

EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND BLOWUP FOR A PARABOLIC PROBLEM WITH A MOVING NONLINEAR SOURCE ON A SEMI-INFINITE INTERVAL

C. Y. CHAN, P. SAWANGTONG, AND T. TREEYAPRASERT

Department of Mathematics, University of Louisiana at Lafayette
Lafayette, Louisiana 70504-1010, USA
chan@louisiana.edu

Department of Mathematics, King Mongkut's University of Technology North
Bangkok, Bangkok, 10800, Thailand
panumarts@kmutnb.ac.th

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Thammasat University
Rangsit Center, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand
tawikan@tu.ac.th

ABSTRACT. Let v and T be positive numbers, $D = (0, \infty)$, $\Omega = D \times (0, T]$, and \bar{D} be the closure of D . This article studies the first initial-boundary value problem,

$$\begin{aligned}u_t - u_{xx} &= \delta(x - vt)f(u(x, t)) \text{ in } \Omega, \\u(x, 0) &= \psi(x) \text{ on } \bar{D}, \\u(0, t) = 0, u(x, t) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } 0 < t \leq T,\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function, and f and ψ are given functions. It is shown that the problem has a unique continuous solution u , if u exists for $t \in [0, t_b)$ with $t_b < \infty$, then u blows up at t_b .

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 35K60, 35B35, 35K55, 35K57

1. INTRODUCTION

Let v and T be positive numbers, $D = (0, \infty)$, $\Omega = D \times (0, T]$, and \bar{D} be the closure of D . We consider the following semilinear parabolic first initial-boundary value problem,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases}Hu = \delta(x - vt)f(u(x, t)) \text{ in } \Omega, \\u(x, 0) = \psi(x) \text{ on } \bar{D}, \\u(0, t) = 0, u(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } 0 < t \leq T,\end{cases}$$

where $Hu = u_t - u_{xx}$, $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function, and f and ψ are given functions. We assume that $f(0) \geq 0$, $f(u)$ and its derivatives $f'(u)$ and $f''(u)$ are positive for $u \geq 0$, and $\psi(x)$ is nontrivial, nonnegative and continuous such that $\psi(0) = 0$, and $\psi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

A solution u of the problem (1.1) is a continuous function satisfying (1.1). A solution u of the problem (1.1) is said to blow up at the point (\hat{x}, t_b) if there exists a sequence $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$ such that $u(x_n, t_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $(x_n, t_n) \rightarrow (\hat{x}, t_b)$. Here, t_b is called the blow-up time. If t_b is finite, then u is said to blow up in a finite time. On the other hand, if $t_b = \infty$, then u is said to blow up in infinite time. Related problems were studied by Kirk and Olmstead [3], and Olmstead [4]. They showed that if the magnitude of the (constant) velocity v exceeds a certain value, then blowup does not occur; they also showed that if v is below another value, then blowup occurs. In Section 2, we convert the problem (1.1) into a nonlinear integral equation and prove that the integral equation has a unique nonnegative (continuous) solution. We then show that this solution is the unique solution u of the problem (1.1). We prove that if u does not exist globally, then u blows up in a finite time.

2. EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND BLOWUP

Green's function $G(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ corresponding to the problem (1.1) is determined by the following system: for x and ξ in D , and t and τ in $(-\infty, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} HG(x, t; \xi, \tau) &= \delta(x - \xi)\delta(t - \tau), \\ G(x, t; \xi, \tau) &= 0, \quad t < \tau, \\ G(0, t; \xi, \tau) &= 0, G(x, t; \xi, \tau) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $t > \tau$, it is given by

$$G(x, t; \xi, \tau) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} - e^{-\frac{(x+\xi)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}}$$

(cf. Duffy [2, p. 183]). To derive the integral equation from the problem (1.1), let us consider the adjoint operator H^* , which is given by $H^*u = -u_t - u_{xx}$. Using Green's second identity, we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \xi, 0)\psi(\xi)d\xi + \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)f(u(v\tau, \tau))d\tau.$$

Let $\bar{\Omega}$ denote the closure of Ω .

