

QUENCHING FOR DEGENERATE SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC PROBLEMS

W. Y. CHAN

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Montana Tech, Butte, MT 59701, USA

ABSTRACT. Suppose that c is a positive constant. Let $f(u)$ be a $C^2([0, c])$ function such that $f > 0$, $f' > 0$, $f'' > 0$, $f(u) \rightarrow \infty$ when $u \rightarrow c^-$, and $\int_0^c f(u) du < \infty$, we investigate the quenching problem $\xi^q u_\tau - (\xi^\gamma u_\xi)_\xi = f(u)$ for $0 < \xi < a$, $0 < \tau < \Gamma \leq \infty$, $u(\xi, 0) = 0$ for $0 \leq \xi \leq a$, and $u(0, \tau) = 0 = u(a, \tau)$ for $0 < \tau < \Gamma$. It is assumed that $q \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. In this paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of the classical solution u to the problem. Furthermore, the quenching set of the solution is discussed.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 35K57, 35K58, 35K65.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let a be a positive constant, Γ be a positive real number, q be a nonnegative constant, γ be a nonnegative constant less than 1, and f be a twice differentiable function such that $f > 0$, $f' > 0$, $f'' > 0$, $f(u) \rightarrow \infty$ when $u \rightarrow c^-$, and $\int_0^c f(u) du < \infty$. In this paper, we study the following degenerate semilinear parabolic initial-boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \xi^q u_\tau - (\xi^\gamma u_\xi)_\xi = f(u) \text{ in } (0, a) \times (0, \Gamma),$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(\xi, 0) = 0 \text{ on } [0, a] \text{ and } u(0, \tau) = u(a, \tau) = 0 \text{ in } (0, \Gamma).$$

The above problem is motivated by the paper of Chen, Liu, and Xie [3]. They discussed the problem (1.1)–(1.2) with $f(u) = \int_0^a u^p d\xi$. They showed that u blows up in a finite time and the blow-up set is $[0, a]$. If $q = 0$ and $u(\xi, 0) = u_0$ where u_0 is a smooth function such that $u_0 \in [0, c)$, Ke and Ning [6] discussed the equation, $u_t - (p(\xi) u_\xi)_\xi = f(u)$ where $p(0) = 0$, $p(\xi) \in C^1(0, \infty)$, and $p(\xi) > 0$ in $(0, \infty)$ with $1/p \in L^1([0, a])$ and $\int_0^\infty 1/p(\xi) d\xi = \infty$. They investigated the critical length of u and proved that all possible quenching points of u must lie in a compact subset of $(0, a)$. When $\gamma = 0$, $u(\xi, 0) = u_0(\xi)$, and $f(u) = u^p$, Floater [5] showed that if the solution blows up in a finite time, then u blows up at $\xi = 0$ when $1 < p \leq q + 1$. Chan and Liu [1] examined the reverse case. They showed that $\xi = 0$ is not a blow-up point of u and the blow-up set is a compact subset of $(0, a)$ when $p > q + 1$. When

$f(u) = u^p$, Chan [2] studied the existence and uniqueness of the classical solution to the problem. He also proved that u blows up at $\xi = 0$ when $1 < p \leq q + 1$.

When $f(u) = 0$, Day [4] used (1.1) to describe the heat conduction in a rigid slab. The boundary $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = a$ are in contact with a heat reservoir. ξ^q and ξ^γ are representing the heat capacity and the thermal conductivity of the slab, respectively.

In (1.1), the terms ξ^q and ξ^γ tend to zero when ξ approaches zero if q and γ are positive, thus the coefficient of u_τ and $u_{\xi\xi}$ is degenerate. In Section 2, we shall prove that there exists a unique classical solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.2). We firstly show that problem (1.1)–(1.2) shall have a unique solution over the domain $[\delta, a] \times [0, \Gamma)$ where δ is a positive real number less than a . The classical solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.2) is the limiting solution of δ equation when $\delta \rightarrow 0$. In Section 3, a sufficient condition for u quenching at a finite time shall be given through constructing a lower solution. Then, we shall prove that the quenching set for u is a compact subset of $[0, a]$.

