THE LOMAX–D–GENERALIZED–WEIBULL CUMULATIVE SIGMOID WITH APPLICATIONS TO THE THEORY OF COMPUTER VIRUSES PROPAGATION. IV

TODORKA TERZIEVA¹, ANTON ILIEV², ASEN RAHNEV³, AND NIKOLAY KYURKCHIEV⁴

^{1,2,3,4}Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics University of Plovdiv Paisii Hilendarski
24, Tzar Asen Str., 4000 Plovdiv, BULGARIA

ABSTRACT: In [1] the authors look at a new probability model, which is a remarkable combination of the Lomax and generalized Weibull distributions based on an exponent odd function.

The authors' assertion that probability distribution produces very good results in approximating specific data from the field of hydrology has encouraged us to conduct further studies on "saturation" in Hausdorff sense of the corresponding commutative function to the horizontal asymptote hoping to partially contribute to uncovering some of the "intrinsic properties" of this apparently good model.

We will show that the proposed model can be successfully used with success (of course, after extensive research) in the field of analysis of Computer Viruses Propagation.

We also analyze some experimental data: the cumulative number of Welchia attackers; data of Conficker propagation in 2008; the cumulative number of users attacked by Trojan-Ransom malware.

Numerical examples, illustrating our results are presented using programming environment CAS Mathematica.

AMS Subject Classification: 41A46

Key Words: Lomax–D–generalized Weibull cumulative sigmoid, Heaviside step– function $h_{t_0}(t)$, Hausdorff distance

Received:June 20, 2019;Accepted:September 1, 2019;Published:October 3, 2019doi:10.12732/npsc.v27i3&4.1Dynamic Publishers, Inc., Acad.Publishers, Ltd.https://acadsol.eu/npsc

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this note we study the Hausdorff approximation of the Heaviside function $h_{t_0}(t)$ by the cdf of the Lomax–D–generalized Weibull distribution (cdfLDGW), defined by Hussain, Bakouch and Chesneau [1].

The model have been tested with real-world data.

Definition 1. Hussain, Bakouch and Chesneau [1] developed the following cdf of the new Lomax–D–generalized Weibull distribution for $t \ge 0$:

$$M(t) = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{e^{\theta((1-\lambda t^{\theta})^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1)} - 1}{e^{\theta} - 1}\right)^{-\beta}$$
(1)

where $\lambda \leq 0, \, \theta > 0, \, \beta > 0$.

Definition 2. The shifted Heaviside step function is defined by

$$h_{t_0}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < t_0, \\ [0,1], & \text{if } t = t_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } t > t_0 \end{cases}$$
(2)

Definition 3. [2] The Hausdorff distance (the H-distance) $\rho(f,g)$ between two interval functions f, g on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, is the distance between their completed graphs F(f) and F(g) considered as closed subsets of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. More precisely,

$$\rho(f,g) = \max\{\sup_{A \in F(f)} \inf_{B \in F(g)} ||A - B||, \sup_{B \in F(g)} \inf_{A \in F(f)} ||A - B||\},\$$

wherein ||.|| is any norm in \mathbb{R}^2 , e. g. the maximum norm $||(t,x)|| = \max\{|t|, |x|\};$ hence the distance between the points $A = (t_A, x_A), B = (t_B, x_B)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 is $||A - B|| = \max(|t_A - t_B|, |x_A - x_B|).$

2. MAIN RESULTS

2.1. A NOTE ON THE NEW (CDFLDGW)

The investigation of the characteristic "supersaturation" of the cdf (1) to the horizontal asymptote is important.

Let t_0 is the value for which $M(t_0) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The one-sided Hausdorff distance d between the function $h_{t_0}(t)$ and the cdf (1) satisfies the relation



Figure 1: The cdf (1) for $\lambda = -0.05$, $\beta = 0.8$, $\theta = 0.99$ and $t_0 = 0.809797$; H-distance d = 0.301775.



Figure 2: The cdf (1) for $\lambda = -0.001$, $\beta = 8.9$, $\theta = 0.99$ and $t_0 = 0.119372$; H-distance d = 0.161348.

$$M(t_0 + d) = 1 - d.$$
 (3)

For given λ , β , θ and t_0 , the nonlinear equation $M(t_0 + d) - 1 + d = 0$ has unique positive root -d.

The cdf (1) for $\lambda = -0.05$, $\beta = 0.8$, $\theta = 0.99$ and $t_0 = 0.809797$ is visualized on Fig. 1.

From the nonlinear equation (3) we have: d = 0.301775.

The cdf (1) for $\lambda = -0.001$, $\beta = 8.9$, $\theta = 0.99$ and $t_0 = 0.119372$ is visualized on Fig. 2.

From the nonlinear equation (3) we have: d = 0.161348.

The cdf (1) for $\lambda = -0.0005$, $\beta = 25$, $\theta = 0.999$ and $t_0 = 0.0459322$ is visualized on Fig. 3.

From the nonlinear equation (3) we have: d = 0.097724.



