

## DCP PROPERTY OF CONVEX COMBINATIONS OF DE LA VALLÉE POUSSIN KERNELS

CHINTA MANI POKHREL<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Science and Humanities, Nepal Engineering College  
G.P.O. Box 10210, Kathmandu, Nepal.  
*Email: chintam@nec.edu.np*

**ABSTRACT:** Let  $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$  denote the set of all univalent functions in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  which are convex in the direction  $e^{i\phi}$ . A function  $g$  analytic in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  is said to be in the class *DCP* (Directional Convexity Preserving) if it preserves the class  $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$  under the Hadamard product, i.e.  $g$  belongs to the class *DCP* if  $f * g \in \mathcal{C}(\phi)$  whenever  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\phi)$ . It has been proved in the literature that some well known and most applicable functions of a complex variable like exponential function  $e^{rz}$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$  belongs to the class *DCP*. In this paper we further enlarge this class by establishing a criterion for closed convex hull of the de la Vallée Poussin kernels  $V_\lambda(z) = \frac{\lambda z}{\lambda+1} {}_2F_1(1, 1-\lambda; 2+\lambda; -z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  to be in the class *DCP*.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification.** 30C45.

### 1. Introduction and Characterization of the class *DCP*

**Definition 1.1.** A domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a *starlike domain* with respect to a point  $z_0 \in \Omega$  if the line segment joining  $z_0$  to every other points  $z \in \Omega$  lies entirely in  $\Omega$ . Geometrically the requirement is that every point of  $\Omega$  be visible from  $z_0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a *convex domain* if it is starlike with respect to each of its point. In other words the domain  $\Omega$  is convex if the line segments joining any two of its points lie entirely in  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be *convex in the direction  $e^{i\phi}$* ,  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ , if and only if for every  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  the set

$$\Omega \cap \{a + te^{i\phi} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is either connected or empty.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the set of all analytic functions in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Then we define some standard subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} &= \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f \text{ is univalent and } f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1\} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(\mathbb{D}) \text{ is convex}\} \\ \mathcal{S}^* &= \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(\mathbb{D}) \text{ is starlike with respect to the origin}\} \\ \mathcal{K}(\phi) &= \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(\mathbb{D}) \text{ is convex in the direction } e^{i\phi}, \phi \in \mathbb{R}\}\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.5.** It is well known that if  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $f$  maps each circle  $|z| = r < 1$  on to a closed curve  $\Gamma$  which bounds a convex domain. In other words if  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $g(z) = f(rz) \in \mathcal{C}$  for  $0 < r < 1$ . Similar result holds also for the class  $\mathcal{S}^*$ . That is if  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then  $f$  maps each circle  $|z| = r < 1$  on to a closed curve  $\Gamma$  which bounds a starlike (with respect to the origin) domain, i.e.  $g(z) = f(rz)$  is also starlike for  $0 < r < 1$ . But unlike the classes  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^*$ , the class  $\mathcal{K}(\phi)$  does not entertain this property. In other words  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\phi)$  does not necessarily imply  $f(rz) \in \mathcal{K}(\phi)$  for  $0 < r < 1$ .

**Remark 1.6. (Goodman - Saff Conjecture)** In [3] and [5] it has been proved that for  $r_0 := \sqrt{2} - 1 < r < 1$  generally  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\phi)$  does not imply  $f(rz) \in \mathcal{K}(\phi)$ . But Goodman and Saff conjectured that such an implication may hold for  $0 < r \leq r_0$ . In 1987 J. Brown [2] proved that

$$f \in \mathcal{K}(\phi) \Rightarrow f(rz) \in \mathcal{K}(\psi), \psi \in I(f),$$

where  $I(f) \subset [0, 2\pi]$  is a set of positive measure. But unfortunately it was not shown that  $\phi \in I(f)$  and thus the Goodman - Saff conjecture was still open at that time.

In order to solve the Goodman-Saff conjecture Ruscheweyh and Salinas [9] introduced the class of functions which preserve the directional convexity under the Hadamard product.

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be two functions in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the Hadamard product of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$ , denoted by  $(f * g)(z)$ , is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (f * g)(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

**Definition 1.8.** A function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  is called a Direction-Convexity-Preserving ( $g \in DCP$ ) if and only if for every  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$  and for every  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\phi)$ , the function  $g * f$  is convex in the direction  $e^{i\phi}$ .