Lemma 2.1. *If $r \in C([0, T])$, then $\int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau)d\tau$ is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$.*

Proof. Let $R = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |r(t)|$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau)d\tau &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} - e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}} r(\tau)d\tau \\ (2.2) \quad &\leq R \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}} d\tau = R\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}, \end{aligned}$$

the integral exists for each $t \in [0, T]$. For $t > \tau$, $G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)$ is continuous in $\bar{D} \times (0, T]$. Hence for $t > \tau$, $G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau)$ is a continuous function in $\bar{D} \times (0, T]$. Let (x_0, t_0) be any arbitrarily fixed point $\in \bar{D} \times (0, T]$. Then for any given positive number ε , there exists some positive number δ_1 such that $\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (t - t_0)^2} < \delta_1$ implies

$$|G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau) - G(x_0, t_0; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2t_0}.$$

Without loss of generality, let $t \geq t_0$. Also, let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \pi\varepsilon^2/(4R^2)\}$. Then for $\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (t - t_0)^2} < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^{t_0} G(x_0, t_0; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_0} |(G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) - G(x_0, t_0; v\tau, \tau))r(\tau)| d\tau + \int_{t_0}^t |G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)r(\tau)| d\tau \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{2t_0} \int_0^{t_0} d\tau + R \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi(t - \tau)}} d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{R}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{t - t_0} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{R}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi\varepsilon^2}{4R^2}} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the lemma is proved. □

We modified the techniques in proving Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 of Chan and Tian [1] for a bounded domain to obtain the following two theorems for our unbounded domain.

Theorem 2.2. *There exists some t_b such that for $0 \leq t < t_b$, the integral equation (2.1) has a unique nonnegative continuous solution u . If t_b is finite, then u is unbounded in $[0, t_b)$.*

Proof. For $(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega}$, let us construct a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ by

$$u_0(x, t) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \xi, 0)\psi(\xi)d\xi,$$

and for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} Hu_{n+1}(x, t) &= \delta(x - vt)f(u_n(x, t)) \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Omega, \\ u_{n+1}(x, 0) &= \psi(x) \text{ for } x \in \bar{D}, \\ u_{n+1}(0, t) &= 0 \text{ and } u_{n+1}(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } t \in (0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1),

$$u_{n+1}(x, t) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \xi, 0)\psi(\xi)d\xi + \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau)f(u_n(v\tau, \tau))d\tau.$$

Let us show that for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(2.3) \quad u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_n \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}.$$

Since

$$u_1(x, t) - u_0(x, t) = \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u_0(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau,$$

it follows from the positivity of G , $u_0(x, t) > 0$ in Ω , and $f(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$ that the right-hand side is positive. We have $u_1(x, t) > u_0(x, t)$. Let us assume that for some positive integer j , $u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_j$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Since $u_j > u_{j-1}$, and $f' > 0$, we have

$$u_{j+1}(x, t) - u_j(x, t) = \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) (f(u_j(v\tau, \tau)) - f(u_{j-1}(v\tau, \tau))) d\tau > 0.$$

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction, we have (2.3).

Let u denote $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$, and M be any positive number such that $M > \sup_{x \in \bar{D}} \psi(x)$. We would like to show that there exists a positive constant $t_1 (\leq T)$ such that the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges uniformly to u for $t \in [0, t_1]$. Since each u_n is continuous, we note that

$$u_{n+1}(x, t) - u_n(x, t) = \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) [f(u_n(v\tau, \tau)) - f(u_{n-1}(v\tau, \tau))] d\tau.$$

Let $S_n = \sup_{(x,t) \in \bar{D} \times [0, t_1]} |u_n(x, t) - u_{n-1}(x, t)|$. By using the Mean Value Theorem and (2.2),

$$S_{n+1} \leq f'(M) S_n \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) d\tau \leq f'(M) \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} S_n.$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f'(M) \sqrt{t/\pi} = 0$, there exists some positive number $\sigma_1 (\leq t_1)$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad f'(M) \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} < 1 \text{ for } t \in [0, \sigma_1].$$

Then, the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges uniformly to u for any $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [0, \sigma_1]$. Thus, the integral equation (2.1) has a nonnegative continuous solution u for $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [0, \sigma_1]$. If $\sigma_1 < t_1$, then we replace the initial condition $u(x, 0) = \psi(x)$ in (2.1) by $u(\xi, \sigma_1)$, which is known. We obtain for $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [\sigma_1, t_1]$,

$$u_{n+1}(x, t) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \xi, \sigma_1) u(\xi, \sigma_1) d\xi + \int_{\sigma_1}^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u_n(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

From

$$u_{n+1}(x, t) - u_n(x, t) = \int_{\sigma_1}^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) [f(u_n(v\tau, \tau)) - f(u_{n-1}(v\tau, \tau))] d\tau,$$

we have

$$S_{n+1} \leq f'(M) S_n \int_{\sigma_1}^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) d\tau \leq f'(M) \sqrt{\frac{t - \sigma_1}{\pi}} S_n.$$

Thus, there exists $\sigma_2 = \min \{\sigma_1, t_1 - \sigma_1\} > 0$ such that

$$f'(M) \sqrt{\frac{t - \sigma_1}{\pi}} < 1 \text{ for } t \in [\sigma_1, \min \{2\sigma_1, t_1\}].$$

Hence, $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges uniformly to u for any $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [\sigma_1, \min \{2\sigma_1, t_1\}]$. By proceeding in this way, $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges uniformly to u for any $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [0, t_1]$. Therefore, the integral equation (2.1) has a nonnegative continuous solution u on $\bar{D} \times [0, t_1]$.