Figure 3: he cdf (1) for $\lambda = -0.0005$, $\beta = 25$, $\theta = 0.999$ and $t_0 = 0.0459322$; H-distance d = 0.097724.

From the above examples, it can be seen that the "supersaturation" by the (cdf) M(t) is faster.

Obviously, this "advantage" can actually be used to approximate some specific data from the field of analysis of Computer Viruses Propagation.

In the next Section, we will support what is said by analyzing real datasets.

2.2. APPLICATIONS

Welchia worm and Cryptolocker ransomware have a long growing phase in contrast to many other threats.

In September 2013 the CryptoLocker malware starting its invasion using mainly P2P ZeuS (aka Gameover ZeuS) malware. CryptoLocker' main aim was to receive money from the unsuspecting victims for decrypting their files.

Welchia worm uses a vulnerability in the Microsoft remote procedure call service. Welchia firstly checks for Blaster worm and if it is exists continues with Blaster deletion as well as takes care for computer to be immunised for Blaster worm.

Example 1. Analysis of Welchia worm infection behavior

For epidemic as Welchia worm it is appropriately to use a model

$$M^{*}(t) = \omega \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{e^{\theta((1-\lambda t^{\theta})^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1)} - 1}{e^{\theta} - 1} \right)^{-\beta} \right)$$
(4)

for approximating data from the statistics collected on an individual Welchia [3] honeypot administered by Frederic Perriot between August 24th, 2003 and February 24th, 2004, shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: The cumulative number of Welchia attackers [3].

We will explore this example by photographing the data from Fig. 4.

 $data_Welchia := \\ \{\{1.1, 1000\}, \{2, 2333\}, \{3, 3500\}, \{4, 5000\}, \{5, 6833\}, \{6, 8000\}, \\ \{7, 9333\}, \{8, 10500\}, \{9, 12000\}, \{10, 14000\}, \{11, 16333\}, \\ \{12, 18167\}, \{13, 19667\}, \{14, 21000\}, \{15, 22667\}, \{16, 23667\}, \\ \{17, 25000\}, \{18, 26333\}, \{19, 27500\}, \{20, 28333\}, \{21, 29333\}, \\ \{22, 29500\}, \{23, 29500\}, \{24, 29500\}, \{25, 29500\}, \{26, 29500\}, \\ \{27, 29500\}, \{28, 29500\}, \{29, 29500\}, \{30, 29500\}, \{31, 29667\}, \\ \{32, 29667\}\} \end{cases}$

The fitted model is given by

$$\lambda = -0.1; \ \beta = 0.0385232; \ \theta = 0.602142; \ \omega = 30000.$$

We receive an impressive result when approximating these data, see Fig. 5.

Example 2. Here we will present a new analysis of Conficker propagation in 2008 and we explore the Network Telescope project's daily dataset [4], [5] collected on November 21, 2008.



Figure 5: The fitted model $M^*(t)$.

We analyze the following data

 $data_Conficker :=$

 $\{\{0.1, 10\}, \{1, 150\}, \{2, 300\}, \{3, 600\}, \{4, 2500\}, \{5, 5000\},$

 $\{6, 7500\}, \{7, 13000\}, \{8, 19000\}, \{9, 25000\}, \{10, 31000\}, \{9, 25000\}, \{10, 31000\}, \{10, 3000\}, \{1$

 $\{11, 37000\}, \{12, 44000\}, \{13, 52000\}, \{14, 58000\}, \{15, 66000\}, \{15$

 $\{16, 74000\}, \{17, 81000\}, \{18, 86000\}, \{19, 89000\}, \{20, 92000\},$

 $\{21, 92500\}\}$

The model (4) for $\omega = 93000$; $\lambda = -0.1$; $\beta = 0.00547243$ and $\theta = 0.757525$ is visualized on Fig. 6.

Example 3. Number of users attacked by Trojan-Ransom malware

We will study how it can be modelled data in [6] for the number of users attacked by Trojan-Ransom malware (Q4 2014 - Q3 2015).

The cumulative data is:

 $Number_of_users_attacked_by_Trojan - Ransom_malware$

 $(Q4_2014 - Q3_2015)_data :=$

 $\{\{1, 128132\}, \{2, 278706\}, \{3, 543089\}, \{4, 880294\}\}$

The fitted model $M^*(t) = \omega M(t)$ for $\omega = 1150000$; $\lambda = -0.04$; $\beta = 0.0731211$ and $\theta = 0.872133$ is visualized on Fig. 7.



Figure 6: The fitted model $M^*(t)$.



Figure 7: The fitted model $M^*(t)$.

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Finally, we note that the studied model produces extremely good results, generally when approximating specific "cumulative data" from Computer Viruses Propagation, Debugging and Test theory.

For other approximation and modelling results, see [7]–[29].

We hope that the results will be useful for specialists in this scientific area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is supported by the National Scientific Program "Information and Communication Technologies for a Single Digital Market in Science, Education and Security (ICTinSES)", financed by the Ministry of Education and Science.

