CRITICAL SOURCE AND CRITICAL WIDTH FOR A PARABOLIC QUENCHING PROBLEM IN AN INFINITE STRIP

C. Y. CHAN AND P. TRAGOONSIRISAK

Department of Mathematics, University of Louisiana at Lafayette Lafayette, Louisiana 70504, USA Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fort Valley State University Fort Valley, Georgia 31030, USA

ABSTRACT. This article studies the quenching phenomena of a semilinear parabolic initialboundary value problem in an infinite strip. It proves that there exists a unique number α^* (corresponding to the strength of the source) such that the solution u exists globally for $\alpha < \alpha^*$ and quenches in a finite time for $\alpha > \alpha^*$. A computational method is devised to find α^* . Also, a method to compute the critical width (corresponding to the number L^* such that u exists globally for $L < L^*$ and quenches in a finite time for $L > L^*$) of the infinite strip is given.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 35K61, 35B35, 35K57

1. Introduction

Let α , T and L be positive real numbers, $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N)$ be a point in the N-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , $S = (-L, L) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ be the infinite strip, and $\partial S = \{x : x_1 \in \{-L, L\}\}$. We would like to study the quenching phenomena of the following semilinear parabolic initial-boundary value problem in an infinite strip:

(1.1)
$$u_t - \Delta u = \alpha f(u) \text{ in } S \times (0,T],$$
$$u(x,0) = 0 \text{ on } \bar{S}, u(x,t) = 0 \text{ on } \partial S \times (0,T],$$

where \bar{S} is the closure of S, f is a given function such that $\lim_{u\to c^-} f(u) = \infty$ for some positive constant c, and f(u) and its derivatives f'(u) and f''(u) are positive for $0 \leq u < c$. A similar problem with a concentrated nonlinear source was studied by Chan and Tragoonsirisak [1], [2], [3].

A solution u of (1.1) is said to quench in a finite time if there exists a number $t_q \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup\left\{u(x,t):x\in\mathbb{R}^N\right\}\to c^- \text{ as } t\to t_q.$$

In Section 2, we prove that there exists a unique number α^* (which corresponds to the critical strength of the nonlinear source) such that u exists globally for $\alpha < \alpha^*$, and u quenches in a finite time for $\alpha > \alpha^*$. We also give a computational method for

Received October 26, 2014

1061-5369 \$15.00 ©Dynamic Publishers, Inc.

finding the critical value α^* . Dai and Gu [4] studied the above problem. They showed that u exists globally for $L < L^*$ and u quenches in a finite time for $L > L^*$; they did not compute L^* . In Section 3, we give a method to compute L^* .

2. The Critical Value α^*

From now on and for the rest of this paper, let $(x, \tilde{x}) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N)$ where x stands for x_1 , and S = (0, L). Due to symmetry, the problem (1.1) is equivalent to the following one-dimensional problem:

(2.1)
$$u_t - u_{xx} = \alpha f(u) \text{ in } S \times (0, T],$$
$$u(x, 0) = 0 \text{ on } [0, L], u_x(0, t) = 0 = u(L, t) \text{ for } 0 < t \le T$$

By using Green's second identity, the problem (2.1) is converted into the nonlinear integral equation,

(2.2)
$$u(x,t) = \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^L g(x,t;\xi,\tau) f(u(\xi,\tau)) d\xi d\tau,$$

where $g(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ is Green's function corresponding to the problem (2.1) and is given by

(2.3)
$$= \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\cos \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2L} \right) \left(\cos \frac{(2n-1)\pi \xi}{2L} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{(2n-1)^2 \pi^2 (t-\tau)}{4L^2} \right)$$

(cf. Chan and Tragoonsirisak [1]).

Let

(2.4)
$$v(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_0^L g(x,t;\xi,\tau) \, d\xi d\tau.$$

Lemma 2.1. For any $x \in D$, v is positive and is a strictly increasing function of t. Furthermore, $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(x,t)$ exists.

Proof. For any $x \in D$, let

$$w(x,t) = v(x,t+h) - v(x,t),$$

where h is any positive number less than T. Then,

$$w(x,t) = \int_0^{t+h} \int_0^L g(x,t+h;\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau - \int_0^t \int_0^L g(x,t;\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau.$$

Let $\sigma = \tau - h$. Then,

$$\int_{0}^{t+h} \int_{0}^{L} g(x,t+h;\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau$$

= $\int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{L} g(x,t+h;\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau + \int_{h}^{t+h} \int_{0}^{L} g(x,t+h;\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau$

$$= \int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{L} g(x, t+h; \xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{L} g(x, t+h; \xi, \sigma+h) d\xi d\sigma$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{L} g(x, t+h; \xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{L} g(x, t; \xi, \sigma) d\xi d\sigma$$

since $g(x, t+h; \xi, \sigma+h) = g(x, t; \xi, \sigma)$. Thus for $0 < t \le T - h$,

$$w(x,t) = \int_0^h \int_0^L g(x,t+h;\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau > 0$$

since for $x \in D$ and t > 0, $g(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ is positive (cf. Chan and Tragoonsirisak [1]). Thus for any $x \in D$, v(x, t) is a strictly increasing function of t.