To show that the solution u is unique, let us suppose that the integral equation (2.1) has two distinct solutions u and \tilde{u} on the interval $[0, t_1]$. Let

$$\Phi = \sup_{(x,t) \in \bar{D} \times [0, t_1]} |u(x, t) - \tilde{u}(x, t)|.$$

From

$$|u(x, t) - \tilde{u}(x, t)| = \left| \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) [f(u(v\tau, \tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(v\tau, \tau))] d\tau \right|,$$

we obtain

$$\Phi \leq f'(M) \Phi \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) d\tau \leq f'(M) \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \Phi.$$

By (2.4), we have a contradiction. Thus, the integral equation (2.1) has a unique continuous solution u for any $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [0, t_1]$.

For each M , there exists some t_1 such that the integral equation (2.1) has a unique nonnegative continuous solution u . Let t_b be the supremum of all t_1 such that the integral equation has a unique nonnegative continuous solution u . We would like to show that if t_b is finite, then u is unbounded in $[0, t_b)$. Suppose $u(x, t)$ is bounded for any $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [0, t_b]$. We consider the integral equation (2.1) for any $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [t_b, \infty)$ with the initial condition $u(x, 0) = \psi(x)$ replaced by $u(x, t_b)$, which is known:

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \xi, t_b) u(\xi, t_b) d\xi + \int_{t_b}^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

For any given positive constant $M_1 > \sup_{x \in \bar{D}} u(x, t_b)$, an argument as before shows that there exists some $t_2 > 0$ such that the integral equation (2.1) has a unique continuous solution u for any $(x, t) \in \bar{D} \times [t_b, t_2]$. This contradicts the definition of t_b . Hence, if t_b is finite, then u is unbounded in $[0, t_b)$. \square

Theorem 2.3. *The problem (1.1) has a unique solution for $0 \leq t < t_b$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $\int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau$ exists for x in any compact subset of \bar{D} and t in any compact subset $[t_3, t_4]$ of $[0, t_b)$. Thus for any $x \in D$ and any

$t_5 \in (0, t)$,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\int_0^{\zeta-1/n} G(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \right) d\zeta \\ + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t_5-1/n} G(x, t_5; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

For $\zeta - \tau \geq \frac{1}{n}$,

$$G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \\ = \left[\frac{(x - v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(\zeta-\tau)}} - (x + v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(\zeta-\tau)}}}{8\sqrt{\pi} (\zeta - \tau)^{5/2}} - \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(\zeta-\tau)}} - e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(\zeta-\tau)}}}{4\sqrt{\pi} (\zeta - \tau)^{3/2}} \right] f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \\ \leq \frac{(x - v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(\zeta-\tau)}}}{8\sqrt{\pi} (\zeta - \tau)^{5/2}} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \leq \frac{x^2 + v^2 (\zeta - \frac{1}{n})^2}{8\sqrt{\pi} (\frac{1}{n})^{5/2}} f(u(v\tau, \tau)),$$

which is integrable with respect to τ over $(0, \zeta - 1/n)$. It follows from the Leibnitz rule (cf. Stromberg [5, p. 380]) that

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\int_0^{\zeta-1/n} G(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \right) \\ = G \left(x, \zeta; v \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right) f \left(u \left(v \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) \\ + \int_0^{\zeta-1/n} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

From (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t G \left(x, \zeta; v \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right) f \left(u \left(v \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) d\zeta \\ + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta-1/n} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\ + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t_5-1/n} G(x, t_5; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

Let us consider the problem,

$$Hw = 0 \text{ for } x \text{ and } \xi \text{ in } D, 0 \leq \tau < t, \\ w(0, t; \xi, \tau) = 0, w(x, t; \xi, \tau) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } 0 \leq \tau < t < T, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \tau^+} w(x, t; \xi, \tau) = \delta(x - \xi\tau).$$

Using Green's second identity, we obtain for $t > \tau$,

$$w(x, t; \xi, \tau) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t; y, \tau) \delta(y - \xi\tau) dy = G(x, t; \xi\tau, \tau).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau^+} G(x, t; \xi\tau, \tau) = \delta(x - \xi\tau).$$

