# NONLINEAR FIRST-ORDER SEMIPOSITONE PROBLEMS OF IMPULSIVE DYNAMIC EQUATIONS ON TIME SCALES

DA-BIN WANG AND WEN GUAN

Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730050, People's Republic of China

**ABSTRACT.** By using the well-known Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, in this paper, some results of one positive solution to a class of nonlinear first-order semipositone problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales are obtained. One example is given to illustrate the main results in this paper.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 39A10, 34B15.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let **T** be a time scale, i.e., **T** is a nonempty closed subset of R. Let 0, T be points in **T**, an interval  $(0,T)_{\mathbf{T}}$  denoting time scales interval, that is,  $(0,T)_{\mathbf{T}} := (0,T) \cap \mathbf{T}$ . Other types of intervals are defined similarly.

The theory of impulsive differential equations is emerging as an important area of investigation, since it is a lot richer than the corresponding theory of differential equations without impulse effects. Moreover, such equations may exhibit several real world phenomena in physics, biology, engineering, etc. (see [3, 4, 20]). At the same time, the boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations and impulsive difference equations have received much attention [2, 10, 17, 18, 21–23, 25–28, 30, 32]. On the other hand, recently, the theory of dynamic equations on time scales has become a new important branch (see, for example, [1, 6, 7, 16, 19]). Naturally, some authors have focused their attention on the boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales [5, 8, 9, 12–15, 24, 33]. However, to the best of our knowledge, few papers concerning PBVPs of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales with semi-position condition.

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the following PBVPs of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales with semi-position condition

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} x^{\Delta}(t) + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0, \ t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \ t \neq t_k, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) = I_k(x(t_k^-)), \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) = x(\sigma(T)), \end{cases}$$

where **T** is an arbitrary time scale, T > 0 is fixed,  $0, T \in \mathbf{T}$ ,  $f \in C(J \times [0, \infty), (-\infty, \infty))$ ,  $I_k \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ ,  $t_k \in (0, T)_{\mathbf{T}}$ ,  $0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_m < T$ , and for each  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ ,  $x(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} x(t_k + h)$  and  $x(t_k^-) = \lim_{h \to 0^-} x(t_k + h)$  represent the right and left limits of x(t) at  $t = t_k$ . We always assume that following hypothesis holds (semi-position condition):

(H) There exists a positive number M > 0 such that

$$Mx - f(t, x) \ge 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty), \ t \in [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}$$

By using the well-known Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem [11], some existence criteria of positive solution to problem (1.1) are established. We note that for the case  $\mathbf{T} = R$  and  $I_k(x) \equiv 0, k = 1, 2, ..., m$ , problem (1.1) reduces to the problem studied by [29] and for the case  $I_k(x) \equiv 0, k = 1, 2, ..., m$ , problem (1.1) reduces to the problem (in the one-dimension case) studied by [31].

In the remainder of this section, we state the Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem [11].

**Theorem 1.1** (Guo-Krasnoselskii). Let X be a Banach space and  $K \subset X$  be a cone in X. Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are bounded open subsets of X with  $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$  and  $\Phi: K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \to K$  is a completely continuous operator such that, either:

- (i)  $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|, x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|\Phi x\| \geq \|x\|, x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ; or
- (ii)  $\|\Phi x\| \ge \|x\|$ ,  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|\Phi x\| \le \|x\|$ ,  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

Then  $\Phi$  has at least one fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

## 2. SOME RESULTS ON TIME SCALES

In this section, we state some fundamental definitions and results concerned time scales, so that the paper is self-contained. For more details, one can refer to [1, 6, 7, 16, 19].

**Definition 2.1.** Assume that  $x : \mathbf{T} \to R$  and fix  $t \in \mathbf{T}$  (if  $t = \sup \mathbf{T}$ , we assume t is not left-scattered). Then x is called differential at  $t \in \mathbf{T}$  if there exists a  $\theta \in R$  such that for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an open neighborhood U of t such that

$$|x(\sigma(t)) - x(s) - \theta |\sigma(t) - s|| \le \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|, \ s \in U.$$

In this case,  $\theta$  is called the delta derivative of x at  $t \in \mathbf{T}$  and denote it by  $\theta = x^{\Delta}(t)$ .

If  $F^{\Delta}(t) = f(t)$ , then we define the delta integral by

$$\int_{a}^{t} f(s) \Delta s = F(t) - F(a).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $f \in C_{rd}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{T}^k$ , then

$$\int_{t}^{\sigma(t)} f(s) \Delta s = \mu(t) f(t),$$

where  $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$  is the graininess function.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $f^{\triangle} \ge 0$ , then f is increasing.

