = 0$ for $t \in (0, T)$. Also, $\hat{w}(x, 0) = \tilde{w}(x, 0)$ on \bar{D}_{ε_2} . By the maximum principle, $\hat{w} \geq \tilde{w}$ on $\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_2}$. Since $\{w\}$ is a bounded monotone nonincreasing sequence in ε , let $u = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} w(x, t)$. We claim that u is a solution to the problem (1.3)-(1.4). For any $(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) \in \Omega$, there exists a set $E = [b_1, b_2] \times [0, \check{t}]$ such that $(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) \in E \subset \bar{\Omega}$ (where $b_1 > 0$, $b_2 \leq 1$, and $\check{t} < T$). Let \tilde{q} be a positive constant greater than 1.

i. $\|w\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(E)} \leq \|V\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(E)} \leq k_4,$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_t^{t+\check{t}} \left(\int_{b_1}^{b_2} \left| \frac{b}{x^{q+2}} \right|^r dx \right) dt \right)^{1/r} \\ &= \frac{b}{[r(q+2) - 1]^{1/r}} \left[b_1^{-r(q+2)+1} - b_2^{-r(q+2)+1} \right]^{1/r} \check{t}^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side tends to zero as $\hat{t} \rightarrow 0$.

$$\text{iii. } \|x^{-q}a^2 f(w)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(E)} \leq b_1^{-q}a^2 \|f(V)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(E)}.$$

If we choose $\tilde{q} > 3/(2 - \alpha)$, by Theorem 4.9.1 of Ladyženskaja, Solonnikov, and Ural'ceva [9, pp. 341-342] $w \in W_{\tilde{q}}^{2,1}(E)$. By Theorem 2.3.3 there [9, p. 80], $W_{\tilde{q}}^{2,1}(E) \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)$. Thus, $\|w\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} \leq k_5$. By the triangular inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|bx^{-(q+2)}w\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} \\ & \leq \frac{b}{b_1^{q+2}} \|V\|_{\infty} + \frac{b}{b_1^{q+2}} \sup_{\substack{(x,t) \in E \\ (\tilde{x},t) \in E}} \frac{|w(x,t) - w(\tilde{x},t)|}{|x - \tilde{x}|^{\alpha}} \\ & + b \|V\|_{\infty} \sup_{\substack{(x,t) \in E \\ (\tilde{x},t) \in E}} \frac{|x^{-(q+2)} - \tilde{x}^{-(q+2)}|}{|x - \tilde{x}|^{\alpha}} + \frac{b}{b_1^{q+2}} \sup_{\substack{(x,t) \in E \\ (x,\tilde{t}) \in E}} \frac{|w(x,t) - w(x,\tilde{t})|}{|t - \tilde{t}|^{\alpha/2}} \\ & = \frac{b}{b_1^{q+2}} \|V\|_{\infty} + \frac{b}{b_1^{q+2}} \|w\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} + b \|V\|_{\infty} \|x^{-(q+2)}\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} \\ & \leq k_6. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a^2x^{-q}f(w)\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} \\ & \leq \frac{a^2}{b_1^q} \|f(V)\|_{\infty} + \frac{a^2}{b_1^q} \sup_{\substack{(x,t) \in E \\ (\tilde{x},t) \in E}} \frac{|f'(\psi_6)| |w(x,t) - w(\tilde{x},t)|}{|x - \tilde{x}|^{\alpha}} \\ & + a^2 \|f(V)\|_{\infty} \sup_{\substack{(x,t) \in E \\ (\tilde{x},t) \in E}} \frac{|x^{-q} - \tilde{x}^{-q}|}{|x - \tilde{x}|^{\alpha}} + \frac{a^2}{b_1^q} \sup_{\substack{(x,t) \in E \\ (x,\tilde{t}) \in E}} \frac{|f'(\psi_7)| |w(x,t) - w(x,\tilde{t})|}{|t - \tilde{t}|^{\alpha/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where ψ_6 is between $w(x,t)$ and $w(\tilde{x},t)$, and ψ_7 is between $w(x,t)$ and $w(x,\tilde{t})$. As $w \leq V$ and $f''(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$, the following inequality is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^2x^{-q}f(w)\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} & \leq \frac{a^2}{b_1^q} \|f(V)\|_{\infty} + \frac{a^2}{b_1^q} \|f'(V)\|_{\infty} \|w\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} \\ & + a^2 \|f(V)\|_{\infty} \|x^{-q}\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(E)} \\ & \leq k_7 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant k_7 which is independent of ε . By Theorem 4.10.1 of Ladyženskaja, Solonnikov, and Ural'ceva [9, pp. 351-352], there exists some positive constant k_8 independent of ε such that