From (2.3) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} v(x,t) &\leq \frac{2}{L} \int_0^t \int_0^L \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{(2n-1)^2 \pi^2 (t-\tau)}{4L^2}\right) d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{2}{L} \int_0^t \int_0^L \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2 (t-\tau)}{4L^2}\right) d\xi d\tau \\ &= 2 \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 \pi^2 (t-\tau)}{4L^2}\right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left[-n^2 \pi^2 \left(t-\tau\right) / \left(4L^2\right)\right]$ converges uniformly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(x,t) &\leq 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \exp\left(-\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}\left(t-\tau\right)}{4L^{2}}\right) d\tau \\ &= 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4L^{2}}{n^{2}\pi^{2}} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}t}{4L^{2}}\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4L^{2}}{n^{2}\pi^{2}} \\ &= \frac{8L^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} = \pi^2/6$ (cf. Stromberg [5, p. 518]), we have

$$v(x,t) \le \frac{4L^2}{3}.$$

Thus, the lemma is proved.

Theorem 2.2. There exists a unique α^* such that u exists globally for $\alpha < \alpha^*$, and u quenches in a finite time for $\alpha > \alpha^*$.

Proof. For $u(x,t) \leq c/2$, it follows from (2.2) and f'(u) > 0 that

$$u(x,t) \le \alpha f\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)v(x,t).$$

From Lemma 2.1, v(x,t) is bounded by some positive number M. Then,

$$u(x,t) \le \alpha f\left(\frac{c}{2}\right) M.$$

By choosing

$$\alpha \le \frac{c}{2f\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)M},$$

we have $u(x,t) \leq c/2$ for $t \in (0,\infty)$. Thus, the solution u of the problem (2.1) exists globally for α sufficiently small.

For any fixed $x \in D$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$u(x,t) \ge \alpha f(0) v(x,t).$$

From Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive number K such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(x,t) = K$. Thus, there exists $\tilde{t} \in (0,\infty)$ such that for $t \geq \tilde{t}$, $v(x,t) \geq K/2$. We have

$$u\left(x,t\right) \ge \frac{\alpha f\left(0\right)K}{2}.$$

By choosing

$$\alpha \ge \frac{2c}{f\left(0\right)K},$$

we have $u(x,t) \ge c$. Thus, the solution u of the problem (2.1) quenches in a finite time for α sufficiently large.

Let us consider the sequence $\{u_n\}$ given by $u_0(x,t) = 0$, and for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$,

$$u_{n+1}(x,t) = \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^L g\left(x,t;\xi,\tau\right) f\left(u_n\left(\xi,\tau\right)\right) d\xi d\tau$$

Using mathematical induction, we have $0 < u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < \cdots < u_n < u_{n+1}$ in $S \times (0, T]$. Since u_n is an increasing sequence as n increases, (2.2) follows from the Monotone Convergence Theorem (cf. Stromberg [5, pp. 266–268]) with $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(x,t) = u(x,t)$.

To show that the larger the α , the larger the solution u, let $\alpha > \beta$, and consider the sequence $\{v_n\}$ given by $v_0(x,t) = 0$, and for n = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$v_{n+1}(x,t) = \beta \int_0^t \int_0^L g(x,t;\xi,\tau) f(v_n(\xi,\tau)) d\xi d\tau.$$

Similarly,

$$v(x,t) = \beta \int_0^t \int_0^L g(x,t;\xi,\tau) f(v(\xi,\tau)) d\xi d\tau$$

where $v(x,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} v_n(x,t)$. Since for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, u_n > v_n$, we have $u \ge v$. Hence, the solution u is a nondecreasing function of α .

Since u exists globally for α sufficiently small, and quenches in a finite time for α sufficiently large, there exists a unique value α^* such that u exists globally for $\alpha < \alpha^*$, and u quenches in a finite time for $\alpha > \alpha^*$.

To find the critical value α^* , we consider the steady state of the problem (2.1). We state without proof the following result since its proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4 of Chan and Tragoonsirisak [2] for a problem with a concentrated nonlinear source.