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that  $f, g: \mathbf{T} \to R$  are delta derivative at t, then

$$(fg)^{\triangle}(t) = f^{\triangle}(t)g(t) + f(\sigma(t))g^{\triangle}(t) = f(t)g^{\triangle}(t) + f^{\triangle}(t)g(\sigma(t)).$$

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $p: \mathbf{T} \to R$  is regressive provided

$$1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$$
 for all  $t \in \mathbf{T}^k$ .

The set of all regressive and rd-continuous functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** We define the set  $\mathcal{R}^+$  of all positively regressive elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  by

$$\mathcal{R}^+ = \{ p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{T} \}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** If  $p \in \mathcal{R}$ , then the delta exponential function is given by

$$e_p(t,s) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\int_s^t p(\tau) \triangle \tau\right) & \text{if } \mu(\tau) = 0, \\ \exp\left(\int_s^t \frac{1}{\mu(\tau)} Log(1+p(\tau)\mu(\tau)) \triangle \tau\right) & \text{if } \mu(\tau) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where Log is the principal logarithm.

Lemma 2.4. If  $p \in \mathcal{R}$ , then

(1)  $e_p(t,t) \equiv 1;$ (2)  $e_p(t,s) = \frac{1}{e_p(s,t)};$ (3)  $e_p(t,u)e_p(u,s) = e_p(t,s);$ (4)  $e_p^{\triangle}(t,t_0) = p(t)e_p(t,t_0), \text{ for } t \in \mathbf{T}^k \text{ and } t_0 \in \mathbf{T}.$ Lemma 2.5. If  $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ , then

$$e_p(t,t_0) > 0$$
 for all  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ .

## 3. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume that the points of impulse  $t_k$  are right-dense for each k = 1, 2, ..., m.

We define

$$PC = \{ x \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}} \to R : x_k \in C(J_k, R), \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and there exist} \\ x(t_k^+) \text{ and } x(t_k^-) \text{ with } x(t_k^-) = x(t_k), \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m \},$$

where  $x_k$  is the restriction of x to  $J_k = (t_k, t_{k+1}]_{\mathbf{T}} \subset (0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}}, k = 1, 2, ..., m$  and  $J_0 = [0, t_1]_{\mathbf{T}}, J_{m+1} = \{\sigma(T)\}.$ 

Let

$$X = \{ x : x \in PC, \ x(0) = x(\sigma(T)) \}$$

with the norm  $||x|| = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}}} |x(t)|$ . Then X is a Banach space.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose M > 0 and  $h : [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}} \to R$  is *rd*-continuous, then x is a solution of

$$x(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h(s) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k)I_k(x(t_k)), \ t \in [0,\sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}},$$

where  $G(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_M(s,t)e_M(\sigma(T),0)}{e_M(\sigma(T),0)-1}, & 0 \le s \le t \le \sigma(T), \\ \frac{e_M(s,t)}{e_M(\sigma(T),0)-1}, & 0 \le t < s \le \sigma(T), \end{cases}$  if and only if x is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x^{\Delta}(t) + Mx(\sigma(t)) = h(t), \ t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \ t \neq t_k, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) = I_k(x(t_k^-)), \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) = x(\sigma(T)). \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Since the proof similar to that of [Lemma 3.1, 33], we omit it here. Lemma 3.2. Let G(t, s) be defined as Lemma 3.1, then

$$\frac{1}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \le G(t, s) \le \frac{e_M(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \text{ for all } t, s \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}}$$

**Proof.** It is obviously, so we omit it here.

**Remark 3.1.** Let G(t,s) be defined as Lemma 3.1, then  $\int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s) \Delta s = \frac{1}{M}$ . For  $u \in X$ , we consider the following prolem:

$$\begin{cases}
(3.1) \\
x^{\Delta}(t) + Mx(\sigma(t)) = Mu(\sigma(t)) - f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \ t \in [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \ t \neq t_k, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) = I_k(x(t_k^-)), \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
x(0) = x(\sigma(T)).
\end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that problem (3.1) has a unique solution:

$$x(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s) h_u(s) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k) I_k(x(t_k)), \ t \in [0,\sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}},$$

where  $h_u(s) = Mu(\sigma(s)) - f(s, u(\sigma(s))).$ 

We define an operator  $\Phi: X \to X$  by

$$\Phi(u)(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_u(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k)I_k(u(t_k)), \ t \in [0,\sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}}$$