This implies

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \delta(x - v\zeta).$$

Since for $x \neq v\zeta$,

$$G\left(x, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$

converges uniformly to zero with respect to ζ as n tends to infinity, it follows that for $x \neq v\zeta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t G\left(x, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) d\zeta \\ &= \int_{t_5}^t \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

For $x = v\zeta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G\left(v\zeta, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2(1+4n^2\zeta^2)}{4n}} \left[e^{nv^2\zeta^2} (2n + v^2) + e^{v^2\zeta} (-2n - v^2 + 4n^2v^2\zeta^2) \right]}{8n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for sufficiently large n , $G(v\zeta, \zeta; v(\zeta - 1/n), \zeta - 1/n)$ is an increasing function of n . Thus for large n ,

$$G\left(v\zeta, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$

is an increasing sequence of nonnegative functions with respect to n . By the Monotone Convergence Theorem (cf. Stromberg [5, p. 288]),

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t G\left(v\zeta, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) d\zeta \\ &= \int_{t_5}^t \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(v\zeta, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
 &= \int_{t_5}^t \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[G\left(x, \zeta; v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(u\left(v\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right), \zeta - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \right] d\zeta \\
 & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta^{-1/n}} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\
 (2.8) \quad & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t_5^{-1/n}} G(x, t_5; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since f and u are continuous, we have from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
 &= \int_{t_5}^t \delta(x - v\zeta) f(u(v\zeta, \zeta)) d\zeta \\
 & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta^{-1/n}} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\
 (2.9) \quad & \quad + \int_0^{t_5} G(x, t_5; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$g_n(x, \zeta) = \int_0^{\zeta^{-1/n}} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

Without loss of generality, let $n > l$. We have

$$g_n(x, \zeta) - g_l(x, \zeta) = \int_{\zeta^{-1/l}}^{\zeta^{-1/n}} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

Since $G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) \in C(D \times (\tau, T])$, and $f(u(v\tau, \tau))$ is nonnegative and integrable with respect to τ over $(\zeta - 1/l, \zeta - 1/n)$, it follows from the First Mean Value Theorem for Integrals (cf. Stromberg [5, p. 328]) that for x in any compact subset of D and ζ in any compact subset of $(0, t_b)$, there exists some real number r such that $\zeta - r \in (\zeta - 1/l, \zeta - 1/n)$ and

$$g_n(x, \zeta) - g_l(x, \zeta) = G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v(\zeta - r), \zeta - r) \int_{\zeta^{-1/l}}^{\zeta^{-1/n}} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v(\zeta - \varepsilon), \zeta - \varepsilon) \\
 &= G_\zeta(x, \varepsilon; v(\zeta - \varepsilon), 0) \\
 &= \frac{(\varepsilon v^2 + vx - v^2\zeta) e^{-\frac{[x - (v\zeta - v\varepsilon)]^2}{4\varepsilon}} + (-\varepsilon v^2 + vx + v^2\zeta) e^{-\frac{[x + (v\zeta - v\varepsilon)]^2}{4\varepsilon}}}{4\sqrt{\pi} (\varepsilon)^{3/2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 with respect to ζ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\{g_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and hence, $\{g_n\}$ converges uniformly with respect to ζ in any compact subset $[t_6, t_7]$ of $(0, t_b)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^{\zeta^{-1/n}} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\
 &= \int_{t_5}^t \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\zeta^{-1/n}} G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\
 (2.10) \quad &= \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^\zeta G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.9) and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
 &= \int_{t_5}^t \delta(x - v\zeta) f(u(v\zeta, \zeta)) d\zeta + \int_{t_5}^t \int_0^\zeta G_\zeta(x, \zeta; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\zeta \\
 & \quad + \int_0^{t_5} G(x, t_5; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
 (2.11) \quad &= \delta(x - vt) f(u(vt, t)) + \int_0^t G_t(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $t - \tau > \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) &= \frac{(v\tau - x) e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} + (v\tau + x) e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{4\sqrt{\pi} (t - \tau)^{3/2}}, \\
 G_{xx}(x, t; v\tau, \tau) &= \frac{\frac{(x-v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} - (x+v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{4(t-\tau)^2} - \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} - e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{2(t-\tau)}}{2\pi\sqrt{t - \tau}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for each fixed $(\xi, \tau) \in D \times [0, T]$, $G_x(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ and $G_{xx}(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ are in $C(D \times (\tau, T])$.