2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE CLASSICAL SOLUTION

Let L be a degenerate semilinear parabolic operator such that

$$Lu = \xi^q u_\tau - (\xi^\gamma u_\xi)_\xi.$$

In the beginning of this section, let us recall the following comparison lemma (c.f. [2]).

Lemma 2.1. *For any $s \in (0, \Gamma)$ and a bounded nonnegative function $B(\xi, \tau)$ on $[0, a] \times [0, s]$, if u and $v \in C([0, a] \times [0, s]) \cap C^{2,1}((0, a) \times (0, s))$, and*

$$(L - B)u \geq (L - B)v \text{ in } (0, a) \times (0, s),$$

$$u \geq v \text{ on the parabolic boundary } ([0, a] \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0, a\} \times (0, s)),$$

then $u \geq v$ on $[0, a] \times [0, s]$.

Let $\theta = \xi^\nu (a - \xi)^\nu$ where $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and $\nu + \gamma < 1$. Suppose that h_0 is a positive constant such that $h_0 \theta(\xi) < c$ on $[0, a]$. We also assume that $\tilde{\delta}$ is a positive constant with $\tilde{\delta} < a/2$ and $h(\tau)$ is a positive increasing solution to the following initial value problem:

$$h'(\tau) = \frac{f\left(\left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^\nu h(\tau)\right)}{\tilde{\delta}^{q+\nu} (a - \tilde{\delta})^\nu} \text{ for } \tau \in (0, t_0] \text{ and } h(0) = h_0,$$

where t_0 is a positive constant satisfying $(a^2/4)^\nu h(t_0) < c$. Furthermore, we let

$$\zeta = \min \left\{ \nu(1 - \gamma - \nu) \tilde{\delta}^{\gamma+\nu-2} (a - \tilde{\delta})^\nu, \nu(1 - \nu) (a - \tilde{\delta})^{\gamma+\nu} \tilde{\delta}^{\nu-2} \right\},$$

which satisfies

$$\zeta \geq \frac{f\left(\left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^\nu h(\tau)\right)}{h_0} \text{ for } \tau \in (0, t_0].$$

The above inequality holds in some interval $(0, t_0]$ because $\tilde{\delta}^{\gamma+\nu-2}$ and $\tilde{\delta}^{\nu-2}$ tend to large numbers when $\tilde{\delta}$ is close to 0. Suppose that $\psi(\xi, \tau) = \theta(\xi)h(\tau)$, the next lemma shows that u is bounded above by ψ on $[0, a] \times [0, t_0]$.

Lemma 2.2. $\psi \geq u$ on $[0, a] \times [0, t_0]$.

Proof. The function ψ satisfies the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} L\psi - f(\psi) &= \xi^{q+\nu} (a - \xi)^\nu h'(\tau) - \nu h(\tau) \frac{d}{d\xi} [\xi^{\gamma+\nu-1} (a - \xi)^\nu - \xi^{\gamma+\nu} (a - \xi)^{\nu-1}] \\ &\quad - f(\xi^\nu (a - \xi)^\nu h(\tau)) \\ &= \xi^{q+\nu} (a - \xi)^\nu h'(\tau) + \nu(1 - \gamma - \nu) \xi^{\gamma+\nu-2} (a - \xi)^\nu h(\tau) \\ &\quad + \nu(\gamma + 2\nu) \xi^{\gamma+\nu-1} (a - \xi)^{\nu-1} h(\tau) + \nu(1 - \nu) \xi^{\gamma+\nu} (a - \xi)^{\nu-2} h(\tau) \\ &\quad - f(\xi^\nu (a - \xi)^\nu h(\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the interval $(0, a)$ as $(0, \tilde{\delta}] \cup (\tilde{\delta}, a - \tilde{\delta}) \cup [a - \tilde{\delta}, a)$. When $(\xi, \tau) \in (0, \tilde{\delta}] \times [0, t_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} L\psi - f(\psi) &\geq \nu h(\tau) (1 - \gamma - \nu) \xi^{\gamma+\nu-2} (a - \xi)^\nu - f(\xi^\nu (a - \xi)^\nu h(\tau)) \\ &\geq \nu h_0 (1 - \gamma - \nu) \tilde{\delta}^{\gamma+\nu-2} (a - \tilde{\delta})^\nu - f\left(\left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^\nu h(\tau)\right) \\ &\geq h_0 \left[\zeta - \frac{f\left(\left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^\nu h(\tau)\right)}{h_0} \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