**Lemma 3.3.**  $\Phi: X \to X$  is completely continuous.

**Proof.** The proof is divided into three steps.

**Step 1:** To show that  $\Phi : X \to X$  is continuous.

Let  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence such that  $u_n \to u$   $(n \to \infty)$  in X. Since f(t, u) and  $I_k(u)$  are continuous in x, we have

$$|h_{u_n}(t) - h_u(t)| = |M(u_n - u) - (f(t, u_n) - f(t, u))| \to 0 \ (n \to \infty),$$
$$|I_k(u_n(t_k)) - I_k(u(t_k))| \to 0 \ (n \to \infty).$$

So

$$\begin{split} |\Phi(u_n)(t) - \Phi(u)(t)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s) \left[ h_{u_n}(s) - h_u(s) \right] \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k) \left[ I_k(u_n(t_k)) - I_k(u(t_k)) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{e_M(\sigma(T),0)}{e_M(\sigma(T),0) - 1} \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |h_{u_n}(t) - h_u(t)| \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k(u_n(t_k)) - I_k(u(t_k))| \right] \\ &\to 0 \ (n \to \infty), \end{split}$$

which lead to  $\|\Phi u_n - \Phi u\| \to 0$   $(n \to \infty)$ . That is,  $\Phi : X \to X$  is continuous.

**Step 2:** To show that  $\Phi$  maps bounded sets into bounded sets in X.

Let  $B \subset X$  be a bounded set, that is,  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $\forall u \in B$  we have  $||u|| \leq r$ . Then, for any  $u \in B$ , in virtue of the continuity of f(t, u) and  $I_k(u)$ , there exist  $c > 0, c_k > 0$  such that

$$|f(t,u)| \le c, |I_k(u)| \le c_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(u)(t)| &= \left| \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_{u}(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^{m} G(t,t_{k})I_{k}(u(t_{k})) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)\left|h_{u}(s)\right|\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^{m} G(t,t_{k})\left|I_{k}(u(t_{k}))\right| \\ &\leq \frac{e_{M}(\sigma(T),0)}{e_{M}(\sigma(T),0)-1} \left[ \sigma(T)\left(Mr+c\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can conclude that  $\Phi u$  is bounded uniformly, and so  $\Phi(B)$  is a bounded set.

**Step 3:** To show that  $\Phi$  maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of X.

Let 
$$t_1, t_2 \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}}, u \in B$$
, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Phi(u)(t_1) - \Phi(u)(t_2)| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |G(t_1, s) - G(t_2, s)| \, |h_u(s)| \, \triangle s + \sum_{k=1}^m |G(t_1, t_k) - G(t_2, t_k)| \, |I_k(u(t_k))| \, . \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side tends to uniformly zero as  $|t_1 - t_2| \rightarrow 0$ .

Consequently, Step 1-3 together with the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem show that  $\Phi$ :  $X \to X$  is completely continuous.

Let

$$K = \{ u \in X : u(t) \ge \delta \| u \|, \ t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}} \},\$$

where  $\delta = \frac{1}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0)} \in (0, 1)$ . It is not difficult to verify that K is a cone in X.

From Lemma 3.2, it is easy to obtain following result:

**Lemma 3.4.**  $\Phi$  maps K into K.

#### 4. MAIN RESULTS

Let

$$f^{0} = \lim_{u \to 0^{+}} \sup \max_{t \in [0,T]_{\mathbf{T}}} \frac{f(t,u)}{u}, f^{\infty} = \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup \max_{t \in [0,T]_{\mathbf{T}}} \frac{f(t,u)}{u},$$
$$f_{0} = \lim_{u \to 0^{+}} \inf \min_{t \in [0,T]_{\mathbf{T}}} \frac{f(t,u)}{u}, f_{\infty} = \lim_{u \to \infty} \inf \min_{t \in [0,T]_{\mathbf{T}}} \frac{f(t,u)}{u},$$

and

$$I_0 = \lim_{u \to 0^+} \frac{I_k(u)}{u}, \ I_\infty = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{I_k(u)}{u}$$

Now we state our main results.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that

$$f_0 > 0, \ f^{\infty} < \frac{\delta - 1}{\delta}M; \ I_0 = 0, \ \text{for any } k.$$

Then the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solutions.