REFERENCES

- T. Hussain, H. Bakouch, Ch. Chesneau, A new probability model with application to heavy-tailed hydrological data, *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, 26, No. 2 (2019), 127–151.
- [2] B. Sendov, Hausdorff Approximations, Kluwer, Boston (1990).
- [3] P. Szor, The Art of Computer Virus Research and Defense, Addison Wesley Professional, (2005), ISBN: 0-321-30454-3.
- [4] C. Zhang, S. Zhou, B. Chain, Hybrid Epidemics-A Case Study on Computer Worm Conficker, *PLoS ONE*, 10, No. 5 (2015), e0127478.
- [5] P. Porras, H. Saidi, V. Yegneswaran, An Analysis of Conficker's Logic and Rendezvous Points, SRI international technical report, March 19, (2009).
- [6] Kaspersky Security Bulletin 2015, Kaspersky Lab (2016).
- [7] N. Kyurkchiev, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, T. Terzieva, A new analysis of Code Red and Witty worms behavior, *Communications in Applied Analysis*, 23, No. 2 (2019), 267–285.
- [8] A. Iliev, N. Kyurkchiev, A. Rahnev, T. Terzieva, Some New Approaches for Modelling Large-scale Worm Spreading on the Internet. II, *Neural, Parallel, and Scientific Computations*, 27, No. 1 (2019), 23–34.
- [9] N. Kyurkchiev, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, T. Terzieva, A New Analysis of Cryptolocker Ransomware and Welchia Worm Propagation Behavior. Some Applications. III, *Communications in Applied Analysis*, 23, No. 2 (2019), 359–382.
- [10] A. Iliev, N. Kyurkchiev, A. Rahnev, T. Terzieva, Some models in the theory of computer viruses propagation, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2019), ISBN: 978-620-0-00826-8.
- [11] S. Yamada, Software Reliability Modeling: Fundamentals and Applications, Springer (2014).
- [12] H. Pham, System Software Reliability, In: Springer Series in Reliability Engineering, Springer-Verlag London Limited (2006).

- [13] N. Pavlov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, Some software reliability models: Approximation and modeling aspects, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2018), ISBN: 978-613-9-82805-0.
- [14] N. Pavlov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, Nontrivial Models in Debugging Theory (Part 2), LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2018), ISBN: 978-613-9-87794-2.
- [15] D. Satoh, S. Yamada, Discrete equations and software reliability growth models, in: Proc. 12th Int. Symp. on Software Reliab. and Eng., (2001), 176–184.
- [16] N. Pavlov, G. Spasov, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, Some deterministic reliability growth curves for software error detection: Approximation and modeling aspects, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **118**, No. 3 (2018), 599– 611.
- [17] N. Pavlov, A. Golev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A note on the Yamada–exponential software reliability model, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **118**, No. 4 (2018), 871–882.
- [18] N. Pavlov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A Note on The "Mean Value" Software Reliability Model, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **118**, No. 4 (2018), 949–956.
- [19] N. Pavlov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, Analysis of the Chen's and Pham's Software Reliability Models, *Cybernetics and Information Technologies*, 18, No. 3 (2018), 37–47.
- [20] N. Pavlov, A. Golev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A note on the generalized inverted exponential software reliability model, *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, 7, No. 3 (2018), 484–487.
- [21] N. Pavlov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, Transmuted inverse exponential software reliability model, Int. J. of Latest Research in Engineering and Technology, 4, No. 5 (2018), 1–6.
- [22] N. Pavlov, G. Spasov, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A new class of Gompertz-type software reliability models, *International Electronic Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **12**, No. 1 (2018), 43–57.
- [23] O. Rahneva, H. Kiskinov, A. Malinova, G. Spasov, A Note on the Lee-Chang-Pham-Song Software Reliability Model, *Neural, Parallel, and Scientific Computations*, 26, No. 3 (2018), 297–310.
- [24] N. Pavlov, G. Spasov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A note on the Song– Chang–Pham's Software Reliability Model. Some Applications. I., *Neural, Parallel, and Scientific Computations*, 27, No. 2 (2019), 115–129.

- [25] N. Kyurkchiev, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, Some Families of Sigmoid Functions: Applications to Growth Theory, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2019), ISBN: 978-613-9-45608-6.
- [26] N. Kyurkchiev, S. Markov, Sigmoid functions: Some Approximation and Modelling Aspects, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, Saarbrucken (2015), ISBN 978-3-659-76045-7.
- [27] N. Kyurkchiev, A. Iliev, S. Markov, Some techniques for recurrence generating of activation functions, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2017), ISBN: 978-3-330-33143-3.
- [28] N. Pavlov, G. Spasov, A. Iliev, A. Rahnev, N. Kyurkchiev, A note on the Song– Chang–Pham's Software Reliability Model. Some Applications. I., *Neural, Parallel, and Scientific Computations*, 27, No. 2 (2019), 115–129.
- [29] N. Pavlov, G. Spasov, M. Stieger, A. Golev, A note on the extended Song-Chang-Pham's Software Reliability Model. II, *International Journal of Differential Equations and Applications*, 18, No. 1 (2019), 87–98.