When $(\xi, \tau) \in [a - \tilde{\delta}, a) \times [0, t_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} L\psi - f(\psi) &\geq \nu h(\tau) (1 - \nu) \xi^{\gamma+\nu} (a - \xi)^{\nu-2} - f(\xi^\nu (a - \xi)^\nu h(\tau)) \\ &\geq \nu h_0 (1 - \nu) (a - \tilde{\delta})^{\gamma+\nu} \tilde{\delta}^{\nu-2} - f\left(\left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^\nu h(\tau)\right) \\ &\geq h_0 \left[\zeta - \frac{f\left(\left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^\nu h(\tau)\right)}{h_0} \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

When $(\xi, \tau) \in (\tilde{\delta}, a - \tilde{\delta}) \times [0, t_0]$,

$$L\psi - f(\psi) \geq \tilde{\delta}^{q+\nu} (a - \tilde{\delta})^\nu h'(\tau) - f\left(\left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^\nu h(\tau)\right) = 0.$$

When $\tau = 0$, $\psi(\xi, 0) = h_0\theta \geq 0$ on $[0, a]$. At $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = a$, $\psi(\xi, \tau) = 0$ for $\tau \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.1, $\psi(\xi, \tau) \geq u(\xi, \tau)$ on $[0, a] \times [0, t_0]$. □

Since $f > 0$, by Lemma 2.1 $u \geq 0$ on $[0, a] \times [0, \Gamma]$. Let δ be a positive constant less than a and u_δ denote the solution of the Dirichlet initial-boundary value problem:

$$(2.1) \quad \xi^q u_\tau - (\xi^\gamma u_\xi)_\xi = f(u) \text{ for } \delta < \xi < a, 0 < \tau < \Gamma,$$

$$(2.2) \quad u(\xi, 0) = 0 \text{ for } \delta \leq \xi \leq a, u(\delta, \tau) = 0 = u(a, \tau) \text{ for } 0 < \tau < \Gamma.$$

Let $\omega = (0, a) \times (0, t_0]$, $\bar{\omega} = [0, a] \times [0, t_0]$, $D_\delta = (\delta, a)$, $\omega_\delta = D_\delta \times (0, t_0]$, $\bar{D}_\delta = [\delta, a]$, $\bar{\omega}_\delta = \bar{D}_\delta \times [0, t_0]$, and $\partial\omega_\delta = (\bar{D}_\delta \times \{0\}) \cup (\{\delta, a\} \times (0, t_0])$, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.2).

Theorem 2.3. *The problem (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique nonnegative solution*

$$u \in C(\bar{\omega}) \cap C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}((0, a] \times [0, t_0]).$$

Proof. We prove $u_{\delta_2} \geq u_{\delta_1}$ over the domain $[\delta_1, a] \times [0, \Gamma]$ when $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1 < a$. We note that $\xi^{-q+\gamma}$ and $\xi^{-q+\gamma-1} \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\bar{\omega}_\delta)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. $\xi^{-q} f(u_\delta) \leq f(\psi) / \delta^q$ for some $(\xi, \tau, u_\delta) \in \bar{\omega}_\delta \times [0, c]$. It follows from Theorem 4.2.2 of Ladde, Lakshmikantham, and Vatsala [8, p. 143] that the problem (2.1)–(2.2) has a unique solution $u_\delta \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\bar{\omega}_\delta)$. When $\tau \geq 0$ and $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$, $u_{\delta_2}(\delta_1, \tau) \geq u_{\delta_1}(\delta_1, \tau) = 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $u_{\delta_1} \leq u_{\delta_2}$ on $\bar{\omega}_{\delta_1}$. Therefore, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} u_\delta$ exists for all $(\xi, \tau) \in \bar{\omega}$. Let $u(\xi, \tau) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} u_\delta(\xi, \tau)$. We want to show that u is a solution. Let $\tilde{E} = [\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2] \times [0, \tilde{t}_1]$ and $\hat{E} = [\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2] \times [0, \hat{t}_1]$ such that $\tilde{E} \subset \hat{E} \subset \bar{\omega}$ (where $\tilde{b}_1 > \hat{b}_1 > 0$, $\tilde{b}_2 < \hat{b}_2 \leq a$, and $\tilde{t}_1 \leq \hat{t}_1 \leq t_0$). Since $u_\delta \leq \psi$ in \hat{E} , we have for any constant $\tilde{q} > 1$, the following three conditions are satisfied:

- i. $\|u_\delta\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(\hat{E})} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(\hat{E})} \leq k_1$ for some positive constant k_1 .
- ii. For $s > 0$,

$$\left\| \gamma \xi^{-q+\gamma-1} \right\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}([\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2] \times (\tau, \tau+s))} \leq \gamma \hat{b}_1^{-q+\gamma-1} (\hat{b}_2 - \hat{b}_1)^{1/\tilde{q}} s^{1/\tilde{q}}$$

tends to 0 when s approaches 0.

- iii. As $f(u)$ is an increasing function, it gives $\|\xi^{-q} f(u_\delta)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(\hat{E})} \leq \hat{b}_1^{-q} \|f(\psi)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}(\hat{E})}$.

If we choose $\tilde{q} > 3/(2 - \alpha)$, by Theorem 4.9.1 of Ladyženskaja, Solonnikov, and Ural'ceva [9, pp. 341–342] $u_\delta \in W_{\tilde{q}}^{2,1}(\hat{E})$. By Theorem 2.3.3 there [9, p. 80],

$W_q^{2,1}(\hat{E}) \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})$. Thus, $\|u_\delta\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})} \leq k_2$ for some positive constant k_2 . By the triangular inequality, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\xi^{-q} f(u_\delta)\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})} \\ & \leq \hat{b}_1^{-q} \|f(\psi)\|_\infty + \sup_{\substack{(\xi,\tau) \in \hat{E} \\ (\tilde{\xi},\tau) \in \hat{E}}} \frac{\xi^{-q} |f(u_\delta(\xi,\tau)) - f(u_\delta(\tilde{\xi},\tau))|}{|\xi - \tilde{\xi}|^\alpha} \\ & \quad + \sup_{\substack{(\xi,\tau) \in \hat{E} \\ (\tilde{\xi},\tau) \in \hat{E}}} \frac{|f(u_\delta(\tilde{\xi},\tau))| |\xi^{-q} - \tilde{\xi}^{-q}|}{|\xi - \tilde{\xi}|^\alpha} + \sup_{\substack{(\xi,\tau) \in \hat{E} \\ (\xi,\tilde{\tau}) \in \hat{E}}} \frac{\xi^{-q} |f(u_\delta(\xi,\tau)) - f(u_\delta(\xi,\tilde{\tau}))|}{|\tau - \tilde{\tau}|^{\alpha/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\xi^{-q} f(u_\delta)\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})} \\ & \leq \hat{b}_1^{-q} \|f(\psi)\|_\infty + \hat{b}_1^{-q} \|f'(\psi)\|_\infty \|u_\delta\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})} + \|f(\psi)\|_\infty \|\xi^{-q}\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})} \\ & \leq k_3 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant k_3 which is independent of δ . In addition, $\|\xi^{-q+\gamma}\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})}$ and $\|\gamma\xi^{-q+\gamma-1}\|_{H^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\hat{E})}$ are bounded. Then, by Theorem 4.10.1 of Ladyženskaja, Solonnikov, and Ural'ceva [9, pp. 351–352], we have

$$\|u_\delta\|_{H^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(\hat{E})} \leq k_4$$

for some positive constant k_4 which is independent of δ . This implies that u_δ , $(u_\delta)_\tau$, $(u_\delta)_\xi$, and $(u_\delta)_{\xi\xi}$ are equicontinuous in \hat{E} . By the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, $\|u\|_{H^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(\hat{E})} \leq k_4$, and the partial derivatives of u are the limits of the corresponding partial derivatives of u_δ . From Lemma 2.2, $\psi \geq u \geq 0$ on $\bar{\omega}$, by the Sandwich theorem $u(0, \tau) = 0 = u(a, \tau)$ for $\tau \in [0, t_0]$. Thus, $u \in C(\bar{\omega}) \cap C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}((0, a) \times [0, t_0])$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a unique nonnegative solution u to the problem (1.1)–(1.2) on $\bar{\omega}$. □