**Proof.** From the hypotheses we know there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $L_1 > r_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(t,u) \ge \varepsilon u, \ I_k(u) \le \frac{(e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1)\varepsilon}{Mme_M(\sigma(T), 0)}u, \text{ for any } k, \ 0 < u \le r_1;$$
$$f(t,u) \le \left(\frac{\delta - 1}{\delta}M - \varepsilon\right)u, \ u \ge L_1.$$

Let  $\Omega_1 = \{u \in X : ||u|| < r_1\}$ . It follows that for  $u \in K$  with  $||u|| = r_1$ , we have

$$\Phi(u)(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_u(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k)I_k(u(t_k))$$
  
$$\leq \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s) \left(M-\varepsilon\right)u(\sigma(s))\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k)\frac{(e_M(\sigma(T),0)-1)\varepsilon}{Mme_M(\sigma(T),0)}u(t_k)$$

$$\leq \frac{(M-\varepsilon)}{M} \|u\| + \frac{e_M(\sigma(T),0)}{e_M(\sigma(T),0) - 1} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(e_M(\sigma(T),0) - 1)\varepsilon}{Mme_M(\sigma(T),0)} \|u\|$$
  
=  $\|u\|$ ,

which yields

$$(4.1) \|\Phi u\| \le \|u\|, \ u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

Set  $\Omega_2 = \left\{ u \in X : \|u\| < \frac{L_1}{\delta} \right\}$ . Since  $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$ , we have  $u(t) \ge \delta \|u\| = L_1$ . Hence for  $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$ , we have

$$\Phi(u)(t) = \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_{u}(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^{m} G(t,t_{k})I_{k}(u(t_{k}))$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_{u}(s)\Delta s$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)\left(M + \frac{1-\delta}{\delta}M + \varepsilon\right)u(\sigma(s))\Delta s$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}M + \varepsilon\right)\delta \|u\|$$

$$\geq \|u\|,$$

which implies

$$\|\Phi u\| \ge \|u\|, \ x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2.$$

Therefore, from (4.1), (4.2) and Theorem 1.1, it follows that  $\Phi$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ , that is, the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that

$$f_{\infty} > 0, \ f^0 < \frac{\delta - 1}{\delta}M; \ I_{\infty} = 0, \ \text{for any } k.$$

Then the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solutions.

**Proof.** From the hypotheses we know there exist  $\varepsilon' > 0$  and  $L_2 > r_2 > 0$  such that

$$f(t,u) \ge \varepsilon' u, \ I_k(u) \le \frac{(e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1)\varepsilon'}{Mme_M(\sigma(T), 0)} u, \text{ for any } k, \ u \ge L_2;$$
$$f(t,u) \le \left(\frac{\delta - 1}{\delta}M - \varepsilon'\right) u, \ 0 < u \le r_2.$$

Let  $\Omega_1 = \left\{ u \in X : \|u\| < \frac{L_2}{\delta} \right\}$ . Since  $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$ , we have  $u(t) \ge \delta \|u\| = L_2$ . Hence for  $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$ , we have

$$\Phi(u)(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_u(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k)I_k(u(t_k))$$
  
$$\leq \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)\left(M - \varepsilon'\right)u(\sigma(s))\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t,t_k)\frac{(e_M(\sigma(T),0) - 1)\varepsilon'}{Mme_M(\sigma(T),0)}u(t_k)$$

$$\leq \frac{(M-\varepsilon')}{M} \|u\| + \frac{e_M(\sigma(T),0)}{e_M(\sigma(T),0)-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(e_M(\sigma(T),0)-1)\varepsilon'}{Mme_M(\sigma(T),0)} \|u\|$$
  
=  $\|u\|$ ,

which yields

$$(4.3) \|\Phi u\| \le \|u\|, \ u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

Set  $\Omega_2 = \{x \in X : ||u|| < r_2\}$ . It follows that for  $u \in K$  with  $||u|| = r_2$ , we have

$$\Phi(u)(t) = \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_{u}(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^{m} G(t,t_{k})I_{k}(u(t_{k}))$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)h_{u}(s)\Delta s$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t,s)\left(M + \frac{1-\delta}{\delta}M + \varepsilon'\right)u(\sigma(s))\Delta s$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}M + \varepsilon'\right)\delta \|u\|$$

$$\geq \|u\|,$$

which implies

$$(4.4) \|\Phi u\| \ge \|u\|, \ u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$$

Hence, from (4.3), (4.4) and Theorem 1.1, it follows that  $\Phi$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_1 \setminus \Omega_2)$ , that is, the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

## 5. EXAMPLE

**Example 5.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ . We consider the following problem on  $\mathbf{T}$ 

(5.1) 
$$\begin{cases} x^{\Delta}(t) + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0, \ t \in [0, 3]_{\mathbf{T}}, \ t \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x\left(\frac{1}{2}^{+}\right) - x\left(\frac{1}{2}^{-}\right) = I(x(\frac{1}{2})), \\ x(0) = x(3), \end{cases}$$

where T = 3,  $f(t, x) = x - (t + 1)x^2$ , and  $I(x) = x^2$ .