For $t - \tau > \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) &= \frac{(v\tau - x) e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} + (v\tau + x) e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{4\sqrt{\pi} (t - \tau)^{3/2}} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \\
 &\leq \frac{(v\tau - x) e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} + (v\tau + x) e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{4\sqrt{\pi} (t - \tau)^{3/2}} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \\
 &= \frac{v\tau e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{2\sqrt{\pi} (t - \tau)^{3/2}} f(u(v\tau, \tau)),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to τ over $(0, t - \varepsilon)$. For $t - \tau > \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{xx}(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \\
&= \frac{(x-v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} - (x+v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{4(t-\tau)^2} - \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} - e^{-\frac{(x+v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{2(t-\tau)} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \\
&= \frac{(x-v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{4(t-\tau)^2} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \\
&= \frac{(x-v\tau)^2 e^{-\frac{(x-v\tau)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{8\pi(t-\tau)^{5/2}} f(u(v\tau, \tau)),
\end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to τ over $(0, t - \varepsilon)$. Using the Leibnitz rule, we have for any x in any compact subset of D and t in any compact subset of $(0, t_b)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{xx}(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

For any x_1 in any compact subset of D ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \right) d\eta \\
&\quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G(x_1, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_\eta(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
(2.12) \quad &+ \int_0^t G(x_1, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We would like to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_\eta(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
(2.13) \quad &= \int_{x_1}^x \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_\eta(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini Theorem (cf. Stromberg [5, p. 352]),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_1}^x \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_\eta(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \left(f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \int_{x_1}^x G_\eta(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) d\eta \right) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) (G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) - G(x_1, t; v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t f(u(v\tau, \tau)) (G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) - G(x_1, t; v\tau, \tau)) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

which exists by Lemma 2.1. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t f(u(v\tau, \tau)) (G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) - G(x_1, t; v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \int_{x_1}^x \int_0^t G_\eta(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

and we have (2.13). From (2.12),

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = \int_0^t G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

For any x_2 in any compact subset of D ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left(\int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_\eta(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \right) d\eta \\
&\quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_\eta(x_2, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
(2.15) \quad & + \int_0^t G_\eta(x_2, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We would like to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
(2.16) \quad &= \int_{x_2}^x \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_2}^x \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \left(f(u(v\tau, \tau)) \int_{x_2}^x G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) d\eta \right) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} f(u(v\tau, \tau)) (G_\eta(x, t; v\tau, \tau) - G_\eta(x_2, t; v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t f(u(v\tau, \tau)) (G_\eta(x, t; v\tau, \tau) - G_\eta(x_2, t; v\tau, \tau)) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

which exists by (2.14). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t f(u(v\tau, \tau)) (G_\eta(x, t; v\tau, \tau) - G_\eta(x_1, t; v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \int_{x_2}^x \int_0^t G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta \\
&= \int_{x_2}^x \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have (2.16). From (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \int_{x_2}^x \int_0^t G_{\eta\eta}(\eta, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau d\eta + \int_0^t G_\eta(x_2, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^t G_x(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = \int_0^t G_{xx}(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = \int_0^t G_{xx}(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau.$$

It follows from the integral equation (2.1), (2.11) and (2.17) that for $x \in D$ and $0 < t < t_b$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Hu &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \right) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \right) \\
&= \delta(x - vt) f(u(vt, t)) + \int_0^t HG(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \delta(x - vt) f(u(vt, t)) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \delta(x - v\tau) \delta(t - \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau \\
&= \delta(x - vt) f(u(vt, t)) \\
&= \delta(x - vt) f(u(x, t)).
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.1), $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = \psi(x)$ for $x \in \bar{D}$. Since $G(0, t; \xi, \tau) = 0$, we have $u(0, t) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = \int_0^t \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x, t; v\tau, \tau) f(u(v\tau, \tau)) d\tau = 0.$$

Thus, the nonnegative continuous solution u of the integral equation (2.1) is a solution of the problem (1.1). Since a solution of the latter is a solution of the former, the theorem is proved. \square

We remark that from the above two theorems, if t_b is finite, then u blows up at t_b .

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Y. Chan and H. Y. Tian, Single-point blow-up for a degenerate parabolic problem due to a concentrated nonlinear source, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 61: 363–385, 2003.
- [2] D. G. Duffy, *Green's Functions with Applications*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2001, p. 183.
- [3] C. M. Kirk and W. E. Olmstead, Blow-up in a reactive-diffusive medium with a moving heat source, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 53: 147–159, 2002.
- [4] W. E. Olmstead, Critical speed for the avoidance of blow-up in a reactive-diffusive medium, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 48: 701–710, 1997.
- [5] K. R. Stromberg, *An Introduction to Classical Real Analysis*, Wadsworth International Group, Belmont, CA, 1981, pp. 288, 328, 352 and 380.