We follow Theorem 3 of Chan and Liu [1] to obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let Γ be the supremum over t_0 for which there is a unique nonnegative solution $u \in C(\bar{\omega}) \cap C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}((0, a) \times [0, t_0])$. Then, there is a unique nonnegative solution $u \in C([0, a] \times [0, \Gamma)) \cap C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}((0, a) \times [0, \Gamma))$. If $\Gamma < \infty$, then u is unbounded in $(0, a) \times (0, \Gamma)$.*

3. QUENCHING SET OF THE SOLUTION

Let $x = \xi/a$ and $t = a^{\gamma-2-q}\tau$. Problem (1.1)–(1.2) becomes

$$(3.1) \quad x^q u_t - (x^\gamma u_x)_x = a^{2-\gamma} f(u), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.2) \quad u(x, 0) = 0 \text{ on } [0, 1], \text{ and } u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0 \text{ in } (0, T),$$

where $T = a^{\gamma-2-q}\Gamma$. Then, let b be a positive constant less than or equal to 1 and $\hat{u}(x, t) = a^{2-\gamma}f(0)m(t)x(1-x)/8 \geq 0$ in $[0, 1] \times (0, T)$ where

$$m(t) = \frac{8b}{3}(1 - e^{-12t}).$$

In the following lemma, we prove that u quenches in a finite time if a is sufficient large through showing $\hat{u}(x, t) \leq u(x, t)$ in $[0, 1] \times [0, T)$.

Lemma 3.1. *If a is sufficient large, u quenches in a finite time.*

Proof. To obtain this result, we use the method of the lower solution. With $\hat{u}(x, t) = a^{2-\gamma}f(0)m(t)x(1-x)/8$ where $m(t)$ being a positive increasing function for $t > 0$ and $m(0) = 0$, $\hat{u}(0, t) = \hat{u}(1, t) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\hat{u}(x, 0) = 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Substitute $\hat{u}(x, t)$ into (3.1) to obtain the differential inequality below:

$$\begin{aligned} & x^q \hat{u}_t - x^\gamma \hat{u}_{xx} - \gamma x^{\gamma-1} \hat{u}_x \\ & \leq \frac{m'(t)}{8} a^{2-\gamma} f(0) x(1-x) + \frac{2a^{2-\gamma} f(0)}{8} m(t) - \frac{\gamma a^{2-\gamma} f(0)}{8} m(t) (1-2x) \\ & \leq \frac{m'(t)}{8} a^{2-\gamma} f(0) \frac{1}{4} + \frac{a^{2-\gamma} f(0)}{4} m(t) + \frac{a^{2-\gamma} f(0)}{8} m(t). \end{aligned}$$

If the last expression of this inequality is less than or equal to $a^{2-\gamma}f(0)$, it is equivalent that $m(t)$ satisfies the following differential equation

$$\frac{m'(t)}{32} + \frac{3}{8}m(t) = b, \quad m(0) = 0$$

where b is a positive constant less than or equal to 1. The solution of the above differential equation is

$$m(t) = \frac{8}{3}b(1 - e^{-12t}).$$

Then, from $f' > 0$, $\hat{u} \geq 0$, and the above equation, we obtain

$$x^q \hat{u}_t - x^\gamma \hat{u}_{xx} - \gamma x^{\gamma-1} \hat{u}_x \leq a^{2-\gamma}f(0) \leq a^{2-\gamma}f(\hat{u}).$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$u(x, t) \geq \hat{u}(x, t) = \frac{a^{2-\gamma}f(0)}{8}m(t)x(1-x)$$

for $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T)$. In particular at $x = 1/2$ and $t = \tilde{T}$ where $0 < \tilde{T} < T$

$$u\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{T}\right) \geq \frac{a^{2-\gamma}f(0)}{32}m(\tilde{T}).$$

Since $\gamma < 1$ and $m(\tilde{T}) > 0$, there exists an a such that $a^{2-\gamma}f(0)m(\tilde{T})/32 \geq c$. Hence, u quenches in a finite time \tilde{T} if a is sufficient large. \square