**Remark 1.9.** Beside the members of  $\mathcal{K}(\phi)$ , we also use the term direction convex or convex in the direction  $e^{i\phi}$  also for not normalized functions of the class  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**Remark 1.10.** Another remark on the class  $\mathcal{K}(\phi)$  is that unlike some other subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$  the class  $\mathcal{K}(\phi)$  is not rotational invariant. That is  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\phi)$  and  $\alpha \neq 0, \pi(\text{mod } 2\pi)$  do not always imply that  $e^{i\alpha}f(e^{-i\alpha}z) \in \mathcal{K}(\phi)$ . As a result  $f \in DCP$  does not in general imply that the function  $e^{i\alpha}g(z)$  is also in  $DCP$ .

In [9] one can find a complete description of the members of  $DCP$ , namely

$$(1.2) \quad g \in DCP \iff g(z) + itz g'(z) \in \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Further it is known that  $DCP$  functions are convex univalent. The following criterion for membership in  $DCP$  is a slight variant of [9, Theorem 4] (compare [11])

**Lemma 1.11.** *Let  $g$  be analytic in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , convex univalent and let  $u(t) := \text{Re}(g(e^{it}))$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g \in DCP$  if and only if*

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma_u := (u''(t))^2 - u'(t)u'''(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Remark 1.12.** The class  $DCP$  is not an isolated one but has a bearing on the geometric function theory and has been used to prove various results in this field of mathematics, for instance the preservation of convex harmonic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and of Jordan curves in the plane with convex interior domain. It has been proved in the literature that some well known and most applicable functions of a complex variable like exponential function  $e^{rz}$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$  belongs to the class  $DCP$ . We refer to [7], [9], [10] for more details.

## 2. DCP property of de la Vallée Poussin Kernels

In this section we further enlarge the class  $DCP$  by establishing a criterion for closed convex hull of the de la Vallée Poussin kernels  $V_\lambda(z) = \frac{\lambda z}{\lambda+1} F_1(1, 1-\lambda; 2+\lambda; -z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  to be in the class  $DCP$ . The classical definition of the de la Vallée Poussin kernel of order  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_n(t) &:= \frac{2^n(n!)^2}{(2n)!} (1 + \cos(t))^n \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{2n}{n}} \sum_{k=-n}^n \binom{2n}{n+k} e^{ikt}. \end{aligned}$$

But here we are interested in the analytic version of the de la Vallée Poussin kernel

$$(2.2) \quad V_n(z) = \frac{1}{\binom{2n}{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2n}{n+k} z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that

$$(2.3) \quad 2\operatorname{Re} V_n(e^{it}) = \omega_n(t) - 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Ruscheweyh and Suffridge [12] extended the range of the parameter  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of the de la Vallée Poussin kernel to include all positive real numbers  $\lambda$ . We collect some of their results in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** (a) For  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad V_\lambda(z) = \frac{\lambda z}{\lambda + 1} {}_2F_1(1, 1 - \lambda; 2 + \lambda; -z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Furthermore, these functions extend continuously onto  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , and we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} w_\lambda(t) &= \operatorname{Re} V_\lambda(e^{it}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} + 2^{\lambda-1} \frac{(\Gamma(\lambda + 1))^2}{\Gamma(2\lambda + 1)} (1 + \cos(t))^\lambda, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $V_\lambda(z)$  is analytic and convex univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

(c) For  $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $w_\lambda(t)$  is strictly periodically monotone and three times continuously differentiable. Moreover,

$$(2.6) \quad w_\lambda''(t) w_\lambda''(t) - w_\lambda'''(t) w_\lambda'(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(d)  $V_\lambda(z)$  is in DCP for  $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

In this section, we give a criterion for closed convex hulls of the de la Vallée Poussin kernels  $V_\lambda(z)$  to be in DCP. But first we shall prove a result involving  $\omega_\lambda(t)$ , which we know from **Lemma 2.1** to be three times continuously differentiable for  $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $\lambda_k, \lambda_j \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , set

$$(2.7) \quad w_{jk}(t) = w_{\lambda_k}''(t) w_{\lambda_j}''(t) - \frac{1}{2}(w_{\lambda_k}'''(t) w_{\lambda_j}'(t) + w_{\lambda_k}'(t) w_{\lambda_j}''''(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then the following hold:

(a) Suppose  $\lambda_k = \lambda_j \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad w_{jk}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(b) Suppose  $\lambda_k \geq \lambda_j \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then (2.8) holds if and only if

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_j \leq \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{16\lambda_j - 7}\right).$$

**Proof:** Part (a) is a particular case of part(b), and it follows directly from part(c) of **Lemma 2.1** if we take  $\lambda = \lambda_k = \lambda_j$  in (2.6). To prove part (b), we first note that if we set  $A = \lambda_k - \lambda_j$ , then (2.9) holds if and only if  $A$  lies in the closed interval

$$(2.10) \quad \left[0, \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{16\lambda_j - 7}\right)\right].$$

Hence, it is enough to prove that (2.8) holds if and only if  $A$  lies in the above closed interval. Now after simplification (using Mathematica), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{jk}(t) = & -K\{3\lambda_j^2 + 3\lambda_k^2 - 6\lambda_j\lambda_k - 2\lambda_j - 2\lambda_k \\
 & + 2(-2\lambda_j^2 - 2\lambda_k^2 + 4\lambda_j\lambda_k + \lambda_j + \lambda_k - 2)\cos(t) \\
 & + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2\cos(2t)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 K = & \frac{1}{16} \frac{2^{\lambda_k+\lambda_j-2} \Gamma(\lambda_k+1)^2 \Gamma(\lambda_j+1)^2}{\Gamma(2\lambda_k+1)\Gamma(2\lambda_j+1)} \\
 & \left( \lambda_k \lambda_j (1 + \cos(t))^{\lambda_k+\lambda_j} \sec^4\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $K$  takes non-negative values for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $w_{jk}(t) \geq 0$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 3\lambda_j^2 + 3\lambda_k^2 - 6\lambda_j\lambda_k - 2\lambda_j - 2\lambda_k \\
 & + 2(-2\lambda_j^2 - 2\lambda_k^2 + 4\lambda_j\lambda_k + \lambda_j + \lambda_k - 2)\cos(t) \\
 & + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2\cos(2t) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we now set  $\lambda_k = A + \lambda_j$  and  $y = \cos(t)$  in the above inequality, we get, after simplification,

$$-A + A^2 - 2\lambda_j + (A - 2 - 2A^2 + 2\lambda_j)y + A^2y^2 \leq 0.$$

The left side is a quadratic expression, say  $F(y)$ , in  $y$ , and  $y = \cos(t)$  takes every value between -1 and 1. Let

$$\mathcal{X} = \{A \geq 0 : F(y) \leq 0 \text{ for } -1 \leq y \leq 1\}.$$

Then  $w_{jk}(t) \geq 0$  for all real values of  $t$  if and only if  $A = \lambda_k - \lambda_j$  lies in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Now  $F(y)$  is linear in  $y$  if  $A = 0$  and is quadratic in  $y$  with positive leading coefficient if  $A > 0$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{X} = \{A \geq 0 : F(1) \text{ and } F(-1) \leq 0\}.$$

It is easily seen that  $F(1) = -2$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{X} = \{A \geq 0 : F(-1) \leq 0\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(-1) = & 4 \left( A^2 - \frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_j \right) \\
 = & 4 \left( A - \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sqrt{16\lambda_j - 7}) \right) \\
 & \left( A - \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{(16\lambda_j - 7)}) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,  $\lambda_j \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $A \geq 0$  by our assumption. If  $A = 0$ , then  $F(-1) \leq 0$  and so  $0 \in \mathcal{X}$ . If  $A > 0$ , then  $A - \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sqrt{16\lambda_j - 7}) > 0$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(-1) \leq 0 &\Leftrightarrow A - \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{16\lambda_j - 7}) \leq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow A \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{16\lambda_j - 7}). \end{aligned}$$

We thus see that  $\mathcal{X}$  is the closed interval represented by (2.10). Hence  $w_{j_k}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $A$  lies in the closed interval (2.10), that is if and only if (2.9) holds. This completes the proof of part (b).