Lemma 2.3. If $u(x,t) \leq C$ for some constant $C \in (0,c)$, then u(x,t) converges from below to a solution $U(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} u(x,t)$ of the nonlinear two-point boundary value problem:

(2.5)
$$\begin{aligned} -U''(x) &= \alpha f(U) \ in \ (0,L), \\ U'(0) &= 0, \ U(L) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

(2.6)
$$U(x) = \alpha \int_0^L G(x;\xi) f(U(\xi)) d\xi$$

where

(2.7)
$$G(x;\xi) = \begin{cases} L - \xi, \ x \le \xi, \\ L - x, \ x > \xi. \end{cases}$$

is Green's function corresponding to the problem (2.5).

From (2.6) and (2.7),

(2.8)
$$U(x) = \alpha (L-x) \int_0^x f(U(\xi)) d\xi + \alpha \int_x^L (L-\xi) f(U(\xi)) d\xi$$

Lemma 2.4. The solution U(x) of the problem (2.5) attains its maximum at x = 0. Proof. From (2.8),

$$U'(x) = \alpha (L - x) f (U(x)) - \alpha \int_0^x f (U(\xi)) d\xi - \alpha (L - x) f (U(x))$$

= $-\alpha \int_0^x f (U(\xi)) d\xi < 0.$

Thus, U(x) is a strictly decreasing function of x, and the lemma is proved.

To find computationally the critical value α^* , let us find its upper bound. From (2.8),

(2.9)
$$U(0) = \alpha \int_0^L (L - \xi) f(U(\xi)) d\xi < c.$$

Since f(u) and its derivative f'(u) are positive for $0 \le u < c$,

(2.10)
$$\alpha \int_0^L (L-\xi) f(U(\xi)) d\xi \ge \alpha f(0) \int_0^L (L-\xi) d\xi = \frac{\alpha L^2 f(0)}{2}.$$

From (2.9) and (2.10),

(2.11)
$$\frac{\alpha L^2 f(0)}{2} < c.$$

Thus, an upper bound $\bar{\alpha}$ for α^* is given by

(2.12)
$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{2c}{L^2 f(0)}$$

From Lemma 2.4, it is sufficient to consider (2.8) at x = 0 in order to determine whether the solution quenches. From (2.8), we construct the sequence $\{U_k\}$ given by $U_0(x) = 0$, and for k = 1, 2, 3, ...,

(2.13)
$$U_k(x) = \alpha \left(L - x\right) \int_0^x f\left(U_{k-1}(\xi)\right) d\xi + \alpha \int_x^L \left(L - \xi\right) f\left(U_{k-1}(\xi)\right) d\xi.$$

We give below the steps to compute α^* by using Mathematica version 9:

Step 1: We input the value L, the function f(u), and the value c.

- Step 2: Let $\alpha_low^{(n)}$ and $\alpha_up^{(n)}$ be the (n + 1)th estimates of lower and upper bounds of α^* respectively, and $\alpha = (\alpha_low^{(n)} + \alpha_up^{(n)})/2$ be the (n + 1)th approximation of α^* . Initially, we let a lower bound $\alpha_low^{(0)}$ be zero, and compute an upper bound $\alpha_up^{(0)}$ of α^* from (2.12).
- Step 3: Let h = L/m, where *m* denotes the number of subdivisions with j = 0, 1, 2, ..., m. From (2.13),

(2.14)
$$U_{k}(jh) = \alpha \left(L - jh\right) \int_{0}^{jh} f\left(U_{k-1}\left(\xi\right)\right) d\xi + \alpha \int_{jh}^{L} \left(L - \xi\right) f\left(U_{k-1}\left(\xi\right)\right) d\xi$$
with $U_{0}(x) = 0$ for $0 \le x \le L$.

- Step 4: At the *k*th iteration, if $U_k(0) \ge c$, then we let $\alpha_low^{(n+1)} = \alpha_low^{(n)}$, $\alpha_up^{(n+1)} = \alpha$, and go to Steps 2 to 4; otherwise, we compute $U_k(jh)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m$. If $\max_{j=0,1,2,\ldots,m}(U_k(jh) - U_{k-1}(jh)) < \delta$ for a given tolerance δ , then the sequence $\{U_k\}$ converges; we let $\alpha_low^{(n+1)} = \alpha, \alpha_up^{(n+1)} = \alpha_up^{(n)}$, and go to Steps 2 to 4. However, if $\max_{j=0,1,2,\ldots,m}(U_k(jh) - U_{k-1}(jh)) \ge \delta$, then we use the interpolation to approximate $U_k(x)$ and continue the iterative process for the (k+1)th iteration.
- **Step 5:** After *n* iterations, if $|\alpha_u u p^{(n)} \alpha_u low^{(n)}| < \epsilon$ for a given tolerance ϵ , then $(\alpha_u low^{(n)} + \alpha_u u p^{(n)})/2$ is accepted as the final estimate of α^* .