In the following, we are going to prove that the quenching set of u is a compact subset of $(0, 1)$. Let $z^{1/(1-\gamma)} = x$. Then, $dz/dx = (1 - \gamma) z^{-\gamma/(1-\gamma)}$,

$$u_x = u_z \frac{1 - \gamma}{z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)}},$$

$$u_{xx} = (1 - \gamma)^2 z^{-2\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_{zz} - \frac{\gamma(1 - \gamma) u_z}{z^{(1+\gamma)/(1-\gamma)}}.$$

Having the above expressions, $x^\gamma u_{xx} + \gamma x^{\gamma-1} u_x$ is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} & x^\gamma u_{xx} + \gamma x^{\gamma-1} u_x \\ &= z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} \left[(1 - \gamma)^2 z^{-2\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_{zz} - \frac{\gamma(1 - \gamma) u_z}{z^{(1+\gamma)/(1-\gamma)}} \right] + \gamma z^{(\gamma-1)/(1-\gamma)} u_z \frac{1 - \gamma}{z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)}} \\ &= (1 - \gamma)^2 z^{-\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_{zz} - \gamma(1 - \gamma) z^{-1/(1-\gamma)} u_z + \gamma(1 - \gamma) z^{-1/(1-\gamma)} u_z \\ &= (1 - \gamma)^2 z^{-\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_{zz}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x^q u_t = (x^\gamma u_x)_x + a^{2-\gamma} f(u)$ transforms to

$$(3.3) \quad z^{q/(1-\gamma)} u_t = (1 - \gamma)^2 z^{-\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_{zz} + a^{2-\gamma} f(u).$$

Let

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma)^2 \int_0^1 u_z^2 dz - \int_0^1 \int_0^{u(z,t)} z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} a^{2-\gamma} f(u) du dz,$$

and $\int_0^{u(z,t)} f(u) du = F(u(z, t))$. We modify Theorem 2 of Kong [7] to obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *The quenching points of the solution u are in the interval*

$$\left[\left\{ \frac{c^2 (1 - \gamma)^2}{2 [F(c) (1 - \gamma) + E(0)]} \right\}^{1/(1-\gamma)}, \left\{ 1 - \frac{c^2 (1 - \gamma)^2}{2 [F(c) (1 - \gamma) + E(0)]} \right\}^{1/(1-\gamma)} \right].$$

Proof: We differentiate $E(t)$ with respect to t ,

$$E'(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma)^2 \int_0^1 2u_z u_{zt} dz - \int_0^1 z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} a^{2-\gamma} f(u) u_t dz.$$

Use integration by parts, $u_t(0, t) = u_t(1, t) = 0$, and (3.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= (1 - \gamma)^2 \left(u_z u_t \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 u_{zz} u_t dz \right) - \int_0^1 z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} a^{2-\gamma} f(u) u_t dz \\ &= - (1 - \gamma)^2 \int_0^1 u_{zz} u_t dz - \int_0^1 z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} a^{2-\gamma} f(u) u_t dz \\ &= - \int_0^1 z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_t \left[(1 - \gamma)^2 z^{-\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_{zz} + a^{2-\gamma} f(u) \right] dz \\ &= - \int_0^1 z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} u_t z^{q/(1-\gamma)} u_t dz \\ &= - \int_0^1 z^{(\gamma+q)/(1-\gamma)} u_t^2 dz < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E'(t) < 0$, we know that $E(t) < E(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)^2 \int_0^1 u_z^2(z, 0) dz$. Equivalently,

$$\left(\int_0^1 u_z^2 dz \right)^{1/2} < \frac{2^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma)} \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^{u(z,t)} z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} f(u) du dz + E(0) \right]^{1/2}.$$

Then, by the Schwarz inequality, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} u(z, t) &= \int_0^z u_z(z, t) dz \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^z dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^z u_z^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^z dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 u_z^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ &< z^{1/2} \frac{2^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma)} \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^{u(z,t)} z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} f(u) du dz + E(0) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\int_0^{u(z,t)} f(u) du < F(c)$, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} u(z, t) &< z^{1/2} \frac{2^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma)} \left[F(c) \int_0^1 z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} dz + E(0) \right]^{1/2} \\ &= z^{1/2} \frac{2^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma)} \left[F(c) \frac{1}{\frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)} + 1} + E(0) \right]^{1/2} \\ &= z^{1/2} \frac{2^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma)} [F(c)(1-\gamma) + E(0)]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Set the right side of the above inequality less than c , we obtain

$$z^{1/2} \frac{2^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma)} [F(c)(1-\gamma) + E(0)]^{1/2} < c.$$