$$\|w\|_{H^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(E)} \leq k_8.$$

This implies that $w, w_t, w_x,$ and w_{xx} are equicontinuous in E . By the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{H^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(E)} \leq k_8,$$

and the partial derivatives of u are the limits of the corresponding derivatives of w . Since 0 and V are equal to 0 at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, $u(0, t) = 0 = u(1, t)$ for $t \in [0, T)$ by the sandwich theorem. Hence, $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,1}((0, 1] \times [0, T))$. \square

Theorem 5. *The problem (1.3)-(1.4) has at most one solution.*

Proof. Suppose that the problem (1.3)-(1.4) has two different solutions $u(x, t)$ and $z(x, t)$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $z > u$ somewhere, say, (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) in Ω . Since $z(x, 0) - u(x, 0) = 0$ on \bar{D} , $z(0, t) - u(0, t) = 0$, and $z(1, t) - u(1, t) = 0$, there exists some nonnegative constants a_1, a_2, a_3 , and a_4 such that $\bar{x} \in (a_3, a_4) \subset (a_1, a_2) \subset \bar{D}$, and $z(a_1, t) = u(a_1, t)$ and $z(a_2, t) = u(a_2, t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}$. Also, $z(x, \bar{t}) > u(x, \bar{t})$ for $x \in (a_3, a_4)$, and $z \geq u$ on $[a_1, a_2] \times [0, \bar{t}]$. Let φ and γ denote respectively the fundamental eigenfunction and eigenvalue of the problem,

$$\varphi'' + \gamma\varphi = 0 \text{ for } a_1 < x < a_2, \varphi(a_1) = 0 = \varphi(a_2).$$

Then, $\varphi = \sin[\pi(x - a_1)/(a_2 - a_1)]$ which is positive in (a_1, a_2) , and $\gamma = [\pi/(a_2 - a_1)]^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} (z - u) \gamma \varphi dx dt = - \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} (z - u) \varphi'' dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} (z - u)_{xx} \varphi dx dt \end{aligned}$$

From (1.3), the above inequality becomes

$$0 \leq - \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \left[x^q (z - u)_t + \frac{b}{x^2} (z - u) - a^2 (f(z) - f(u)) \right] \varphi dx dt.$$

Since $z(x, 0) = u(x, 0)$ on \bar{D} ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq - \int_{a_1}^{a_2} x^q (z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) \varphi dx - \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{b}{x^2} (z - u) \varphi dx dt \\ &\quad + a^2 \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} (f(z) - f(u)) \varphi dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

As $z \geq u$ on $[a_1, a_2] \times [0, \bar{t}]$, $\varphi(x) > 0$ in (a_1, a_2) , and $b \geq 0$, it gives

$$0 \leq - \int_{a_1}^{a_2} x^q (z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) \varphi dx + a^2 \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} (f(z) - f(u)) \varphi dx dt. \quad (2.16)$$

It follows from the mean value theorem for integrals [3, p. 5] that there exists some $\psi_8 \in (a_1, a_2)$ such that

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} x^q \varphi (z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) dx = \psi_8^q \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \varphi (z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) dx.$$

By the mean value theorem, there exists some ψ_9 between z and u such that

$$f(z) - f(u) = f'(\psi_9)(z - u) \leq k_9(z - u).$$

Then, (2.16) becomes

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} \varphi(z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) dx \leq \frac{a^2 k_9}{\psi_8^q} \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \varphi(z - u) dx dt.$$

By the Gronwall inequality [14, pp. 14-15],

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} \varphi(z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) dx \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, $\varphi(z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) > 0$ for $x \in (a_3, a_4)$ implies

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} \varphi(z(x, \bar{t}) - u(x, \bar{t})) dx > 0.$$

This contradiction shows that the problem (1.3)-(1.4) has at most one solution. □