Let M = 1, then  $\delta = \frac{1}{2e^2}$ , it is easy to see that

$$Mx - f(t, x) = (t+1)x^2 \ge 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty), \ t \in [0, 3]_{\mathbf{T}}$$

and

$$f_0 \ge 1, \ f^{\infty} = -\infty, \ \text{and} \ I_0 = 0.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, it follows that the problem (5.1) has at least one positive solution.

Acknowledgment: This work is supported by the Excellent Young Teacher Training Program of Lanzhou University of Technology (Q200907).

#### REFERENCES

- R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications, *Results Math.*, 35:3–22, 1999.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Multiple nonnegative solutions for second order impulsive differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 114:51–59, 2000.
- [3] D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov, Systems with impulse effect: stability theorey and applications, Horwood, Chicester, 1989.
- [4] D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov, Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1993.
- [5] A. Belarbi, M. Benchohra and A. Ouahab, Existence results for impulsive dynamic inclusions on time scales, *Electron. J. Qualitative Theory Differential Equations*, 12:1-22, 2005.
- [6] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications, Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.
- [7] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Birkhauser, Boston, 2003.
- [8] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas and A. Ouahab, On first order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 6:541–548, 2004.
- [9] M. Benchohra, S. K. Ntouyas and A. Ouahab, Existence results for second-order bounary value problem of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 296:65–73, 2004.
- [10] M. Feng, B. Du and W. Ge, Impulsive boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions and one-dimensional p-Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal., 70:3119-3126, 2009.
- [11] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [12] F. Geng, D. Zhu and Q. Lu, A new existence result for impulsive dynamic equations on timescales, Appl. Math. Lett., 20:206–212, 2007.
- [13] F. Geng, Y. Xu and D. Zhu, Periodic boundary value problems for first-order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 69:4074-4087, 2008.
- [14] J. R. Graef and A. Ouahab, Extremal solutions for nonresonance impulsive functional dynamic equations on time scales, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 196:333-339, 2008.
- [15] J. Henderson, Double solutions of impulsive dynamic boundary value problems on time scale, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 8:345–356, 2002.
- [16] S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains-a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.*, 18:18–56, 1990.
- [17] Z. He and J. S. Yu, Periodic boundary value problem for first order impulsive functional differential equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 138:205–217, 2002.
- [18] Z. He and X. Zhang, Monotone iteative technique for first order impulsive differential equations with peroidic boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 156:605–620, 2004.
- [19] B. Kaymakcalan, V. Lakshmikantham and S. Sivasundaram, Dynamical Systems on Measure Chains, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1996.
- [20] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov, Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.

- [21] J. L. Li and J. H. Shen, Existence of positive periodic solutions to a class of functional differential equations with impulses, *Mathematica Applicata*, (17):456-463, 2004.
- [22] J. L. Li, J. J. Nieto and J. Shen, Impulsive periodic boundary value problems of first-order differential equastions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 325:226–236, 2007.
- [23] J. L. Li and J. H. Shen, Positive solutions for first-order difference equation with impulses, Int. J. Differ. Equ., 2:225-239, 2006.
- [24] J. L. Li and J. H. Shen, Existence results for second-order impulsive boundary value problems on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70:1648-1655, 2009.
- [25] X. Liu, Nonlinear boundary value problems for firster order impulsive integro-differential equations, Appl. Anal., 36:119–130, 1990.
- [26] J. J. Nieto, Basic theory for nonresonance impulsive periodic problems of first order, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 205:423–433, 1997.
- [27] J. J. Nieto, Impulsive resonance periodic problems of first order, Appl. Math. Lett., 15:489–493, 2002.
- [28] J. J. Nieto, Periodic boundary value problems for first-order impulsive ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., 51:1223–1232, 2002.
- [29] S. Peng, Positive solutions for first order periodic boundary value problem, Appl. Math. Comput., 158:345–351, 2004.
- [30] Z. Qiu and S. Peng, Positive solutions for first order periodic boundary value problem with impulses, Journal of Guangdong University of Technology (Chinese), 24:85–88, 2007.
- [31] J. P. Sun and W. T. Li, Positive solution for system of nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 62:131–139, 2005.
- [32] A. S. Vatsala and Y. Sun, Periodic boundary value problems of impulsive differential equations, *Appl. Anal.*, 44:145–158, 1992.
- [33] D. B. Wang, Positive solutions for nonlinear first-order periodic boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 56:1496-1504, 2008.