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda := \overline{\text{co}} \left\{ V_{\lambda_k}(z) : \lambda \leq \lambda_k \leq \lambda + \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \sqrt{16\lambda - 7} \right) \right\} \subseteq DCP.$$

**Proof:** The set  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is by definition compact. Hence in order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the finite sums

$$V = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k V_{\lambda_k} \in DCP$$

for  $\mu_k \geq 0$ . Now  $V$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , because, by **Lemma 2.1**, the functions  $V_{\lambda_k}$  have the same properties. Set

$$u(t) := \text{Re } V(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k \text{Re } V_{\lambda_k}(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k w_{\lambda_k}(t).$$

Again by **Lemma 2.1**,  $w_{\lambda_k}(t)$  is three times continuously differentiable and strictly periodically monotone, with the function decreasing on  $(0, \pi)$  and increasing on  $(\pi, 2\pi)$ . Hence  $u(t)$  is also three times continuously differentiable. Also, since  $\mu_k \geq 0$ ,  $u(t)$  is strictly periodically monotone. Therefore, by **Lemma 1.11**  $V \in DCP$  if and only if

$$(2.11) \quad u''(t)u'(t) - u'''(t)u(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad (u''(t))^2 - u'''(t)u'(t) &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k w''_{\lambda_k}(t) \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k w'''_{\lambda_k}(t) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k w'_{\lambda_k}(t) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \mu_k \mu_j w_{j_k}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad w_{j_k}(t) = w''_{\lambda_k}(t)w''_{\lambda_j}(t) - \frac{1}{2}(w'''_{\lambda_k}(t)w'_{\lambda_j}(t) + w'_{\lambda_k}(t)w'''_{\lambda_j}(t)).$$

Since  $\lambda \leq \lambda_k$ ,  $\lambda_j \leq \lambda + \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{16\lambda - 7})$ , we have  $w_{jk} \geq 0$  by **Lemma 2.1**. Also  $\mu_k, \mu_j \geq 0$ . Hence (2.11) holds, thus completing the proof of the theorem.

Certainly the requirement

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{j,k=1}^n \mu_k \mu_j w_{jk}(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } \mu_j, \mu_k \geq 0$$

can hold even if not all  $w_{jk}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . One can expect a sharp bound (necessary condition) for inequality (2.14). Unfortunately, because of the very complicated nature of the functions  $w_{jk}$ , it is not easy to get a sharp condition. However, the following lemma will help to improve the previous result under certain conditions.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\epsilon_k$  be numbers in  $(0, 1)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k = 1$  and*

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{\epsilon_k}{1 - \epsilon_j} \mu_j^2 w_{jj}(t) + 2 \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk}(t) + \frac{\epsilon_j}{1 - \epsilon_k} \mu_k^2 w_{kk}(t) \geq 0$$

for  $j \neq k$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\sum_{j,k=1}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** By (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \left( \frac{\epsilon_k}{1 - \epsilon_j} \mu_j^2 w_{jj} + 2 \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} + \frac{\epsilon_j}{1 - \epsilon_k} \mu_k^2 w_{kk} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \left( \frac{\epsilon_k}{1 - \epsilon_j} \right) \mu_j^2 w_{jj} + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \epsilon_k \right) \left( \frac{\mu_j^2}{1 - \epsilon_j} \right) w_{jj} + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j^2 w_{jj} + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} \\ &= 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j^2 w_{jj} + \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j^2 w_{jj} + \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} \geq 0$$

and the lemma is proved.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\lambda_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Suppose there exists numbers  $\epsilon_k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k = 1$  and

$$(2.16) \quad \omega_{jk}(t) \geq -\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_j \epsilon_k \omega_{jj}(t) \omega_{kk}(t)}{(1 - \epsilon_j)(1 - \epsilon_k)}}$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $j \neq k$ . Then

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k V_{\lambda_k}(z) \in DCP$$

for all  $\mu_k \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** As in Theorem 2.3, the function

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k V_{\lambda_k}(z) \in DCP$$

if and only if

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{j,k=1}^n \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk}(t) \geq 0.$$