Lemma 6. $u > 0$ in Ω , and $u(x, t)$ is a nondecreasing function of t for each $x \in D$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, $w > 0$ in Ω_ε . When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, this implies $u \geq 0$ in Ω . Suppose that $u(x_0, t_0) = 0$ for some $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega$. Since $u(x, 0) = 0$ on \bar{D} , we have $u(x_0, t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, t_0]$. This implies that $u_t(x_0, t_1) = 0$ for some $t_1 \in (0, t_0)$. At t_1 , u attains its minimum at x_0 , it follows that $u_{xx}(x_0, t_1) \geq 0$. Therefore, at (x_0, t_1)

$$\begin{aligned} & Lu(x_0, t_1) - a^2 f(u(x_0, t_1)) \\ &= x^q u_t(x_0, t_1) - u_{xx}(x_0, t_1) + \frac{b}{x_0^2} u(x_0, t_1) - a^2 f(u(x_0, t_1)) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (1.3). Hence, $u > 0$ in Ω . Let h be a positive number less than T . At $t + h$, (2.14) becomes

$$x^q w_t(x, t + h) - w_{xx}(x, t + h) + \frac{b}{x^2} w(x, t + h) = a^2 f(w(x, t + h)) \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

Subtract (2.14) from the above equation, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & x^q (w(x, t + h) - w(x, t))_t - (w(x, t + h) - w(x, t))_{xx} \\ &= \left(a^2 f'(\psi_{10}) - \frac{b}{x^2} \right) (w(x, t + h) - w(x, t)), \end{aligned}$$

where ψ_{10} is between $w(x, t + h)$ and $w(x, t)$. Also, $w(x, h) > w(x, 0)$ in D_ε , and $w(x, t + h) = w(x, t)$ at $x = \varepsilon$ and $x = 1$ for $t \in [0, T)$. By the maximum principle, $w(x, t + h) \geq w(x, t)$ on $\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon$. Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it leads to $u(x, t + h) \geq u(x, t)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. □

Let ϕ and λ be the fundamental eigenfunction and eigenvalue respectively of the following Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem:

$$\phi'' - \frac{b}{x^2} \phi = -\lambda x^q \phi \text{ in } D, \phi(0) = 0 = \phi(1). \tag{2.17}$$

From Chan and Chan [4], ϕ is given by

$$\phi(x) = k_{10}x^{1/2}J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}x^{(q+2)/2}}{q+2}\right),$$

which is positive in D , and $\lambda = (j_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}(q+2)/2)^2$ where $j_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}$ is the first positive zero of $J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}(x)$.

Theorem 7. *If $f(u) \geq 1/(1-u)^\beta$ for $u < 1$ where β is a positive constant such that $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $a^2\beta \geq \lambda$, then u quenches in a finite time.*

Proof. Choose k_{10} such that $\int_0^1 x^q \phi(x) dx = 1$. Multiply $\phi(x)$ on both sides of (1.3)

$$x^q \phi u_t = \phi u_{xx} - \frac{b}{x^2} \phi u + a^2 \phi f(u).$$

Using integration by parts, (2.17), and $f(u) \geq 1/(1-u)^\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx\right)_t &= \int_0^1 \left(\phi'' u - \frac{b}{x^2} \phi u\right) dx + a^2 \int_0^1 \phi f(u) dx \\ &\geq -\lambda \int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx + a^2 \int_0^1 \frac{\phi}{(1-u)^\beta} dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from $1/(1-u)^\beta \geq 1 + \beta u + \beta(\beta+1)u^2/2$ for $u < 1$, the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx\right)_t \\ &\geq -\lambda \int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx + a^2 \int_0^1 \phi \left[1 + \beta u + \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{2} u^2\right] dx \\ &\geq -\lambda \int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx + a^2 \int_0^1 x^q \phi dx + a^2 \beta \int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx + a^2 \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{2} \int_0^1 x^q \phi u^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Jensen's inequality,

$$\left(\int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx\right)_t \geq -\lambda \int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx + a^2 + a^2 \beta \int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx + a^2 \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{2} \left(\int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx\right)^2.$$

Let $U(t) = \int_0^1 x^q \phi u dx$ which is less than 1 before the quenching time, we get

$$U_t \geq a^2 + (a^2\beta - \lambda)U + a^2 \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{2} U^2.$$

Since $a^2\beta \geq \lambda$,

$$U_t \geq a^2 + a^2 \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{2} U^2.$$

