

**EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF MULTIPOINT  
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR A  
SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION**

GARY D. JONES

Murray State University (gary.jones@murraystate.edu)

**ABSTRACT.** Assuming the uniqueness of an  $n$ -point boundary value problem, for some  $n \geq 4$  for

$$y'' = f(x, y, y')$$

existence of unique solutions are proved for all  $n \geq 2$ .

**1. INTRODUCTION**

We are concerned with the question of the existence of a unique solution of the  $n$ -point boundary value problem for the second order differential equation

$$(1) \quad y'' = f(x, y, y')$$

$$(2) \quad y(x_1) = y_1; \quad y(x_n) - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} y(x_i) = y_2.$$

We assume throughout:

**(A):**  $f : (a, b) \times \mathfrak{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  is continuous.

**(B):** Solutions of initial value problems for (1) are unique and exist on all of  $(a, b)$ .

**(C):** For a given  $n \geq 2$  and any points  $a < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < b$ , if  $y$  and  $z$  are solutions of (1) such that

$$(3) \quad y(x_1) = z(x_1); \quad y(x_n) - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} y(x_i) = z(x_n) - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} z(x_i)$$

then  $y(x) = z(x)$ . for all  $a < x < b$ .

There has been much interest in multipoint boundary value problems for the second order differential equation. See [1] and the references contained therein.

The main theorem of [1] is the following

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that conditions (A), (B) and (C) hold. Then for each  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , there is a unique solution of (1) that satisfies (2).*

## 2. MAIN RESULT

Our purpose is to complement Theorem 1 with the following

**Theorem 2.** *Assume conditions (A), (B) and that (C) holds for some  $n \geq 4$ . Then for each  $n \geq 2$ , there is a unique solution of (1) that satisfies (2).*

**Proof.** Suppose (C) holds for  $k = n \geq 4$ . Assume that it does not hold for  $k + 1$ , i.e. there are two distinct solutions  $u$  and  $v$  of (1) that satisfy (2) when  $n = k + 1$ .

Define  $g := u - v$ . Then

$$g(x_1) = 0$$

$$g(x_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=2}^k g(x_i).$$

Note that  $g(x) \neq 0$  for  $x > x_1$ , otherwise  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy (C) for  $n = 2$ . In that case, it follows from Theorem 1 that  $u \equiv v$ . Also see [2].

Next note that  $g(t) \neq g(s)$  for  $t \neq s$  and both  $t$  and  $s$  greater than  $x_1$ , otherwise  $g(x_1) = 0$  and  $g(s) = g(t)$  so that  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy (C) for  $n = 3$ . Again, Theorem 1 implies  $u \equiv v$ .

Thus, we can assume without loss of generality that  $g(x)$  is positive and monotone increasing for  $x > x_1$ . Since  $g$  is also continuous, it follows that there exist points  $t_1 < t_2$  in  $(x_1, x_2)$  so that

$$g(x_2) = g(t_1) + g(t_2).$$

In that case  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy (C) for  $n = 4$  and consequently applying Theorem 1 again,  $u \equiv v$ .

The following example shows that for  $n = 3$  (C) can hold while it does not hold for  $n = 4$ .

**Example 1.** There are examples of (1) with solutions that satisfy (2) for  $n = 3$  but do not have solutions that satisfy (2) if  $n = 4$ .

Consider the linear equation

$$(4) \quad y'' + py' + qy = f.$$

Every solution of (4) is of the form

$$y = c_1y_1 + c_2y_2 + z$$

where  $z$  is any solution of (4) and  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly independent solutions of

$$(5) \quad y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Suppose  $a < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < b$ . We want a solution of (1) that satisfies

$$(6) \quad y(x_1) = \alpha; \quad y(x_3) - y(x_2) = \beta$$

Choose  $y_1$  so that  $y_1(x_1) = 0$ . Since  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly independent,  $y_2(x_1) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $c_2 = \frac{\alpha - z(x_1)}{y_2(x_1)}$ . Now  $\beta = y(x_3) - y(x_2) = c_1[y_1(x_3) - y_1(x_2)] + c_2[y_2(x_3) - y_2(x_2)] + [z(x_3) - z(x_2)]$ . Therefore, (4) has a solution which is unique satisfying (6) provided  $y_1(x_3) - y_1(x_2) \neq 0$ . This is the case when  $y_1$  is increasing on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

In the case of the four point problem

$$(7) \quad y(x_1) = \alpha; \quad y(x_4) - y(x_3) - y(x_2) = \beta$$

with  $a < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < b$ , (4) has a solution satisfying (7), provided  $y_1(x_4) - y_1(x_3) - y_1(x_2) \neq 0$ , which fails to hold for all points in  $(a, b)$ .

**Example 2.** There are examples of (1) with solutions that satisfy (2) for  $n = 2$  but do not have solutions that satisfy (2) if  $n = 3$ .

As in Example 1, there is a solution of (4) that satisfies

$$(8) \quad y(x_1) = \alpha; \quad y(x_2) = \beta$$

provided  $y_1(x_2) \neq 0$ , however unless  $y_1$  is monotone on  $(a, b)$  there is not always a solution of (4) that satisfies (6).

**Acknowledgement.** The author is indebted to the referee for valuable help with this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Henderson, Solutions of multipoint boundary value problems for second order equations, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **15**(2006), no. 1, 111–117.
- [2] L. K. Jackson, Boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations. Studies in ordinary differential equations, 93–1. Stud. in Math, **14**, *Math. Assoc. of America*, Washington D. C., 1977.