And by **Lemma 2.4**, the above inequality holds if

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{\epsilon_k}{1 - \epsilon_j} \mu_j^2 w_{jj} + 2 \mu_j \mu_k w_{jk} + \frac{\epsilon_j}{1 - \epsilon_k} \mu_k^2 w_{kk} \geq 0$$

for  $j \neq k$ . It was shown in **Lemma 2.2** that  $w_{jj}, w_{kk} \geq 0$ . Hence if  $\mu_k = 0$  or if  $w_{jk} \geq 0$ , then (2.19) is obvious. If  $w_{jj} = 0$ , then  $w_{jk} \geq 0$  by (2.16) and (2.19) again holds. So suppose  $w_{jj} > 0$ ,  $\mu_k > 0$ , and  $w_{jk} < 0$ . Then by (2.16),

$$(2.20) \quad |w_{jk}(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_j \epsilon_k w_{jj}(t) w_{kk}(t)}{(1 - \epsilon_j)(1 - \epsilon_k)}}.$$

Also, (2.19) holds if and only if

$$(2.21) \quad \left(\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_k}\right)^2 + 2 \frac{(1 - \epsilon_j) w_{jk}}{\epsilon_k w_{jj}} \left(\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_k}\right) + \frac{\epsilon_j (1 - \epsilon_j) w_{kk}}{\epsilon_k (1 - \epsilon_k) w_{jj}} \geq 0.$$

Let  $\Delta$  denote the discriminant of the quadratic equation

$$X^2 + 2 \frac{(1 - \epsilon_j) w_{jk}}{\epsilon_k w_{jj}} X + \frac{\epsilon_j (1 - \epsilon_j) w_{kk}}{\epsilon_k (1 - \epsilon_k) w_{jj}} = 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{4} \Delta = \left(\frac{(1 - \epsilon_j) w_{jk}}{\epsilon_k w_{jj}}\right)^2 - \frac{\epsilon_j (1 - \epsilon_j) w_{kk}}{\epsilon_k (1 - \epsilon_k) w_{jj}} \leq 0,$$

by (2.20). This proves (2.21) and with it the theorem.

If we take  $n = 2$  in Theorem 2.5, then  $1 - \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$  and  $1 - \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_1$  and we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\lambda_j, \lambda_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . If*

$$w_{jk}(t) \geq -\sqrt{w_{jj}(t) w_{kk}(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

*then*

$$\mu_j V_{\lambda_j}(z) + \mu_k V_{\lambda_k}(z) \in DCP$$

*for all  $\mu_j, \mu_k \geq 0$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, editors, *Handbook of mathematical functions*, Dover, New York, 1970.
- [2] J.E. Brown, *Level sets for functions convex in one direction*, Proc. Amer.Math.Soc.**100** (1997), 442–446.
- [3] A.W. Goodman and E.B. Saff, *On univalent functions convex in one direction*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1979), 183 - 187.
- [4] K.P. Hadeler, *On copositive matrices*, Linear algebra and its applications **49** (1983), 79–89.
- [5] W. Hengartner and G.Schober *A remarks on level curves for domains convex in one direction*, Applicable Anal.**3** (1973), 101–106.
- [6] C.M. Pokhrel, *DCP property of a Certain Combination of de la Vallee Poussin Kernels*, The Nepali Mathematical Sciences Report, Vol. **29** No. **1** and **2**, (2009) 21-27.
- [7] C.M. Pokhrel, St. Ruscheweyh and G.B.Thapa, *The DCP Radius of the Exponential Function*, Complex variable Vol. **50**, No. **7-11**, 10 June - 15 September (2005), 645 -651.
- [8] G. Pólya and I.J. Schoenberg, Remarks on the de -la Vallée Poussin means and convex conformal maps of the circle, *Pacific J. Math.* **8**, (1958), 295–333.
- [9] St. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas, *On the preservation of direction convexity and the Goodman - Saff conjecture*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. **14** (1989), 63 - 73.
- [10] St. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas, *On the preservation of periodic Monotonicity*, Constr. Approx. **8** (1992), 129 - 140.
- [11] St. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas, *On the DCP Property of Exponential Partial Sums*, *J. Comp. Anal. Appl.*, (to appear).
- [12] St. Ruscheweyh and T.J. Suffridge, *A continuous extension of the de-la Vallée Poussin means*, J. Anal. Math., **89**(2003),Pp. 155-167.
- [13] I.J. Schoenberg, *On variation diminishing approximation method: On numerical approximation (R.E. Langer, ed.)*, Univ. Wisconsin Press (1959), 249 - 279.