Then, integrate the above expression from 0 to t

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^U \frac{dU}{1 + \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{2} U^2} &\geq \int_0^t a^2 dt \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta(\beta+1)}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{\beta(\beta+1)}U}{\sqrt{2}} &\geq a^2 t. \end{aligned}$$

As $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $U(t) < 1$, $\sqrt{\beta(\beta + 1)}U(t) / \sqrt{2} < 1$. If u exists globally, then t tends to ∞ . This implies that $\sqrt{\beta(\beta + 1)}U(t) / \sqrt{2}$ approaches $\pi/2 (> 1)$. It leads to a contradiction. Hence, u quenches in a finite time. \square

3 Critical Length

In this section, we follow the method of Chan and Chen [5] and Chan and Kaper [6] to determine an approximated value of the critical length of u . Firstly, we find an upper bound of the critical length. We look for a lower solution $\hat{u}(x, t)$ which satisfies

$$L\hat{u} \leq a^2 f(\hat{u}) \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{3.1}$$

subject to the initial and boundary conditions (1.4). Let us construct \hat{u} in the form of

$$\hat{u}(x, t) = x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}x^{(q+2)/2}}{q+2} \right) g(t),$$

where $g(t)$ is a nondecreasing function in t . Clearly, $\hat{u}(0, t) = 0 = \hat{u}(1, t)$. Substitute \hat{u} into (3.1), then by (2.17) and $0 < x < 1$, it gives

$$g'(t) + \lambda g(t) \leq \frac{a^2}{x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}x^{(q+2)/2}}{q+2} \right)} f \left(x^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}x^{(q+2)/2}}{q+2} \right) g(t) \right).$$

Let $z = x^{(q+2)/2}$,

$$g'(t) + \lambda g(t) \leq \frac{a^2}{z^{1/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2} z \right)} f \left(z^{1/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2} z \right) g(t) \right). \tag{3.2}$$

For each t , the minimum value of the right hand side of (3.2) is independent of z . We take the infimum of the expression of the right-hand side with respect to z . Let $K(g(t))$ be a positive function such that

$$K(g(t)) = \inf \left\{ \frac{a^2}{z^{1/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2} z \right)} f \left(z^{1/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2} z \right) g(t) \right) : z \in \bar{D} \right\}.$$

Then, $g(t)$ can be determined by solving the following initial value problem:

$$g'(t) + \lambda g(t) = K(g(t)) \text{ for } t > 0, g(0) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Example. Let $f(u) = 1/(1 - u)$. The derivative of the right-hand side of (3.2) with

respect to z is

$$-\frac{a^2}{q+2} \left[2\sqrt{\lambda}z J_{[\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)]-1} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}z \right) - \left(\sqrt{1+4b} - 1 \right) J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}z \right) \right] \\ \times \frac{\left[1 - 2z^{1/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}z \right) g(t) \right]}{z^{(q+3)/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}^2 \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}z \right) \left[1 - z^{1/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}z \right) g(t) \right]^2}.$$

the right-hand side of (3.3) has an infimum at $z = \varsigma$ where ς is the first positive root of the equation

$$2\sqrt{\lambda}z J_{[\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)]-1} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}z \right) = \left(\sqrt{1+4b} - 1 \right) J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}z \right),$$

for $g(t) \in (0, (2m)^{-1}]$ where

$$m = \varsigma^{1/(q+2)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{q+2}\varsigma \right).$$

Since the infimum of the quantity $[Z(1-Z)]^{-1}$ is 4, we have

$$\frac{g'(t)}{g(t)} + \lambda = \begin{cases} a^2/[mg(t)(1-mg(t))] & \text{for } 0 < g(t) \leq (2m)^{-1}, \\ 4a^2 & \text{for } (2m)^{-1} < g(t) \leq m^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

where $g(0) = 0$. Let t_2 and t_3 denote the times when $g(t_2) = 1/(2m)$ and $g(t_3) = 1/m$.