For illustrations of the above computational scheme, let L = 2 and $f(u) = 1/(c-u)^p$, where c and p are positive numbers such that $p \ge 1$. From (2.12),

$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{c^{p+1}}{2}.$$

Using Steps 1 to 5 with $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$, $\delta = 10^{-6}$, and m = 20, we obtain the following tables for α^* (to four significant figures). For c = 1, we get the following table:

p	α^*
1	.1251
2	.07413
3	.05276

For p = 1, we get the following table:

с	α^*
2	.5004
3	1.126
4	2.002

3. The Critical L^*

The problem (1.1) was studied by Dai and Gu [4]. They showed that u exists globally for $L < L^*$ and u quenches in a finite time for $L > L^*$. In this section, we give a method to compute L^* . From (2.11),

$$L^2 < \frac{2c}{\alpha f(0)}.$$

Thus, an upper bound \overline{L} for L^* is given by

(3.1)
$$\bar{L} = \sqrt{\frac{2c}{\alpha f(0)}}.$$

We give the following steps to compute L^* by using Mathematica version 9:

Step 1: We input the value α , the function f(u), and the value c.

- **Step 2:** Let $L_low^{(n)}$ and $L_up^{(n)}$ be the (n + 1)th estimates of lower and upper bounds of L^* respectively, and $L = (L_low^{(n)} + L_up^{(n)})/2$ be the (n + 1)th approximation of L^* . Initially, we let a lower bound $L_low^{(0)}$ be zero, and compute an upper bound $L_up^{(0)}$ of L^* from (3.1).
- **Step 3:** Let h = L/m, where *m* denotes the number of subdivisions with j = 0, 1, 2, ..., m. We use (2.14) with $U_0(x) = 0$ for $0 \le x \le L$.
- Step 4: At the *k*th iteration, if $U_k(0) \ge c$, then we let $L_low^{(n+1)} = L_low^{(n)}$, $L_up^{(n+1)} = L$, and go to Steps 2 to 4; otherwise, we compute $U_k(jh)$ for j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m. If $\max_{j=0,1,2,...,m}(U_k(jh) - U_{k-1}(jh)) < \delta$ for a given tolerance δ , then the sequence $\{U_k\}$ converges; we let $L_low^{(n+1)} = L$, $L_up^{(n+1)} = L_up^{(n)}$, and go to Steps 2 to 4. However, if $\max_{j=0,1,2,...,m}(U_k(jh) - U_{k-1}(jh)) \ge \delta$, then we use the interpolation to approximate $U_k(x)$ and continue the iterative process for the (k + 1)th iteration.
- Step 5: After *n* iterations, if $|L_u p^{(n)} L_u low^{(n)}| < \epsilon$ for a given tolerance ϵ , then $(L_u low^{(n)} + L_u p^{(n)})/2$ is accepted as the final estimate of L^* .

For illustrations of the above computational scheme, let $\alpha = 1$ and $f(u) = 1/(c-u)^p$, where c and p are positive numbers such that $p \ge 1$. From (3.1),

$$\bar{L} = \sqrt{2c^{p+1}}.$$

Using Steps 1 to 5 with $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$, $\delta = 10^{-6}$, and m = 20, we obtain the following tables for L^* (to four significant figures). For c = 1, we get the following table:

p	L^*
1	.7077
2	.5448
3	.4597

For p = 1, we get the following table:

С	L^*
2	1.415
3	2.122
4	2.830

REFERENCES

- C. Y. Chan and P. Tragoonsirisak, A quenching problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source in an infinite strip, *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, 20:505–518, 2011.
- [2] C. Y. Chan and P. Tragoonsirisak, Quenching criteria for a parabolic problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source in an infinite strip, Quart. Appl. Math., 71:541–548, 2013.
- [3] C. Y. Chan and P. Tragoonsirisak, A computational method for the quenching time for a parabolic problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source in an infinite strip, *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, 22:613–620, 2013.
- [4] Q. Y. Dai and Y. G. Gu, A short note on quenching phenomena for semilinear parabolic equations, J. Differential Equations, 137:240–250, 1997.
- [5] K. R. Stromberg, An Introduction to Classical Real Analysis, Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1981, pp. 266–268, and 518.