Then, solve for z

$$z < \frac{c^2(1-\gamma)^2}{2[F(c)(1-\gamma) + E(0)]}.$$

Since $z^{1/(1-\gamma)} = x$, the upper bound of x is given by

$$x < \left\{ \frac{c^2(1-\gamma)^2}{2[F(c)(1-\gamma) + E(0)]} \right\}^{1/(1-\gamma)}.$$

When we integrate $-u_z(z, t)$ with respect to z from z to 1,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z, t) &= \int_z^1 -u_z(z, t) dz \\ &\leq \left(\int_z^1 dz\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_z^1 u_z^2 dz\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_z^1 dz\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 u_z^2 dz\right)^{1/2} \\ &< (1-z)^{1/2} \frac{2^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma)} \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^{u(z,t)} z^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} f(u) du dz + E(0) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the similar calculation above, we obtain

$$1-z < \frac{c^2(1-\gamma)^2}{2[F(c)(1-\gamma) + E(0)]}.$$

This leads to

$$1 - \frac{c^2(1-\gamma)^2}{2[F(c)(1-\gamma) + E(0)]} < z = x^{1-\gamma}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\left\{ 1 - \frac{c^2(1-\gamma)^2}{2[F(c)(1-\gamma) + E(0)]} \right\}^{1/(1-\gamma)} < x.$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.3. *If a is sufficiently small, there is a global solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.2).*

Proof. Suppose that $g(x) = k_5 x^\beta$ for some positive constants β and k_5 such that $\beta + \gamma < 1$ and $k_5 < c$. It is noticed that $g(x) \geq 0$ in $[0, 1]$. Then, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} x^q g_t - (x^\gamma g_x)_x - a^{2-\gamma} f(g) &= -[k_5 x^\gamma \beta (\beta - 1) x^{\beta-2} + \gamma k_5 x^{\gamma-1} \beta x^{\beta-1}] - a^{2-\gamma} f(k_5 x^\beta) \\ &= -k_5 x^{\gamma+\beta-2} \beta (\beta - 1 + \gamma) - a^{2-\gamma} f(k_5 x^\beta) \\ &= k_5 x^{\gamma+\beta-2} \beta (1 - \beta - \gamma) - a^{2-\gamma} f(k_5 x^\beta) \\ &\geq k_5 \beta (1 - \beta - \gamma) - a^{2-\gamma} f(k_5 x^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

We choose a sufficiently small such that $k_5 \beta (1 - \beta - \gamma) - a^{2-\gamma} f(k_5 x^\beta) \geq 0$ in $(0, 1)$. Hence,

$$x^q g_t - (x^\gamma g_x)_x - a^{2-\gamma} f(g) \geq 0 \text{ in } (0, 1).$$

By Lemma 2.1, $g(x) \geq u(x, t)$ on $[0, 1] \times [0, \infty)$. Therefore, $u(x, t)$ exists globally. □

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Y. Chan and H. T. Liu, Global existence of solutions for degenerate semilinear parabolic problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 34:617–628, 1998.
- [2] W. Y. Chan, Existence and uniqueness of the solution of degenerate semilinear parabolic equations, *Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics*, 8:528–539, 2010.
- [3] Y. Chen, Q. Liu, and C. Xie, Blow-up for degenerate parabolic equations with nonlocal source, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132:135–145, 2004.
- [4] W. A. Day, Parabolic equations and thermodynamics, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 50:523–533, 1992.
- [5] M. S. Floater, Blow-up at the boundary for degenerate semilinear parabolic equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 114:57–77, 1991.
- [6] L. Ke and S. Ning, Quenching for degenerate parabolic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 34:1123–1135, 1998.
- [7] P. C. Kong, Quenching behavior for degenerate parabolic problems, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems, Series A: Mathematical Analysis*, 8:69–76, 2001.
- [8] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, and A. S. Vatsala, *Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Pitman, Boston, Massachusetts, 1985, p. 143.
- [9] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1968, pp. 80, 341–342, and 351–352.