Integrate the second equation from t_2 to t_3 , it gives

$$\int_{1/(2m)}^{1/m} \frac{1}{g(t)} dg = \int_{t_2}^{t_3} (4a^2 - \lambda) dt.$$

From which we have

$$\frac{\ln 2}{(4a^2 - \lambda)} = t_3 - t_2.$$

As $t_3 > t_2$, $4a^2 - \lambda > 0$. This implies that u quenches when

$$\frac{j_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}(q+2)}{4} < a.$$

Thus, the critical length a^* of u is bounded by

$$a^* \leq \frac{j_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}(q+2)}{4}.$$

The procedure of finding the critical length is as follows:

Step 1. Divide the interval \bar{D} into 20 subintervals. Let $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 0.05, \dots, x_{20} = 1$.

Step 2. Use Maple^{®1} version 9.03 to compute

$$x_i^{1/2} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x_i),$$

$$x_i^{1/2} \left(Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x_i) - \frac{Y_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)}{J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(1)} J_{\sqrt{1+4b}/2}(x_i) \right),$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 19$. Set $v_{n+1}(x_0) = 0 = v_{n+1}(x_{20})$. Let $a = j_{\sqrt{1+4b}/(q+2)}(q+2)/4$ and $v_0(x) = 0$ for $x \in \bar{D}$. From (2.9), we use the numerical integration built in Maple to evaluate $v_{n+1}(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 19$.

Step 3. Use the cubic spline in Maple to interpolate $v_{n+1}(x)$ for $x \in \bar{D}$. Then, calculate

$$\left| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} v_{n+1}(x) - \max_{x \in \bar{D}} v_n(x) \right| = \epsilon_n.$$

If ϵ_{n+1} is greater than or equal to ϵ_n , or $\max_{x \in \bar{D}} v_{n+1}(x) \geq 1$ for some n , then a is not the critical length. If $\epsilon_n < 1 \times 10^{-5}$, we say that u exists globally.

Step 4. If a is not the critical length, decrease the value to obtain a new estimate a for a^* , and repeat Steps 2 and 3 until we find that u exists globally. The method of bisection is used to determine a value of a^{**} such that u exists globally for $a \leq a^{**}$, and u quenches for $a > a^{**}$. a^{**} is an approximation of a^* .

The following table contains the numerical results (in 4 decimal places) of a^* for various b when $q = 0$.

b	Upper bound of a	a^*
0.0000	1.5708	1.5303
0.5000	1.8250	1.7752
1.0000	1.9950	1.9389
2.0000	2.2467	2.1820

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standard, Applied Mathematics Series 55, Washington, D. C., 1972, p. 360.
- [2] P. Baras and J. A. Goldstein, The heat equation with a singular potential, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 284, 1984, pp. 121-139.
- [3] R. L. Burden and J. D. Faires, *Numerical Analysis*, PWS-KENT, Boston, MA, 1989, p. 5.

¹Maple[®] is a registered trademark of Waterloo Maple Inc., Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

- [4] C. Y. Chan and W. Y. Chan, Blow-up of solutions for degenerate semilinear parabolic first initial-boundary value problems, *Proc. Dynamic Systems and Applications*, 4, 2004, pp. 127-132.
- [5] C. Y. Chan and C. S. Chen, A numerical method for semilinear singular parabolic quenching problems, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 47, 1989, pp. 45-57.
- [6] C. Y. Chan and H. G. Kaper, Quenching for semilinear singular parabolic problems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 20, 1989, pp. 558-566.
- [7] W. Y. Chan, Blow-up of solutions of degenerate semilinear parabolic problems with a nonlocal source, accepted for publication in *Proc. Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems, Series A*.
- [8] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, and A. S. Vatsala, *Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, MA, 1985, p. 143.
- [9] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968, pp. 80, 341-342, and 351-352.
- [10] H. Ockendon, Channel flow with temperature-dependent viscosity and internal viscous dissipation, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 93, 1979, pp. 737-746.
- [11] C. V. Pao, *Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations*, Plenum Press, New York, NY, 1992, p. 99.
- [12] M. H. Protter and H. F. Weinberger, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1967, pp. 6 and 175.
- [13] G. F. Simmons and S. G. Krantz, *Differential Equations: Theory, Technique, and Practice*, McGraw Hill, New York, NY, 2007, pp. 143-144.
- [14] W. Walter, *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1970, pp. 14-15.
- [15] E. W. Weisstein, *CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003, p. 197.
- [16] Q. S. Zhang, Global lower bound for the heat kernel of $-\Delta + c/|x|^2$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129, 2001, pp. 1105-1112.